

Wrangle Report

This report briefly describes the data wrangling exerted in this project.

The dataset that was wrangled (and analyzed and visualized) is the tweet archive of Twitter user @dog rates. This is a Twitter account that rates people's dogs from all over the world. These ratings denominator 10. But all dogs in the account have rated above 10 the reason of that based on the account's owner, is all dogs are good and deserve to be more than 10.

The Wrangling process is divided into three steps:

1. Gathering Data
2. Assessing Data
3. Cleaning Data

Gathering Data

I gathered data from three different sources. The first data was WeRateDogs Twitter archive contains basic tweet data, it available CSV file from Udacity, I imported it using pandas.

The second one was additional tweets data it contains Retweets counts and Favorite counts. I took the available data and did for loop and put it in a dictionary after that, I append it in a data frame with name of tweet.

Last one was image predictions, that data tell us if the predictable percentage of the photo whether it is dog or not. It was imported using request library, then I converted it to CSV file.

Assessing Data

Tidiness issues

- 1- All data sets can be structured in one data set
- 2- dogs breeds should be in one column

Quality issues

- 1- Archive, last four columns should be quantitative instead of categorical columns.
- 2- Archive, we do not need the column "text"
- 3- The data type of tweet_id should be object
- 4- Drop unnecessary columns
- 5- retweeted_status_timestamp change the data type to date time
- 6- Missing values in Twitter API
- 7- Change the data type of timestamp
- 8- Drop rows which are not dogs in image_pre

Cleaning Data

All quality issues and tidiness issues above were solved and cleaned.