Solutions to Algorithms by Dasgupta, Papadimitriou, Vazirani

Arkaprava Choudhury January 3, 2021

Preliminary note

This is just a rough sketch of the reasoning I used to solve the exercises found in the book Algorithms by Dasgupta, Papadimitriou, Vazirani. At the time of writing this, I have only solved the exercises in Chapter 0, so I do not know whether I will be able to complete all the exercises.

I should also address the fact that I am self-studying this book in preparation of later courses. I figured that since I will need to learn this material at some point in time, it would be better to gain a head-start and familiarize myself with these terms. It is winter break of my first year currently. This project is simply a means to avoid letting my time go waste.

Huge kudos to the work done by Raymond Feng from UC Berkeley whose solutions I referred occasionally whenever I got stuck with a question for far too long. Check out his GitHub for the rest of the solutions, if this document is not complete by the time you are reading this.

1. Chapter 0 Exercises

Exercise 0.1.

- 0.1.a. $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 0.1.b. $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$
- 0.1.c. Firstly, note that the Big-O notation can also be expressed in the form of limits to infinity. For example, if we have two functions $u, v : \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $u \in \Theta(v)$, and some nonzero positive constant $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then we conclude:

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{u(x)}{v(x)} = c$$
 (using definitions)

Thus, applying this definition, we now see:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n + (\log n)^2}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{n} + \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n}$$

$$= 1 + \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2 \log n}{n} \qquad \text{(using L'Hopital's Rule)}$$

$$= 1 + 0 \qquad \text{(using L'Hopital's Rule again)}$$

$$= 1$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{100n + \log n}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{100n}{n} + \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log n}{n}$$

$$= 100 + 0 \qquad \text{(using L'Hopital's Rule again)}$$

$$= 100$$

Thus, $f, g \in \Theta(n)$, and so, we also have, $f \in \Theta(g)$.

- 0.1.d. $g = 10n \cdot (\log n + \log 10)$. Thus, $f \in \Theta(g)$.
- 0.1.e. $f \in \Theta(g)$.
- 0.1.f. $g = 2 \log n$. Thus, $f \in \Theta(g)$.
- 0.1.g. Firstly, note that for all $n \ge 10.273$, we have, $\log^2 n \ge n^{0.01}$. Thus, we have, for all $n \ge 10.273$, $n \log^2 n \ge n^{1.01}$. And so, $f \in \Omega(g)$.
- 0.1.h. $f \in \Omega(g)$
- $0.1.i. f \in \Omega(g)$
- 0.1.j. Credit to Raymond Feng for the following justification.

Firstly note that,

$$(\log n)^{\log n} \ge \frac{n}{\log n} \implies (\log n)^{(\log n + 1)} \ge n$$

Taking the logarithm on both sides leads to:

$$(\log n + 1)\log(\log n) \ge \log n$$

And consequently, we have,

$$\log n \log (\log n) \ge \log n \implies \log (\log n) \ge 1$$

 $0.1.k. f \in \Omega(g)$

0.1.l. Firstly, note that, $5^{\log_2 n} = n^{\log_2 5}$. Now, since $\log_2 5 > \frac{1}{2}$, this means that $f \in \Omega(g)$.

 $0.1.\text{m.} \ f \in \Omega(q)$

0.1.n. $f \in \Theta(g)$

0.1.o. $f \in \Omega(g)$

0.1.p. Firstly, note that,

$$g(n) = 2^{(\log_2 n)^2}$$

$$= 2^{(\log_2 n)(\log_2 n)}$$

$$= n^{\log_2 n}$$

$$f(n) = (\log n)^{\log n}$$

$$= (10^{\log (\log n)})^{\log n}$$

$$= (10^{\log n})^{\log (\log n)}$$

$$= n^{\log (\log n)}$$

Thus, it follows that $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$.

0.1.q. I could not solve this question. Please contact me if you have the solution.

Exercise 0.2.

- 0.2.b. If c=1, then the series is just a finite sum of 1's. And so, $g(n)=n+1\in\Theta(n)$.
- 0.2.a. We know that the reciprocals of the powers of any n>1 produce a convergent series, and so, we apply that here. Hence, the infinite series is convergent, which means its partial sums tend to a certain finite limit. And so, for some $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have, $g(n) \leq c \in \Theta(1)$.
- 0.2.c. We have,

$$g(n) = \frac{c^{n+1} - 1}{c - 1}$$
$$\in \Theta(c^n)$$

Exercise 0.3.

0.3.a. We use strong induction to solve this question.

Base case (n = 6): $F_6 = 8$. $2^{0.5 \cdot 6} = 2^3 = 8$. This is true.

Inductive hypothesis: Assume that, for all $6 \le n \le k$, $F_n \ge 2^{0.5 \cdot n}$.

Inductive step: We have,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k+1} &= F_k + F_{k-1} \\ &\geq 2^{0.5 \cdot k} + 2^{0.5 \cdot (k-1)} \\ &= 2^{0.5 \cdot (k-1)} \cdot (1 + 2^{0.5}) \\ &\geq 2^{0.5 \cdot (k-1)} \cdot 2 \\ &= 2^{0.5 \cdot (k+1)} \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(using induction hypothesis)}$$

- 0.3.b. I tried solving this, but I ended up with two upper bounds and could not form a nice inequality. Please contact me if you found the solution.
- 0.3.c. Same as before.

Exercise 0.4.

0.4.a. Define two 2×2 matrices A and B, with entries a_{ij} and b_{ij} respectively. We have,

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} & a_{11}b_{12} + a_{12}b_{22} \\ a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21} & a_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}b_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Well, clearly, this takes 8 multiplications and 4 additions.

0.4.b. Compute X^{2^k} for as long as $2^k \leq n$, and then multiply by the appropriate powers to result in X^n . Each multiplication takes 12 operations which results in approximately $12 \log_2 n$ steps. This is hence, $\mathcal{O}(\log_2 n)$.