CS2383 Assignment 1 (46 marks)

- 1. (5 marks) Given an algorithm whose running time function is $O(n^2)$. Which of the following statements are true? Briefly explain why.
 - (a) The running time function is exactly an quadratic function. No, because a linear function 3n + 2 is also $O(n^2)$.
 - (b) The running time function could be $2n^3$. No, because $2n^3$ is not $O(n^2)$.
 - (c) The running time function could be $3n \log n + \log \log n$. Yes, because $3n \log n + \log \log n$ is $O(n^2)$.
 - (d) The running time function could be 1000n + 100000. Yes, because 1000n + 100000 is $O(n^2)$.
 - (e) The running time function could be $\Omega(n)$. Yes. For example, 1000n + 100000 is $O(n^2)$ and $\Omega(n)$.
- 2. **(5 marks)** The following functions are comparable by asymptotic growth. Can you put them in order?

$$n; 3^n; nlogn; n^n; n - n^3 + 7n^5; n^2 + logn; n^2; logn; n!$$

If you put function f(n) to the left of g(n), then it must be the case that f(n) is O(g(n)).

Solution: $logn; n; nlogn; n^2; n^2 + logn; n - n^3 + 7n^5; 3^n; n!; n^n.$

- 3. (15 marks) Prove or disprove each of the following statements:
 - (a) $10n^3 + 8n^2 + 6n + 2$ is $O(n^3)$.

Proof: (3 marks)

$$10n^{3} + 8n^{2} + 6n + 2 \leq 10n^{3} + 8n^{3} + 6n^{3} + 2n^{3}$$

$$= 26n^{3}$$
(1)

Let C = 26 and $n_0 = 1$. We have $10n^3 + 8n^2 + 6n + 2 \le Cn^3$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

(b) $3(3n+2)^7 + 4(2n+3)^5 + n \log n$ is $O(n^7)$.

Proof: (3 marks)

$$3(3n+2)^{7} + 4(2n+3)^{5} + n\log n \leq 3(3n+2n)^{7} + 4(2n+3n)^{5} + n\log n$$

$$= (3\times5^{7})n^{7} + (4\times5^{5})n^{5} + n\log n$$

$$\leq (3\times5^{7})n^{7} + (4\times5^{5})n^{7} + n^{7}$$

$$= (3\times5^{7} + 4\times5^{5} + 1)n^{7}$$
(2)

Let $C = 3 \times 5^7 + 4 \times 5^5 + 1$ and $n_0 = 1$. We have $3(3n+2)^7 + 4(2n+3)^5 + n \log n \le Cn^7$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

(c) $3n^5 - 9n^4 \log_2 n + 7n^3 - 15n^2$ is $O(n^5)$

Proof: (3 marks)

$$3n^{5} - 9n^{4} \log_{2} n + 7n^{3} - 15n^{2} \leq 3n^{5} + 7n^{3}$$

$$\leq 3n^{5} + 7n^{5}$$

$$= 10n^{5}$$
(3)

Let C = 10 and $n_0 = 1$. We have $3n^5 - 9n^4 \log_2 n + 7n^3 - 15n^2 \le Cn^5$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

(d) n^4 is $O(10^6 n^3 \log_2 n)$

Disproof: (3 marks) To make this true, we should have constants C and n_0 , such that for all $n \ge n_0$,

$$n^{4} \leq C10^{6}n^{3}\log_{2}n$$
 $n \leq C10^{6}\log_{2}n$
 $\frac{n}{\log_{2}n} \leq C10^{6}$
(4)

Since the growth rate of n is greater than $\log_2 n$ and C is a constant, $\frac{n}{\log_2 n} \ge C 10^6$ when n is large. Therefore, it is not possible to find an n_0 to make $\frac{n}{\log_2 n} \le C 10^6$ for all $n \ge n_0$ given any constant C.

(e) $2^{\log_{10} n}$ is $O(n^{\frac{1}{3}})$

Proof: (3 marks)

$$2^{\log_{10} n} = 2^{\frac{\log_2 n}{\log_2 10}}$$

$$= (2^{\log_2 n})^{\frac{1}{\log_2 10}}$$

$$= n^{\frac{1}{\log_2 10}}$$
(5)

Since $\log_2 10 > \log_2 8 = 3$, we have $2^{\log_{10} n} < n^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Let C = 1 and $n_0 = 1$. We have $2^{\log_{10} n} \le C n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

4. (5 marks) What does the following algorithm do? Analyze its worst-case running time, figure out its running time function, and express it using "Big-Oh" notation.

