The Cedilleum Language Specification Syntax, Typing, Reduction, and Elaboration

Christopher Jenkins

July 8, 2018

1 Syntax

id		identifiers for definitions
u		term variables
X		type variables
κ		kind variables
\boldsymbol{x}	$::= id \mid u \mid X$	non-kind variables
y	$:= x \mid \kappa$	all variables

Figure 1: Identifiers

Identifiers Figure 1 gives the metavariables used in our grammar for identifiers. We consider all identifiers as coming from two distinct lexical "pools" – regular identifiers (consisting of identifiers id given for modules and definitions, term variables u, and type variables X) and kind identifiers κ . In Cedilleum source files (as in the parent language Cedille) kind variables should be literally prefixed with κ – the suffix can be any string that would by itself be a legal non-kind identifier. For example, myDef is a legal term / type variable and a legal name for a definition, whereas κ myDeff is only legal as a kind definition.

Figure 2: Untyped terms

Untyped Terms The grammar of pure (untyped) terms the untyped λ -calculus augmented with a primitives for combination fixed-point and pattern-matching definitions (and an auxiliary pattern-matching construct).

Modules and Definitions All Cedilleum source files start with production *mod*, which consists of a module declaration, a sequence of import statements which bring into scope definitions from other source files, and a sequence of *commands* defining terms, types, and kinds. As an illustration, consider the first few lines of a hypothetical list.ced:

```
module id . imprt^* cmd^*
                                                         module declarations
mod
                       import id.
                                                         module imports
imprt
cmd
                  ::= defTermOrType
                                                         definitions
                       defDataType
                       defKind
defTermOrType ::= id checkType^? = t.
                                                         term definition
                       id: K = T.
                                                         type definition
                       \kappa = K
defKind
                                                         kind definition
                  ::=
defDataType
                  ::=
                       data id \ param^* : K = constr^*.
                                                        datatype definitions
                      : T
                                                         annotation for term definition
checkType
                  ::=
                  ::= (x : C)
param
constr
                  ::= \mid id : T
```

Figure 3: Modules and definitions

```
module list .
```

import nat .

Imports are handled first by consulting a global options files known to the Cedilleum compiler (on *nix systems ~/.cedille/options) containing a search path of directories, and next (if that fails) by searching the directory containing the file being checked.

Term and type definitions are given with an identifier, a classifier (type or kind, resp.) to check the definition against, and the definition. For term definitions, giving classifier (i.e. the type) is optional. As an example, consider the definitions for the type of Church-encoded lists and two variants of the nil constructor, the first with a top-level type annotation and the second with annotations sprinkled on binders:

```
cList : \star \to \star
	= \lambda A : \star . \forall X : \star . (A \to X \to X) \to X \to X .

cNil : \forall A : \star . cList · A
	= \Lambda A . \Lambda X . \lambda c . \lambda n . n .

cNil' = \Lambda A : \star . \Lambda X : \star . \lambda c : A \to X \to X . \lambda n : X . n .
```

Kind definitions are given without classifiers (all kinds have super-kind \Box), e.g. $\kappa func = \star \to \star$

Inductive datatype definitions take a set of parameters (term and type variables which remain constant throughout the definition) well as a set of *indices* (term and type variables which can vary), followed by zero or more constructors. Each constructor begins with "|" (though the grammar can be relaxed so that the first of these is optional) and then an identifier and type is given. As an example, consider the following two definitions for lists and vectors (length-indexed lists).

```
data List (A : \star) : \star = 
 | nil : List 
 | cons : A \rightarrow List \rightarrow List 
 . 
data Vec (A : \star) : Nat \rightarrow \star = 
 | vnil : Vec Z 
 | vcons : \forall n : Nat . A \rightarrow Vec n \rightarrow Vec (S n)
```

```
Sorts S ::= \square
                                     sole super-kind
                     K
                                     kinds
Classifiers C
                     K
               ::=
                                     types
                                     types
                    \Pi x : C . K
    Kinds K ::=
                                     explicit product
                     C \to K
                                     kind arrow
                                     the kind of types that classify terms
                     (K)
    Types T ::=
                    \Pi x : T \cdot T
                                     explicit product
                     \forall x : C . T
                                     implicit product
                     \lambda x : C \cdot T
                                     type-level function
                     T \Rightarrow T'
                                     arrow with erased domain
                     T \to T'
                                     normal arrow type
                     T \cdot T'
                                     application to another type
                     T t
                                     application to a term
                     \{p \simeq p'\}
                                     untyped equality
                     (T)
                                     type variable
                                     hole for incomplete types
```

