```
-- Mahmoud Asadzadeh-Barzi
-- 100942882
[ctb]
            count NilT = 0
            count (Node n x y) = (count x) + (count y) + 1
[ctr]
[htb]
            height NilT = 0
[htr]
            height (Node n x y) = (max (height x) (height y)) + 1
[mc1] |a>= b = a
[mc2] | otherwise = b
                count t1 \le 2^{(height t1)} - 1
[I.H.t1.]
                count t2 \le 2^{(\text{height } t2)} - 1
[I.H.t2.]
B.C.
count NilT \leq 2^{\text{(height NilT)}} - 1
               0 \le 2^{(height\ NilT)} - 1 by [ctb]
               0 \le 2^0 - 1
                                          by [htb]
               0 \le 1 - 1
                                          by [exponent rule]
               0 \le 0
                                           by [arithmetic]
               holds.
W.T.S.
            count (Node T t1 t2) \leq 2^{\text{(height (Node T t1 t2))}} - 1
  (count t1) + (count t2) + 1 = 2^{\text{(height (Node T t1 t2))}} - 1 \text{ by [ctr]}
 2^{\text{(height t1)}} - 1 + \text{(count t2)} + 1 = 2^{\text{(height (Node T t1 t2))}} - 1 \text{ by [I.H.t1.]}
2^{\text{(height t1)}} - 1 + 2^{\text{(height t2)}} - 1 + 1 = 2^{\text{(height (Node T t1 t2))}} - 1 \text{ by [I.H.t2.]}
          2^{\text{(height t1)}} + 2^{\text{(height t2)}} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height (Node T t1 t2))}} - 1 by [arithmetic]
CaseI: t1≥t2
2^{\text{(height t1)}} + 2^{\text{(height t2)}} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height t1)}+1} - 1
                                                              by[mc1]
2^{\text{(height t1)}} + 2^{\text{(height t1)}} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height t1)}+1} - 1
                                                             by[logic] see the note below
           2*2^{\text{(height t1)}} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height t1)}+1} - 1
                                                            by[factoring the 2s]
         2^{1}*2^{\text{(height t1)}} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height t1)}+1} - 1 by[exponential rule]
            2^{\text{(height t1)+1}} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height t1)+1}} - 1 \quad \text{by[exponential rule]}
Note for CaseI:
if we now for a fact that t1≥t2 then from this it follows that
2^{\text{(height t1)}} + 2^{\text{(height t1)}} - 1 \ge 2^{\text{(height t1)}} + 2^{\text{(height t2)}} - 1.
Therefore in the L.H.S. we can substitute this 2^{(height\ t1)} + 2^{(height\ t2)} - 1 with that 2^{(height\ t1)} + 2^{(height\ t1)} - 1.
CaseII: t1<t2
2^{\text{(height t1)}} + 2^{\text{(height t2)}} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height t2)} + 1} - 1
                                                              by[mc2]
2^{\text{(height t2)}} + 2^{\text{(height t2)}} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height t2)}+1} - 1
                                                              by[logic] see the note below
           2*2^{\text{(height t2)}} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height t2)}+1} - 1
                                                             by factoring the 2s
          2^{1}*2^{\text{(height t2)}} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height t2)}+1} - 1
                                                             by[exponential rule]
            2^{\text{(height t2)}+1} - 1 = 2^{\text{(height t2)}+1} - 1 \quad \text{by[exponential rule]}
                              Q.E.D.
```

Note for CaseII:

if we now for a fact that t1 < t2 then from this it follows that $2^{(height\ t2)} + 2^{(height\ t2)} - 1 \ge 2^{(height\ t1)} + 2^{(height\ t2)} - 1$.

Therefore in the L.H.S. we can substitute this $2^{\text{(height t1)}} + 2^{\text{(height t2)}} - 1$ with that $2^{\text{(height t2)}} + 2^{\text{(height t2)}} - 1$.