

# Crowdfunding UNC

## 1. Conclusions

The data from the graphs and charts show distinct seasonal patterns in crowdfunding activities. Notably, January, June, and July witness heightened campaign launches. However, success rates exhibit variability, prompting a closer look into the dynamics that might favor specific months for campaign success.

Diving into category specifics reveals some nuances. While "film & video" and "theater" boast substantial campaign counts, categories like "technology" and "music" show relatively higher success rates. This categorical breakdown gives creators valuable insights for strategic decision-making and navigating competitive landscapes.

Certain categories, such as "games" and "journalism," confront notable campaign failures. This indicates potential challenges or market saturation. Creators operating in these categories may benefit from renovating their strategies to enhance the likelihood of campaign success.

## 2. Limitations

The data from the chart and graph offers a bird's-eye view by presenting the count of outcomes, but it lacks pivotal metrics like funding amounts, campaign durations, or backer engagement. To truly grasp crowdfunding success, a more nuanced understanding of these factors is indispensable.

Furthermore, the data found in our crowdfunding spreadsheet leaves us in the dark about the specific strategies deployed by triumphant campaigns. The absence of insights into elements like effective communication, compelling media, or enticing perks hinders the ability to derive actionable conclusions and distill success strategies.

This dataset is confined to a specific time frame, limiting the capacity to discern evolving crowdfunding trends. A more insightful analysis would call for the exploration of data spanning several years, enabling the identification of enduring patterns and shifts in the crowdfunding landscape.