Figure 4: Kinds and types

Types and Kinds In Cedilleum, the expression language is stratified into three main "classes": kinds, types, and terms. Kinds and types are listed in Figure 4 and terms are listed in Figure 5 along with some auxiliary grammatical categories. In both of these figures, the constructs forming expressions are listed from lowest to highest precedence – "abstractors" ($\lambda \Lambda \Pi \forall$) bind most loosely and parentheses most tightly. Associativity is as-expected, with arrows ($\rightarrow \Rightarrow$) and applications being left-associative and abstractors being right-associative.

The language of kinds and types is similar to that found in the Calculus of Implicit Constructions¹. Kinds are formed by dependent and non-dependent products (Π and \rightarrow) and a base kind for types which can classify terms (\star). Types are also formed by the usual (dependent and non-dependent) products (Π and \rightarrow) and also *implicit* products (\forall and \Rightarrow) which quantify over erased arguments (that is, arguments that disappear at run-time). Π -products are only allowed to quantify over terms as all types occurring in terms are erased at run-time, but \forall -products can quantify over types and terms because terms can be erased. Meanwhile, non-dependent products (\rightarrow and \Rightarrow) can only "quantify" over terms because non-dependent type quantification does not seem particularly useful. Besides these, Cedilleum features type-level functions and applications (with term and type arguments), and a primitive equality type for untyped terms. Last of all is the "hole" type (\bullet) for writing partial type signatures or incomplete type applications. There are term-level holes as well, and together the two are intended to help facilitate "hole-driven development": any hole automatically generates a type error and provides the user with useful contextual information.

We illustrate with another example: what follows is a module stub for **DepCast** defining dependent casts – intuitively, functions from a:A to B a that are also equal² to identity – where the definitions CastE and castE are incomplete.

module DepCast .

CastE $\triangleleft \Pi$ A : \star . (A \rightarrow \star) \rightarrow \star = \bullet

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{Cite}$

²Module erasure, discussed below

```
Subjects s ::= t
                                                        _{\rm term}
                                                        type
   Terms t ::= \lambda x \ class?. t
                                                        normal abstraction
                    \Lambda x \ class?. t
                                                        erased abstraction
                    [defTermOrType] - t
                                                        let definitions
                    \rho t - t'
                                                        equality elimination by rewriting
                    \phi t - t' \{t''\}
                                                        type cast
                    \chi T - t
                                                        check a term against a type
                    \delta - t
                                                        ex falso quodlibet
                    \theta t t'^*
                                                        elimination with a motive
                    t t'
                                                        applications
                    t - t'
                                                        application to an erased term
                    t \cdot T
                                                        application to a type
                    \beta {t}
                                                        reflexivity of equality
                                                        symmetry of equality
                    \varsigma t
                    \mu u, X, u_I . t motive^? \{case^*\}
                                                        type-guarded pattern match and fixpoint
                    \mu' \ t \ motive? \{case^*\}
                                                        auxiliary pattern match
                                                        term variable
                    (t)
                                                        hole for incomplete term
                   id\ vararg^* \mapsto t
                                                        pattern-matching cases
      case ::=
   vararq
                                                        normal constructor argument
                                                        erased constructor argument
                    \cdot X
                                                        type constructor argument
                    : C
      class
   motive ::=
                                                        motive for induction
```

Figure 5: Annotated Terms

Annotated Terms Terms can be explicit and implicit functions (resp. indicated by λ and Λ) with optional classifiers for bound variables, let-bindings, applications t t', t-t', and t-T (resp. to another term, an erased term, or a type). In addition to this there are a number of useful operators that will be discussed in more detail (and whose purpose will become more apparent) in Section 3.