Algorithm Foo (a, n):
Input: two integers, a and nOutput: ? $k \leftarrow 0$ $b \leftarrow 1$ while k < n do $k \leftarrow k + 1$ $b \leftarrow b * a$ return b

Solution: (5 marks) This algorithm computes a^n . The running time of this algorithm is O(n) because

- the initial assignments take constant time
- each iteration of the while loop takes constant time
- \bullet there are exactly n iterations
- 5. (5 marks) What does the following algorithm do? Analyze its worst-case running time, figure out its running time function, and express it using "Big-Oh" notation.

Algorithm Bar (a, n): **Input**: two integers, a and n

Output: ?

```
\begin{array}{l} k \leftarrow n \\ b \leftarrow 1 \\ c \leftarrow a \\ \textbf{while} \ k > 0 \ \textbf{do} \\ \textbf{if} \ k \ \text{mod} \ 2 = 0 \ \textbf{then} \\ k \leftarrow k/2 \\ c \leftarrow c * c \\ \textbf{else} \\ k \leftarrow k - 1 \\ b \leftarrow b * c \\ \textbf{return} \ b \end{array}
```

Solution: (5 marks) This algorithm also computes a^n . Its running time is $O(\log n)$ for the following reasons:

The initialization and the **if** statement and its contents take constant time, so we need to figure out how many times the **while** loop gets called. Since k goes down (either gets halved or decremented by one) at each step, and it is equal to n initially, at worst the loop gets executed n times. But we can (and should) do better in our analysis.

Note that if k is even, it gets halved, and if it is odd, it gets decremented, and halved in the next iteration. So at least every second iteration of the **while** loop halves k. One can halve a number n at most $\lceil \log n \rceil$ times before it becomes ≤ 1 (each time we halve a number we shift it right by one bit, and a number has $\lceil \log n \rceil$ bits). If we decrement the number in between halving it, we still get to halve no more then $\lceil \log n \rceil$ times. Since we can only decrement k in between two halving iterations (unless n is odd or it is the last iteration), we get to do a decrementing iteration at most $\lceil \log n \rceil + 2$ times. So we can have at most $2\lceil \log n \rceil + 2$ iterations. This is obviously $O(\log n)$.

6. (5 marks) What does the following algorithm do? Figure out its best-case running time function and worst-case running time function, and express them using "Big-Oh" notation.

```
Algorithm Unknown(A, n):

Input: an array A of n integers

Output: ?

f \leftarrow 1

j \leftarrow 1

while f = 1 and j \leq (n-1)do

f \leftarrow 0
```

```
\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{for} \ i \leftarrow 0 \ \mathbf{to} \ n - (j+1) \ \mathbf{do} \\ & \mathbf{if} \ A[i] > A[i+1] \\ & \quad \mathbf{swap} \ A[i] \ \mathbf{and} \ A[i+1] \\ & \quad f \leftarrow 1 \\ & \quad j \leftarrow (j+1) \end{aligned}
```

Solution: It sorts A in an ascending order. Best case running time is $\Theta(n)$. Worst case running time is $\Theta(n^2)$.

- 7. (6 marks) Suppose f(n) is O(h(n)) and g(n) is O(h(n)).
 - (a) Is f(n) + g(n) is O(h(n))?

Proof: (3 marks) Since f(n) is O(h(n)), there exist positive constant C_1 and n_{01} , such that $f(n) \leq C_1 h(n)$, for all $n \geq n_{01}$. Similarly, there exist positive constant C_2 and n_{02} , such that $g(n) \leq C_2 h(n)$, for all $n \geq n_{02}$. Let $C = max\{C_1, C_2\}$ and $n_0 = max\{n_{01}, n_{02}\}$. We have $f(n) + g(n) \leq Ch(n)$, for all $n \geq n_0$. Thus, f(n) + g(n) is O(h(n)).

(b) Is $f(n) \times g(n)$ is $O(h(n) \times h(n))$?

Proof: (3 marks) Since f(n) is O(h(n)), there exist positive constant C_1 and n_{01} , such that $f(n) \leq C_1 h(n)$, for all $n \geq n_{01}$. Similarly, there exist positive constant C_2 and n_{02} , such that $g(n) \leq C_2 h(n)$, for all $n \geq n_{02}$. Let $C = C_1 \times C_2$ and $n_0 = max\{n_{01}, n_{02}\}$. We have $f(n) \times g(n) \leq Ch(n) \times h(n)$, for all $n \geq n_0$. Thus, $f(n) \times g(n)$ is $O(h(n) \times h(n))$.

Justify your answers.