

CS 372/469  
Fall 2018  
Lab 3: Due 10/8, before lab

In this lab, you need to implement two of the sorting algorithms we talked about in class. For all tests, carefully save your test-results, before moving on to the next test. Please maintain 2 separate graphs – one for insertion sort with 4 tests, and 2 for quicksort with 2 tests; please label the graphs, and provide a legend.

1. Implement the insertion sort algorithm (Algorithm 1) from the handout, “sortingAlgorithms.pdf”. Set  $n = 1000$ . Populate your input test array with random elements.
  - (a) (10 points) Test its performance in the best-case where entire array is already sorted – you can do this by calling your language’s sort routine on the input array, *before* calling insertion sort on it.
  - (b) (10 points) Test its performance in the worst case by passing a reverse-sorted array as input.
  - (c) (10 points) Manually populate your array with a fixed, same value for all elements (don’t use the random number generator this time). Run insertion sort on it.
  - (d) (15 points) Now, modify the code of insertion sort so that it sorts the array in *reverse* order. Test the worst-case on it – the worst-case here is triggered by passing the sorted array as input.

In all 4 cases of insertion sort, plot the run-time on a single graph.

2. Implement the quicksort algorithm with Lomuto’s pivot choice (same as Algorithm 3) from the handout, “sortingAlgorithms.pdf”. Set  $n = 1000$ . Populate your input test array with random elements.
  - (a) (22.5 points) Sort the array, and run quicksort on the sorted array (use an external routine of your language for doing the pre-sorting). Based on your results, what do you feel – is this the “best” or “worst” case ?<sup>1</sup> :-)
  - (b) (22.5) Test quicksort’s performance with the median-of-3 pivot choice, where  $\text{pivot} = \text{median}(A[0], A[\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor], A[n-1])$ . (Just change line 1 in the pseudo-code in the handout). Test it with the same sorted array. Are the results better this time, i.e., does it manage to avoid the worst-case?
3. (10 points) Write a lab report that describes your work (length max. around 1.5–2 pages)
  - (a) Introduction (define the background and the problem)
  - (b) Results (show the numbers and figures)
  - (c) Discussions (implications and issues): In particular, talk about how this compare with the asymptotic bounds we saw in class.

---

<sup>1</sup>It is the worst case, since  $A$  gets divided into partitions of size  $n - 1$  and 0.

In both cases, plot the run-time on a single graph.

How to submit: Upload your **pdf** file and source code on Canvas before the due date.