Make nice frontpage

**Front page**  
The front page must contain the following information:

* Title (in Danish and English)
* Name of author
* Name of supervisor
* Department
* Submission deadline (date)
* Total character count
* Permission to publish assignment: yes/no

Title

Undertitle

Perception & Action - Winter Exam 2022

Sara Kjær Kristensen ([au702259@uni.au.dk](mailto:au702259@uni.au.dk)) (herein after SK)

Maria Mujemula Olsen (au695881@uni.au.dk) (herein after MO)

School of Communication and Cognition, University of Aarhus

Nordre Ringgade 104 4 th, 8200 Aarhus N, Denmark

Formalia: Length for one student: 10-12 standard pages  
Length for two students: 17-22 standard pages

of 2400 characters including spaces = 24000-52800

All ‘you’ into ‘one’

Table/appendices/figure numbering

() om citationer

Skrifttype, størrelse, margen, linjeafstand, automatisk orddeling, overskifter: afstand inden. General ingen afstand efter afsnit

Reference: including figure, table, materiale liste

Table of content

Sidetal

Check anslag

The following are NOT included in a standard page:

* Titelblad
* Evt. forord
* Indholdsfortegnelse
* Litteraturliste
* Illustrationer
* Bilag
* Anerkendelses/takkeskrivelser
* Abstract

**Tables, figures, graphs and similar**  
Tabeller, grafer, figurer, billeder, tegninger mv. tæller det antal tegn, de indeholder. Fx tæller en figur som denne det antal tegn, de tre ord repræsenterer.

Print til PDF

Check pdf er i orden, specielt billeder, sidetal og formalia

Aflever

Table of Content:

[Abstract 4](#_Toc118231476)

[Introduction 4](#_Toc118231477)

[Heading 4](#_Toc118231478)

[Heading 4](#_Toc118231479)

[Hypothesis’ 4](#_Toc118231480)

[Experimental setup 4](#_Toc118231481)

[Study 4](#_Toc118231482)

[Heading 4](#_Toc118231483)

[Heading 4](#_Toc118231484)

[Heading 4](#_Toc118231485)

[Statistical power and number of participants 4](#_Toc118231486)

[Analysis 4](#_Toc118231487)

[Heading 4](#_Toc118231488)

[Heading 4](#_Toc118231489)

[Discussion - strength and weakness about the forsøg - what can we learn from it 4](#_Toc118231490)

[Implementation - where and how to use it 5](#_Toc118231491)

[Heading 5](#_Toc118231492)

[Heading 5](#_Toc118231493)

[Conclusion 5](#_Toc118231494)

[Citater 5](#_Toc118231495)

[References 5](#_Toc118231496)

[Appendices 7](#_Toc118231497)

# Abstract

An abstract is always in English only; this applies to assignments in Danish as well. The abstract is part of the total character count and should not exceed one page

# Introduction

## Heading

## Heading

# Hypothesis’

# Experimental setup

## Study

### Heading

### Heading

### Heading

### Statistical power and number of participants

# Analysis

## Heading

## Heading

# Discussion - strength and weakness about the forsøg - what can we learn from it

# Implementation - where and how to use it

## Heading

## Heading

# Conclusion

# Citater

# References

Boerve, T. (2018, July 9). Kompleksitet i købsbeslutningen ødelægger dit salg. *Kvadrant*. https://www.kvadrant.dk/2018/07/09/b2b-buying-complexity/

Carneiro, J., Santos, R., Marreiros, G., & Novais, P. (2014). Understanding Decision Quality through Satisfaction. In J. M. Corchado, J. Bajo, J. Kozlak, P. Pawlewski, J. M. Molina, B. Gaudou, V. Julian, R. Unland, F. Lopes, K. Hallenborg, & P. García Teodoro (Eds.), *Highlights of Practical Applications of Heterogeneous Multi-Agent Systems. The PAAMS Collection* (Vol. 430, pp. 368–377). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-07767-3\_33

Gilbert, D. T., & Ebert, J. E. J. (2002). Decisions and Revisions—The Affective Forecasting of Changeable Outcomes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *vol 82*(4), 503–514. https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.82.4.503

Hamilton, K., Shih, S.-I., & Mohammed, S. (2016). The Development and Validation of the Rational and Intuitive Decision Styles Scale. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, *98*(5), 523–535. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2015.1132426

Helm, D. (2017). An ecology of judgement: Sense-making in child welfare and protection social work. *Faculty of Social Sciences ETheses*. http://dspace.stir.ac.uk/handle/1893/26046

Kahneman, D. (2013). *Thinking fast and slow* (1st ed.). Farrar, Straus and Giroux. https://www.amazon.com/Thinking-Fast-Slow-Daniel-Kahneman/dp/0374533555#detailBullets\_feature\_div

Kennon, J. (2021, December 5). *Opportunity Cost*. The Balance. https://www.thebalance.com/what-is-opportunity-cost-357200

Kierkegaard, S. (1843). *Enten—Eller: Et livsfragment*. https://books.google.dk/books?id=eBJkAAAAcAAJ&hl=da&source=gbs\_book\_other\_versions

King, L. A., & Napa, C. K. (1998). What makes a life good? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *75*(1), 156–165.

Kumalasari, A. D., Karremans, J. C., & Dijksterhuis, A. (2020). Do people choose happiness? Anticipated happiness affects both intuitive and deliberative decision-making. *Current Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-020-01144-x

Leykin, Y., Roberts, C. S., & DeRubeis, R. J. (2011). Decision-Making and Depressive Symptomatology. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, *35*(4), 333–341. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-010-9308-0

Lyubomirsky, S., & Lepper, H. S. (1999). A Measure of Subjective Happiness: Preliminary Reliability and Construct Validation. *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, *46*, 137–155. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006824100041

Marcatto, F., & Ferrante, D. (2008). The Regret and Disappointment Scale: An instrument for assessing regret and disappointment in decision making. *Judgment and Decision Making*, *3*(1), 87–99.

Markic, O. (2009). Rationality and Emotions in Decision Making. *Interdisciplinary Description of Complex Systems*, *7*(2), 54–64.

McCormack, T., Feeney, A., & Beck, S. R. (2020). Regret and Decision-Making: A Developmental Perspective. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *29*(4), 346–350. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721420917688

Schwartz, B. (2004). The Tyranny of Choice. *Scientific American*, *290*(4), 70–75. https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0404-70

Schwartz, B. (2007, January 16). *The paradox of choice*. TED. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VO6XEQIsCoM

Schwartz, B., Ward, A., Lyubomirsky, S., Monterosso, J., White, K., & Lehman, D. R. (2002). Maximizing Versus Satisficing: Happiness Is a Matter of Choice. *Journol of Personality and Social Psychology*, *83*(5), 1178–1197. https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.83.5.1178

Stevenson, S. S., & Hicks, R. E. (2016). Trust Your Instincts: The Relationship Between Intuitive Decision Making And Happiness. *European Scientific Journal, ESJ*, *12*(11), 463. https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2016.v12n11p463

Westfall, J. (2016). *PANGEA: Power ANalysis for GEneral Anova designs*. https://jakewestfall.shinyapps.io/pangea/

Yager, R. R. (2004). Decision making using minimizaition of regret. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, *36*(2), 109–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijar.2003.10.003

Zhu, Y., Ritter, S. M., Müller, B. C. N., & Dijksterhuis, A. (2017). Creativity: Intuitive processing outperforms deliberative processing in creative idea selection—ScienceDirect. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *73*, 180–188. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2017.06.009

# Appendices