Note 7

Review

Add pop-up quiz material from paper

Query Averaging

- Hides variance of systems preformance
- Can be very good in some queries, bad in others

Significance test

- Is our result due to our particular test sets or is it a fair indication of how our system preforms?
- Variance across systems and within systems. Want to make sure result is not coming from your dataset

Background

- **p-value** probability of obtaining data as extreme was observed, if null hypothesis was true (e.g, if observation is totally random)
- null hypotheis = observation @ random. if p is smaller than confident leve, we say null is false.
- We seek to reject null hypothesis. Observation is random result. Small p-val is good.
- assume something, try to reject it

Stat review

- Distribution is about some random variable
- In IR what is our random variable? Our query
- What we return from some query is random
- We assume some hypothesis. one case after another. Then we try to reject

Sign Test

• NH: diff median is zero between samples from two continuous distributions

Wilcoxon Signed rank test

- NH: Data are paired and come from same population
- Don't believe it gaussian. Don't assume any distribution. Non-parametric
- Don't care value, you care the order. Is this system better or not?

Paired t-test

• NH: Difference between two responses measured on same statistical unit has a zero mean val.

One tail

• one system is better than other. two-tail , diverse outcomes between systems

Where do we get relevance?

• Old school: Human annotation. 1) Enter query into my system. And rank results 2) annotator has to guess underlying information need

Kappa statistic

- Two annotaters are consistent with each other
- P(A) P(E) / (1-P(E))
- Probability they agree with each other = P(A)
- P(E) probability they would be expected to agree by chance
- 1 if judges agree. 0 if by chance. less than 0 disagree
- review example