2024-25 Competitive Events Guidelines
Data Analysis

Final

FBLA Future Business Leaders of America

Data Analysis Presentation Rating Sheet

Expectation Item	Not Demonstrated	Below Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Points Earned
Demonstrates understanding of the event topic during presentation	*No understanding of event topic OR incorrect topic used*	*Understanding of topic inconsistent with event guidelines*	*Demonstrates understanding of the topic during the presentation*	*Demonstrates use of industry terminology of the topic during the presentation*	9
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	
Provides analysis of data	*No attempt made to analyze the data*	*Limited, surface-level analysis provided*	*Provides analysis of the data*	*Provides deep, industry-standard analysis of data and addresses broader global impact*	13
	0 points	1-8 points	9-12 points	13-15 points	
Provides visualizations of data	*No visualizations provided*	*One visualization provided that provides a superficial overview of data*	*Two visualizations provided that try to explain the trends found in the data*	*At least three professional visualizations provided that explain the overall trends found in the data in the context of the topic*	13
	0 points	1-8 points	9-12 points	13-15 points	
Identifies recommendation to accomplish the purpose	*No recommendation identified*	*Recommendation provided, but plan not developed*	*Logical recommendation and plan developed*	*Feasible recommendation and plan developed, and necessary resources identified*	9
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	
Uses suitable and accurate statements related to topic's information in presentation	*More than three statements are inaccurate*	*One or two statements are inaccurate*	*All statements are accurate*	*All statements are accurate with supporting evidence*	17
	0 points	1-9 points	10-16 points	17-20 points	
Substantiates and cites sources used while conducting research	*Sources are not cited*	*Sources/References are seldom cited to support statements*	*Professionally legitimate sources & resources that support statements are generally present*	*Compelling evidence from professionally legitimate sources & resources is given to support statements*	8
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	
Presentation Delivery					
Statements are well-organized and clearly stated	*Competitor(s) did not appear prepared*	*Competitor(s) were prepared, but flow was not logical*	*Presentation flowed in logical sequence*	*Presentation flowed in a logical sequence; statements were well organized*	5
	0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points	
Demonstrates self-confidence, poise, assertiveness, and good voice projection	*Competitor(s) did not demonstrate self-confidence*	*Competitor(s) demonstrated self-confidence and poise*	*Competitor(s) demonstrated self-confidence, poise, and good voice projection*	*Competitor(s) demonstrated self-confidence, poise, good voice projection, and assertiveness*	5
	0 points	1-2 points	3-4 points	5 points	
Demonstrates the ability to effectively answer questions	*Unable to answer questions*	*Does not completely answer questions*	*Completely answers questions*	*Interacted with the judges in the process of completely answering questions*	9
	0 points	1-6 points	7-8 points	9-10 points	
Staff Only: Penalty Points (5 points for dress code penalty and/or 5 points for late arrival penalty)					0
Presentation Total (100 points)					88

Name(s):	Ibrahim Ansari, Mahir Emran, Ritvik Bansal
School:	North Creek High School
Judge Signature:	Date: 11/16/2024 08:11:13 PM UTC

Comments:

Judge Notes / Comments:

Nice collaboration among 3 of you.

Would be nice to point out each graph's XY quickly and your conclusion, it would be the data / plot more credible.

Would be also nice o list assumptions when you present cost of each solution.