2 Erasure

The definition of the erasure function given in Figure 6 takes the annotated terms from Figures 4 and 5 to the untyped terms of Figure 2. The last two equations indicate that any type or erased arguments in the the zero or more vararg's of pattern-match case are indeed erased. The additional constructs introduced in the annotated term language such as β , ϕ , and ρ , are all erased to the language of pure terms.

3 Type System (sans Inductive Datatypes)

 $^{^{4}}$ Where we assume t does not occur anywhere in T

⁴Where $tt = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$ and $ff = \lambda x. \lambda y. y$

```
|x|
| * |
|\beta| \{t\}|
                                                              |t|
|\delta|t|
                                                              |t|
|\chi T^{?} - t|
                                                              |t|
                                                        =
|\theta t t'^*|
                                                              |t| |t'^*|
                                                              |t|
|\varsigma| t
                                                       =
|t \ t'|
                                                              |t| |t'|
                                                        =
|t - t'|
                                                              |t|
|t \cdot T|
                                                              |t|
|\rho|t - t'
                                                              |t'|
|\forall x: C. C'
                                                              \forall x: |C|. |C'|
                                                              \prod x: |C|. |C'|
|\Pi x: C. C'|
|\lambda u:T.t|
                                                              \lambda u. |t|
                                                              \lambda u. |t|
|\lambda u.t|
|\lambda X:K.C|
                                                              \lambda X : |K| . |C|
|\Lambda x:C.t|
                                                              |t|
|\phi \ t - t' \ \{t''\}|
|[x = t : T]| - t'
                                                              (\lambda x. |t'|) |t|
|[X = T : K] - t|
                                                              |t|
|\{t \simeq t'\}||
                                                              \{|t| \simeq |t'|\}
|\mu \ u, X, u_I \ . \ t \ motive? \{case^*\}|
                                                              \mu u, u_I \cdot t \{|case^*|\}
\mu' t motive? \{case^*\}
                                                              \mu' \ t \ \{|case^*|\}
|id\ vararg^* \mapsto t|
                                                              id |vararg^*| \mapsto |t|
|-u|
|\cdot T|
```

Figure 6: Erasure for annotated terms

The inference rules for classifying expressions in Cedilleum are stratified into two judgments. Figure 7 gives the uni-directional rules for ensuring types are well-kinded and kinds are well-formed. Future versions of Cedilleum will allow for bidirectional checking for both typing and sorting, allowing for a unification of these two figures. Most of these rules are similar to what one would expect from the Calculus of Implicit Constructions, so we focus on the typing rules unique to Cedilleum.

The typing rule for ρ shows that ρ is a primitive for rewriting by an (untyped) equality. If t is an expression that synthesizes a proof that two terms t_1 and t_2 are equal, and t' is an expression synthesizing type $[t_1/x]$ T (where, as per the footnote, t_1 does not occur in T), then we may essentially rewrite its type to $[t_2/x]$ T. The rule for β is reflexivity for equality – it witnesses that a term is equal to itself, provided that the type of the equality is well-formed. The rule for ς is symmetry for equality. Finally, ϕ acts as a "casting" primitive: the rule for its use says that if some term t witnesses that two terms t_1 and t_2 are equal, and t_1 has been judged to have type T, then intuitively t_2 can also be judged to have type T. (This intuition is justified by the erasure rule for ϕ – the expression erases to $|t_2|$). The last rule involving equality is for δ , which witnesses the logical principle ex falso quodlibet – if a certain impossible equation is proved (namely that the two Church-encoded booleans tt and ff are equal), then any type desired is inhabited.

