

Supplementary Material for EMORF-II

This document contains the supplementary material for the manuscript titled "**EMORF-II: ADAPTIVE EM-BASED OUTLIER-ROBUST FILTERING WITH CORRELATED MEASUREMENT NOISE**" submitted to the "*International Workshop on Machine Learning and Signal Processing 2025*". It provides notations used in the paper, details of derivations of $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i$ and \hat{b}_k in the paper and discussion of some more numerical evaluations.

Notation

As a general notation in this work, \mathbf{r}^\top is the transpose of the vector \mathbf{r} , r^i denotes the i -th element of a vector \mathbf{r} ; \mathbf{r}^{-i} is the vector \mathbf{r} with its i -th element removed; the subscript k is used for the time index; \mathbf{r}_k is the vector \mathbf{r} at time instant k ; \mathbf{r}_k is the sequence of vectors \mathbf{r} over all time steps except k ; $R^{i,j}$ is the element of the matrix \mathbf{R} in the i -th row and j -th column; \mathbf{R}^{-1} is the inverse of \mathbf{R} ; $|\mathbf{R}|$ is the determinant of \mathbf{R} ; $\delta(\cdot)$ represents the delta function; $\langle \cdot \rangle_{q(\psi_k)}$ denotes expectation with respect to the distribution $q(\psi_k)$; $\text{tr}(\cdot)$ is the trace operator; $a \bmod b$ denotes the remainder of a/b ; the superscripts $-$ and $+$ mark predicted and updated filtering parameters, respectively. Furthermore, for any matrix \mathbf{R} we obtain the sub-matrix $\mathbf{R}^{-i,-i}$ by removing its i -th column and row. $\mathbf{R}_k(\mathcal{I}_k^i, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-})$ denotes $\mathbf{R}_k(\mathcal{I}_k)$ evaluated at \mathcal{I}_k with its i -th element as \mathcal{I}_k^i and remaining entries $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-}$.

Details of estimating $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i$

Invoking the EM algorithm, the estimates $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i$ can be obtained with the following maximization

$$\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i = \underset{\mathcal{I}_k^i}{\operatorname{argmax}} \langle \ln(p(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathcal{I}_k^i, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-}, \hat{b}_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k})) \rangle_{q(\mathbf{x}_k)}$$

This leads to Equation (21) in the manuscript given as

$$\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i = \underset{\mathcal{I}_k^i}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left\{ \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_k^i, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-})) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{R}_k(\mathcal{I}_k^i, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-})| + \ln((1 - \theta_k) f(a_k, \hat{b}_k) (\mathcal{I}_k^i)^{a_k-1} e^{-\hat{b}_k \mathcal{I}_k^i} + \theta_k \delta(\mathcal{I}_k^i - 1)) + k_1^i}_{\ln q(\mathcal{I}_k^i)} \right\} \quad (21)$$

where the term to be maximized is supposed as $\ln q(\mathcal{I}_k^i)$. We perform the following manipulations to obtain Equations (23)-(25) in the manuscript. Manipulating $\ln q(\mathcal{I}_k^i)$ we can get

$$\ln q(\mathcal{I}_k^i) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{R}_k(\mathcal{I}_k^i, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-})| - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_k^i, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-})) + \ln((1 - \theta_k^i) f(a_k, \hat{b}_k) (\mathcal{I}_k^i)^{a_k-1} e^{-\hat{b}_k \mathcal{I}_k^i} + \theta_k^i \delta(\mathcal{I}_k^i - 1)) + k_1^i$$

which leads to

$$q(\mathcal{I}_k^i) = k_2^i \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{R}_k(\mathcal{I}_k^i, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-})| - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_k^i, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-}))\right) \times (1 - \theta_k^i) f(a_k, \hat{b}_k) (\mathcal{I}_k^i)^{a_k-1} e^{-\hat{b}_k \mathcal{I}_k^i} + k_2^i \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{R}_k(\mathcal{I}_k^i = 1, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-})| - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_k^i = 1, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-}))\right) \theta_k^i \delta(\mathcal{I}_k^i - 1)$$

where k_2^i is another proportionality constant. Further manipulation of $q(\mathcal{I}_k^i)$ yields

$$q(\mathcal{I}_k^i) = k_2^i (R_k^{ii}/\mathcal{I}_k^i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k^{-i,-i}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} W_k^{ii} \frac{\mathcal{I}_k^i}{R_k^{ii}} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{W}_k^{-i,-i} (\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k^{-i,-i})^{-1})\right) \times (1 - \theta_k^i) f(a_k, \hat{b}_k) (\mathcal{I}_k^i)^{a_k-1} e^{-\hat{b}_k \mathcal{I}_k^i} + k_2^i \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{R}_k(\mathcal{I}_k^i = 1, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-})| - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_k^i = 1, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{i-}))\right) \theta_k^i \delta(\mathcal{I}_k^i - 1)$$

