

### Test Exercise - 5

It is given that  $\Pr[\text{respi} = 1] = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{male}_i + \beta_2 \text{active}_i + \beta_3 \text{age}_i + \beta_4 (\frac{\text{age}_i}{10})^2)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{male}_i + \beta_2 \text{active}_i + \beta_3 \text{age}_i + \beta_4 (\frac{\text{age}_i}{10})^2)}$   
for  $i = 1, \dots, 925$

(a) We need to show that  $\frac{\partial \Pr[\text{respi} = 1]}{\partial \text{age}_i} + \frac{\partial \Pr[\text{respi} = 0]}{\partial \text{age}_i} = 0$

For notational simplicity, let

$$\alpha = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{male}_i + \beta_2 \text{active}_i + \beta_3 \text{age}_i + \beta_4 \left(\frac{\text{age}_i}{10}\right)^2$$

$$\text{so, } \Pr[\text{respi} = 1] = \frac{e^\alpha}{1 + e^\alpha}$$

$$\Pr[\text{respi} = 0] = \frac{1}{1 + e^\alpha}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Pr[\text{respi} = 1]}{\partial \text{age}_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \text{age}_i} (e^\alpha \cdot (1 + e^\alpha)^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \text{age}_i} \cdot e^\alpha \cdot (1 + e^\alpha)^{-1} - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \text{age}_i} \cdot e^\alpha \cdot (1 + e^\alpha)^{-2} \cdot e^\alpha$$

$$= \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \text{age}_i} \cdot e^\alpha \cdot (1 + e^\alpha)^{-2} \{ (1 + e^\alpha) - e^\alpha \}$$

$$= \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \text{age}_i} \cdot e^\alpha \cdot (1 + e^\alpha)^{-2}$$

— (1)

$$\left[ \because \frac{\partial (AB)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \cdot B + \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \cdot A \right]$$

$$\text{Now, } \frac{\partial \Pr[\text{respi} = 0]}{\partial \text{age}_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \text{age}_i} ((1 + e^\alpha)^{-1})$$

$$= -\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \text{age}_i} \cdot e^\alpha \cdot (1 + e^\alpha)^{-2}$$

— (2)

$$\text{so, } \frac{\partial \Pr[\text{respi} = 1]}{\partial \text{age}_i} + \frac{\partial \Pr[\text{respi} = 0]}{\partial \text{age}_i} = (1) + (2)$$

$$= \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \text{age}_i} \cdot e^\alpha \cdot (1 + e^\alpha)^{-2} - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \text{age}_i} \cdot e^\alpha \cdot (1 + e^\alpha)^{-2}$$

$$= 0$$

$$(b) \text{ resp}_i^{\text{new}} = -\text{resp}_i + 1$$

$$\text{Odds ratio} = \frac{\Pr[\text{resp}_i = 1]}{\Pr[\text{resp}_i = 0]}$$

Since, positive response is now defined to be equal to zero and negative response to be equal to 1,

$$\text{So, } \Pr[\text{resp}_i^{\text{new}} = 1] = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\alpha}}$$

$$\Pr[\text{resp}_i^{\text{new}} = 0] = \frac{e^{\alpha}}{1 + e^{\alpha}}$$

$$\text{odds ratio} = \frac{\Pr[\text{resp}_i^{\text{new}} = 1]}{\Pr[\text{resp}_i^{\text{new}} = 0]}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{1 + e^{\alpha}}}{\frac{e^{\alpha}}{1 + e^{\alpha}}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{e^{\alpha}}$$

$$= e^{-\alpha}$$

Hence, we can observe from the odds ratio that the sign of all ~~par~~ parameters in  $\alpha$  get reversed.

$$\text{Here, } \alpha = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{male}_i + \beta_2 \text{active}_i + \beta_3 \text{age}_i + \beta_4 \left(\frac{\text{age}_i}{10}\right)^2$$

(c) To allow age value to be different for males and females, we could add an interaction term between 'age' and 'male' dummy variable in the logit specification.

So, a term like 'age<sub>i</sub> x male<sub>i</sub>' could be added to the logit specification to allow the gender variable to have an interaction effect on 'age'.

eg.

$$\Pr[\text{resp}_i = 1] = \exp \left( \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{male}_i + \beta_2 \text{active}_i + \beta_3 \text{age}_i + \beta_4 \left( \frac{\text{age}_i}{10} \right)^2 + \beta_5 \text{age}_i \times \text{male}_i \right)$$

$$1 + \exp \left( \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{male}_i + \beta_2 \text{active}_i + \beta_3 \text{age}_i + \beta_4 \left( \frac{\text{age}_i}{10} \right)^2 + \beta_5 \text{age}_i \times \text{male}_i \right)$$

So,

$$\text{Odds Ratio} = \frac{\Pr[\text{resp}_i = 1]}{\Pr[\text{resp}_i = 0]} = \exp \left( \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{male}_i + \beta_2 \text{active}_i + \beta_3 \text{age}_i + \beta_4 \left( \frac{\text{age}_i}{10} \right)^2 + \beta_5 \text{age}_i \cdot \text{male}_i \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial(\text{Odds Ratio})}{\partial \text{age}_i} = \left( \beta_3 + \frac{\beta_4 \text{age}_i}{50} + \beta_5 \text{male}_i \right) \times \exp \left( \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{male}_i + \beta_2 \text{active}_i + \beta_3 \text{age}_i + \beta_4 \left( \frac{\text{age}_i}{10} \right)^2 + \beta_5 \text{age}_i \cdot \text{male}_i \right)$$

For maximum value of age,

$$\frac{\partial(\text{Odds Ratio})}{\partial \text{age}_i} = 0 \Rightarrow \beta_3 + \frac{\beta_4 \text{age}_{\max}}{50} + \beta_5 \text{male}_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{age}_{\max} = \frac{50}{\beta_4} \cdot (-\beta_5 \text{male}_i - \beta_3)$$

So, 'age<sub>i</sub>' depends on the gender (the dummy variable 'male<sub>i</sub>').