The two remaining primitives are not essential to the theory but are useful additions for programmers. The rule for χ allows the user to provide an explicit top-level annotation for a term, and θ embodies "elimination with a motive", using the expected type of an application to infer some type arguments.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash C : S \quad \Gamma, y : C \vdash C' : s'}{\Gamma \vdash \Pi y : C . C' : s'} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash C : S \quad \Gamma, y : C \vdash C' : \star}{\Gamma \vdash \forall y : C . C' : \star}$$

$$\frac{FV(p \ p') \subseteq dom(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \{p \simeq p'\} : \star} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash K : \Gamma(\kappa)}{\Gamma \vdash \kappa : \Gamma(\kappa)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash T : \Pi x : T : K}{\Gamma \vdash X : \Gamma(X)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : C . K : \square \quad \Gamma, x : C \vdash T : K}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : C . T : \Pi x : C . K} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash T : \Pi x : K . K' \quad \Gamma \vdash T' : K}{\Gamma \vdash T \cdot T' : [T'/x]K'} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash T : \Pi x : T' . K \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\Downarrow} t : T'}{\Gamma \vdash T \ t : [t/x]K}$$

Figure 7: Sort checking $\Gamma \vdash C : S$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash T : K \quad \Gamma, x : T \vdash_{\delta} t : T'}{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} u : \Gamma(u)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : C \vdash_{\delta} t : T'}{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} \lambda x : T : \Gamma x : T : T'} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : T \vdash_{\psi} t : T'}{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} \lambda x : t : \Pi x : T : T'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash C : S \quad x \notin FV(|t|) \quad \Gamma, x : C \vdash_{\delta} t : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} \lambda x : C : \forall x : C : T} \qquad \frac{x \notin FV(|t|) \quad \Gamma, x : C \vdash_{\delta} t : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} \lambda x : t : \forall x : C : T} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\uparrow} t : \Pi x : T' . T \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\psi} t' : T'}{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} t : t' : [t'/x]T}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\uparrow} t : \forall X : K . T' \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\tau} T : K}{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} t : T : [T/X]T'} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\uparrow} t : \forall x : T' . T \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\psi} t' : T'}{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} t : t' : [t'/x]T} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\uparrow} t : T' \quad |T'| =_{\beta} |T|}{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} t : T}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\uparrow} t : K \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\psi} t : T \quad \Gamma, id = t : T \vdash_{\delta} t' : T'}{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} [id : T = t] - t' : T'} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\uparrow} t : T \quad \Gamma, id = t : T \vdash_{\delta} t' : T'}{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} [id : T = t] - t' : T'} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\uparrow} t : T \quad \Gamma, id = t : T \vdash_{\delta} t' : T'}{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} [id : K = T] - t' : T'} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\uparrow} t : T \quad \Gamma, id = t : T \vdash_{\delta} t' : T'}{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} \beta \{t\} : \{t' \simeq t'\} : \star} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} t : \{t_{1} \simeq t_{2}\}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} \xi t : \{t_{2} \simeq t_{1}\}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} t : \{|t_{1}| \simeq |t_{2}|\} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\delta} t_{1} : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} \theta : t'^{*} : T}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} t : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} \xi : T : T} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} t : \{t t \simeq ff\}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} \xi : t : T} \stackrel{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} t : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\psi} \xi : T}$$

Figure 8: Type checking $\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} s : C$ (sans inductive data types)

(TODO)

4 Inductive Datatypes

Before we can provide the typing rules for introduction and usage of inductive datatypes, some auxiliary definitions must be given. The syntax for these, and the structure of this entire section, borrows heavily from the conventions of the Coq documentation⁵. The author believes it is worthwhile to restate this development in terms of the Cedilleum type system, rather than merely pointing readers to the Coq documentation and asking them to infer the differences between the two systems.