Moving the relevant terms in the exponents we get

$$q(\mathcal{I}_k^i) = k_2^i (R_k^{ii})^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k^{-i,-i}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_k^{-i,-i} (\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k^{-i,-i})^{-1})\right) \times (1 - \theta_k^i) f(a_k, \hat{b}_k) (\mathcal{I}_k^i)^{\overbrace{(a_k + \frac{1}{2})}^{\alpha_k} - 1} e^{-\overbrace{(\hat{b}_k + \frac{1}{2} \frac{W_k^{ii}}{R_k^{ii}})}^{\beta_k^i} \mathcal{I}_k^i} \\ + k_2^i \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \ln|\mathbf{R}_k(\mathcal{I}_k^i = 1, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{-i})| - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_k^i = 1, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{-i}))\right) \theta_k^i \delta(\mathcal{I}_k^i - 1)$$

α_k and β_k^i can easily be identified as follows given as Equations (26)-(27) in the manuscript

$$\alpha_k = a_k + 0.5 \quad (26)$$

$$\beta_k^i = \hat{b}_k + 0.5 \frac{W_k^{ii}}{R_k^{ii}} \quad (27)$$

Through further manipulation we can write $q(\mathcal{I}_k^i)$ as

$$q(\mathcal{I}_k^i) = k_2^i \underbrace{(R_k^{ii})^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k^{-i,-i}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_k^{-i,-i} (\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k^{-i,-i})^{-1})\right)}_{G_k^i} (1 - \theta_k^i) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_k) \hat{b}_k^{a_k}}{\Gamma(a_k) \beta_k^{i \alpha_k}} \overbrace{f(\alpha_k, \beta_k^i) (\mathcal{I}_k^i)^{\alpha_k - 1} e^{-\beta_k^i \mathcal{I}_k^i}}^{Gamma(\alpha_k, \beta_k^i)} \\ + k_2^i \underbrace{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \ln|\mathbf{R}_k(\mathcal{I}_k^i = 1, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{-i})| - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{R}_k^{-1}(\mathcal{I}_k^i = 1, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^{-i}))\right)}_{H_k^i} \theta_k^i \delta(\mathcal{I}_k^i - 1)$$

Since maximizing logarithm of a positive function is equivalent to maximizing the function, the resulting decision criterion (from Equation 21) depends on which part - G_k^i or H_k^i - of the multi-modal function $q(\mathcal{I}_k^i)$ has higher weight. If $H_k^i/G_k^i \geq 1$ we declare $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i = 1$. Otherwise, if $H_k^i/G_k^i < 1$, we assign $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i$ as the mode of $Gamma(\alpha_k, \beta_k^i)$. The criterion is summarized in Equation (23) in the manuscript reproduced as

$$\mathcal{I}_k^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } H_k^i/G_k^i \geq 1 \\ (\alpha_k - 1)/\beta_k^i & \text{if } H_k^i/G_k^i < 1 \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Details of estimating \hat{b}_k

Employing the EM algorithm the estimate for b_k can be calculated through the following maximization

$$\hat{b}_k = \underset{b_k^i}{\text{argmax}} \langle \ln(p(\mathbf{x}_k, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k, b_k | \mathbf{y}_{1:k})) \rangle_{q(\mathbf{x}_k)}$$

which leads to

$$\hat{b}_k = \underset{b_k}{\text{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^m \ln \left[(1 - \theta_k^i) f(a_k, b_k) (\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i)^{a_k - 1} e^{-b_k \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i} + \theta_k^i \delta(\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i - 1) \right] + \ln(f(A_k, B_k) b_k^{A_k - 1} e^{-B_k b_k})$$

Since $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i = 1$ do not affect the estimates \hat{b}_k , we can further write

$$\hat{b}_k = \underset{b_k}{\text{argmax}} \sum_{\{i: \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i \neq 1\}} \ln \left[(1 - \theta_k^i) f(a_k, b_k) (\hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i)^{a_k - 1} e^{-b_k \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i} \right] + \ln(f(A_k, B_k) b_k^{A_k - 1} e^{-B_k b_k})$$

which can be simplified as

$$\hat{b}_k = \underset{b_k}{\text{argmax}} \sum_{\{i: \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i \neq 1\}} (a_k \ln b_k - b_k \mathcal{I}_k^i) - B_k b_k + (A_k - 1) \ln b_k$$

Maximizing the above equation through differentiation leads to the estimate \hat{b}_k given as

$$\hat{b}_k = \frac{M_k a_k + A_k - 1}{B_k + \sum_{\{i: \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i \neq 1\}} \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i}$$

where $M_k = \#\{i : \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i \neq 1\}$ i.e. the count of \mathcal{I}_k elements not equal to one. Further defining $\bar{A}_k = M_k a_k + A_k$ and $\bar{B}_k = B_k + \sum_{\{i: \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i \neq 1\}} \hat{\mathcal{I}}_k^i$ results in Equation (28) of the manuscript

$$\hat{b}_k = \frac{\bar{A}_k - 1}{\bar{B}_k} \quad (28)$$

Other numerical experiments

We further extend our numerical experiments by introducing outliers generated from Uniform and Laplace distributions, replacing the previously considered Gaussian-based outliers. Across a range of parameter variations, the comparative performance trends remained similar to those presented in the main manuscript. Further evaluation of EMORF-II was conducted by testing alternative prior parameters θ_k^i , including values sampled from a Uniform distribution over the range $[0.05, 0.95]$. The results show that EMORF-II maintains robust performance across variations in θ_k^i . Nevertheless, setting $\theta_k^i = 0.5$ is recommended as a default choice in the absence of specific prior knowledge regarding outlier rates.