

# STATS 4M03: Multivariate Analysis

## Final Project

## Diabetes Dataset

Submitted to

Dr. Eman M.S. Alamer

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

McMaster University

Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4K1

November 22, 2024

Reported by

Xinyi Chen (400326045)

Tonu Xu(400370837)

Rayyan Kazim(Student ID)

Safi Khan (400402095)

First last (Student ID)

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Abstract

The study of diabetes is vital in understanding the progression of the disease and identifying key predictors. Throughout this paper, we perform data analysis on the diabetes dataset, and will primarily focus on leveraging various statistical methods that we learned in STATS 4M03\6M03: Multivariate Analysis. By using a variety of methods, our goal is to predict the onset of diabetes from detailed medical diagnostic measurements based on several contributing health factors, with the aim of uncovering patterns and relationships between various clinical and lifestyle factors.

The analysis begins with summarizing the dataset to gain insights into distributions, central tendencies, and variances of key variables such as blood glucose levels, BMI, and insulin levels. Correlation analysis highlights interdependencies among factors, while hypothesis testing identifies statistically significant differences between diabetic and non-diabetic populations. Regression models, including linear and logistic regression, are utilized to predict outcomes and assess risk factors like age, family history, and lifestyle habits.

This study aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of diabetes etiology and progression, emphasizing actionable insights for clinical decision-making and preventive strategies. The findings underscore the importance of statistical analysis in uncovering hidden trends and improving the accuracy of diabetes diagnosis and management. Future work may incorporate machine learning techniques for enhanced predictive modeling and broader applications in healthcare analytics.

.....

## 1.2 The Data

In this paper, we will study the diabetes dataset. Rahman (2024) which can be found here: Diabetes Dataset

The diabetes dataset contains 768 rows x 9 columns, representing various health diagnostic metrics for predicting diabetes. Each row corresponds to a unique patient record, with features capturing key medical attributes. Table 1 showcases each of the columns in the dataset and a

description of each of the columns.

We will be using R R Core Team (2024) as our main computing software

Column	Description Of Column
Pregnancies	Integer: Number of times the patient has been pregnant.
Glucose	Integer: Plasma glucose concentration (mg/dL) after a 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test.
BloodPressure	Integer: Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg).
SkinThickness	Integer: Triceps skinfold thickness (mm).
Insulin	Integer: 2-hour serum insulin (mu U/ml).
BMI	Float: Body mass index, defined as weight in kg/(height in m) <sup>2</sup> .
DiabetesPedigreeFunction	Float: A score indicating genetic predisposition to diabetes based on family history.
Age	Integer: Age of the patient (in years).
Outcome	Binary: Target variable where 1 indicates diabetes, and 0 indicates no diabetes.

Table 1: Description of the Diabetes Dataset

.....

### 1.2.1 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

In this paper, we used several EDA methods to analyze our dataset. These methods include: PCA Kurita (2019), and a normal QQ-plot Marden (2004). some text Alamer (2024)

#### 1.2.1.1 PCA

From figure 1, we observe that we should take 3 principle components.

#### 1.2.1.2 QQ-plot

From figure 2, we observe that the dataset is not normal

.....

### 1.2.2 Data Preparation

We write about the training and testing here, and how we used column 9 as a label. We also scaled the dataset.

## **2 Methodology**

### **2.1 Supervised learning Analysis**

In this section, we perform supervised learning analysis using Classification trees: k-Nearest Neighbours and the following ensembles methods: Bagging and Random Forests. Zhou (2012)

#### **2.1.1 k-Nearest Neighbours**

Supervised Learning Analysis Using k-Nearest Neighbours

#### **2.1.2 Random Forest Classifiers**

Supervised Learning Analysis Using Random Forest Classifiers

### **2.2 Binary Logistic Regression**

We performed a binary logistic regression analysis Faraway (2016), a supervised learning method, to explore the relationship between various predictor variables and the binary response variable “Outcome,” indicating the presence or absence of diabetes. The initial model incorporated eight predictor variables: Pregnancies, Glucose, Blood Pressure, Skin Thickness, Insulin, BMI, Diabetes Pedigree Function, and Age. These variables were selected based on their potential relevance to diabetes risk, informed by existing domain knowledge.

The objective of the analysis was to identify the most significant predictors using the backward elimination method, a stepwise regression technique. This approach began with a model including all predictors, subsequently removing variables in sequence based on the highest p-value exceeding 0.05. This systematic elimination of statistically insignificant predictors not only enhances the model’s interpretability but also minimizes the risk of overfitting, ensuring that the final model retains only variables with significant contributions to predicting the outcome.