To begin with, the production def Data Type gives the concrete syntax for datatype definitions, but it is not a very useful notation for representing one in the abstract syntax tree. In our typing rules we will instead use the notation Ind [p] ($\Gamma_I := \Gamma_C$) where Γ_I is a context binding *one* type variable I (representing the inductive datatype being defined), Γ_C represents the data constructors of type I, and p is the number

⁵https://coq.inria.fr/refman/language/cic.html#inductive-definitions

of parameters to I. For example, consider the List and Vec definitions from 1. These will be represented in the AST as

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Ind } [1] \; (List: \star \rightarrow \star := \begin{array}{ccc} nil & : & \forall A: \star. List \cdot A \\ cons & : & \forall A: \star. A \rightarrow List \cdot A \rightarrow List \cdot A \end{array}) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Ind } [1] \; (Vec: \star \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow \star := \begin{array}{ccc} vnil & : & \forall A: \star. Vec \cdot A \; Z \\ vcons & : & \forall A: \star. \forall n: Nat. A \rightarrow Vec \cdot A \; n \rightarrow Vec \cdot A \; (S \; n) \end{array})$$

For an inductive datatype definition to be well-formed, it must satisfy the following conditions (each of which is explained in more detail in the following subsections):

- The kind of I must be (at least) a p-arity of kind \star .
- The types of each $id \in \Gamma_C$ must be types of constructors of I
- The definition must satisfy the *non-strict* positivity condition.

4.1 Auxiliary Definitions

p-arity A kind K is a p-arity if it can be written as $\Pi \Gamma_P$. K' for some Γ_P and K', where $|\Gamma_P| = p$ and $\Pi \Gamma_P$ represents the quantification of all term and type variables in Γ_P . For an inductive definition Ind [p] ($\Gamma_I := \Gamma_C$), requiring that the kind of I is a p-arity of \star ensures that I really does have p parameters.

Types of Constructors T is a type of a constructor of I if

- it is $I s_1...s_n$
- it can be written as $\forall s: C.T$ or $\Pi s: C.T$, where (in either case) T is a type of a constructor of I

Positivity condition The positivity condition is defined in two parts: the positivity condition of a type T of a constructor of I, and the positive occurrence of I in T. We say that a type T of a constructor of I satisfies the positivity condition when

- T is I $s_1...s_n$ and I does not occur anywhere in $s_1...s_n$
- T is $\forall s:C.T'$ or $\Pi s:C.T'$, T' satisfies the positivity condition for I, and I occurs only positively in C

We say that I occurs only positively in T when

- I does not occur in T
- T is of the form I $s_1...s_n$ and I does not occur in $s_1...s_n$
- T is of the form $\forall s: C.T'$ or $\Pi s: C.T'$, I occurs only positively in T', and I does not occur positively in C

Figure 9: Introduction of inductive datatype

$$\frac{\Gamma_P \vdash K_I : \square \quad (\Gamma_I, \Gamma_P \vdash T_{c_i} : \star)_{i=1..n}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{Ind} \ [p] \ (\Gamma_I := \Gamma_C) \ wf}$$

4.2 Well-formed inductive definitions

Let Γ_P, Γ_I , and Γ_C be contexts such that Γ_I associates a single type-variable I to kind $\Pi \Gamma_P$. K_I and Γ_c associates term variables $c_1...c_n$ with corresponding types $\forall \Gamma_P, T_1... \forall \Gamma_P, T_n$. Then the rule given in Figure 9 states when an inductive datatype definition may be introduced, provided that the following side conditions hold:

- Names I and $c_1...c_n$ are distinct from any other inductive datatype type or constructor names, and distinct amongst themselves
- $|\Gamma_P| = p$
- Each of $T_1...T_n$ is a type of constructor of I which satisfies the positivity condition for I
- No other previously defined inductive data types I' nor constructors $c'_1...c'_{n'}$ occur anywhere in Γ_P,Γ_I , or Γ_C

4.3 Typing Rules

Figure 10: Use of an inductive datatype Ind [p] $(\Gamma_I := \Gamma_C)$

$$\frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash I : \Gamma_I(I)}}{\overline{\Gamma \vdash_{\delta} c : \Gamma_C(c)}}$$

Assuming that an inductive definition Ind [p] ($\Gamma_I := \Gamma_C$) is well-formed and has been defined, the typing rules of Figure 10 govern its usage.