

# Task-2 Guidelines

## ▼ Instruction:

To assess a peer review and check how accurately it reflects the paper's content.

## Evaluation Process:

### 1. Read the entire paper first.

- a. It is recommended to download the paper from the portal **only**, for the purpose of highlighting important points or for easier reading.

### 2. Read the review. Identify every **discrete claim**.

- a. In case of "Summary" section of a review, the **entire content** of the "Summary" section should be identified as a **discrete claim**.
- b. In case of "Strength", "Weaknesses" and "Questions" sections of the review, **each of the bulleted points** should be identified as **discrete claims**.

### 3. For each claim, choose the best category from the dropdown.

### 4. If you are unfamiliar with a particular concept in the paper content/review content, you can search it up or consult GPT for further explanations to it if required.

## Claim Categorization:

You need rate the **Validity Agreement** of every claim on a scale of 1–4, scoring 1 when you "Completely Disagree" and 4 when you "Completely Agree" with the claim stated.

### Completely Disagree (Invalid Claim) [1]

- The claim is factually wrong or contradicts the paper

### Mostly Disagree (Largely Invalid Claim) [2]

- The claim is mostly incorrect, though it may contain minor truth.

### Mostly Agree (Largely Valid Claim) [3]

- The claim is mostly correct and supported, with minor gaps or oversights.

**Completely Agree (Fully Valid Claim) [4]**

- The claim is entirely accurate and directly supported by the paper.