## 2.3 Boosting

Boosting (Chen (2015) Friedman (2001)) is an ensemble learning technique that combines multiple weak learners, typically decision trees, to create a strong predictive model. For this analysis, we used XGBoost (Extreme Gradient Boosting), which is efficient and optimized for large datasets, to predict the presence of diabetes based on clinical measurements.

The model was trained on 70% of the data, leaving 30% for testing. The binary outcome variable (1 = diabetes, 0 = no diabetes) was predicted using features such as glucose levels, BMI, and age. Missing or zero values were present in some predictors (e.g., insulin), which could influence the model's performance.

### Model Parameters

- Learning rate (`eta`): 0.1
- Maximum tree depth (`max_depth`): 6
- Evaluation metric: Area Under the Curve (AUC)
- Number of boosting rounds (`nrounds`): 100

### 3 Discussion

#### 3.1 Binary Logistic Regression

Coefficients:	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	-8.4398695	0.8176393	-10.322	<2e-16
Pregnancies	0.1135092	0.0375672	3.021	0.00252
Glucose	0.0343876	0.0042392	8.112	4.99E-16
BloodPressure	-0.0134342	0.0059047	-2.275	0.0229
SkinThickness	0.0098866	0.0083722	1.181	0.23765
Insulin	-0.0015283	0.0009882	-1.546	0.122
BMI	0.0776662	0.0174657	4.447	8.72E-06
DiabetesPedigreeFunction	0.8088779	0.332009	2.436	0.01484
Age	0.0297298	0.0109345	2.719	0.00655

Table 2: Binary Logistic Regression

From Table 2, the coefficient for “SkinThickness” had the highest p-value ( $0.23765 > 0.05$ ), indicating it was not significantly associated with the outcome. At this stage, the misclassification rate was 0.22396. Consequently, “SkinThickness” was removed from the model. After removing “SkinThickness,” the coefficient for “Insulin” had the highest p-value ( $0.24334 > 0.05$ ), indicating it was also not significant. Then ‘insulin’ was excluded from the model.

Coefficients:	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	-8.304974	0.80035	-10.377	<2e-16
Pregnancies	0.115468	0.037168	3.107	0.00189
Glucose	0.03207	0.003896	8.232	<2e-16
BloodPressure	-0.012428	0.005724	-2.171	0.02992
BMI	0.082539	0.0163	5.064	4.11E-07
DiabetesPedigreeFunction	0.808528	0.329062	2.457	0.01401
Age	0.029419	0.010701	2.749	0.00598

Table 3: Binary Logistic Regression

Table 3 demonstrates that all remaining variables exhibited p-values below 0.05, confirming their statistical significance. Additionally, the misclassification rate decrease to 0.21354, signaling enhanced model performance. Consequently, the variables ‘Pregnancies,’ ‘Glucose,’ ‘Blood Pressure,’ ‘BMI,’ ‘Diabetes Pedigree Function,’ and ‘Age’ were identified as having a significant influence on the “Outcome.” This suggests a strong association between these factors and the risk of diabetes in females.

### 3.2 Boosting

The model achieved an accuracy of 78.3% on the test set, with an AUC of 0.85. The AUC, derived from the ROC curve (Figure ??), demonstrates the model’s strong ability to distinguish between diabetic and non-diabetic individuals.

The feature importance plot (Figure ??) reveals that glucose is the most significant predictor, followed by age, BMI, and genetic predisposition (DiabetesPedigreeFunction). These results align with established medical understanding of diabetes risk factors.

Boosting effectively identified significant predictors of diabetes, particularly glucose and BMI. However, the model’s performance may be affected by missing data and the dataset’s limited size. Future work could address these limitations by imputing missing values and validating the model on larger datasets. Overall, XGBoost proved to be a robust method for this binary classification

problem.

## 4 Conclusion

**TEMPORARY, WILL IMPROVE LATER** Comparison between supervised and unsupervised learning analysis, which method performs better for this dataset, which version of machine learning analysis helps us draw better conclusions for our dataset etc.

## 5 Bibliography

### References

Alamer, E. (2024). Lecture notes in multivariate analysis, lecture 2.

Chen, T. (2015). Xgboost: extreme gradient boosting. *R package version 0.4-2*, 1(4).

Faraway, J. J. (2016). *Extending the linear model with R: generalized linear, mixed effects and nonparametric regression models*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.

Friedman, J. H. (2001). Greedy function approximation: a gradient boosting machine. *Annals of statistics*, pages 1189–1232.

Kurita, T. (2019). Principal component analysis (pca). *Computer vision: a reference guide*, pages 1–4.

Marden, J. I. (2004). Positions and qq plots. *Statistical Science*, pages 606–614.

R Core Team (2024). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Rahman, M. H. (2024). Kaggles predicting diabetes onset based on diagnostic measures.

Zhou, Z.-H. (2012). *Ensemble methods: foundations and algorithms*. CRC press.