MA 771: Introduction to Dynamical Systems
Lecture Notes

Malavika Mukundan

Spring 2025

Contents

1 Basic Concepts **3**

1.1 Orbits and Periodic Points 3

1.2 Examples 4

Linear Maps of $\mathbb{R}$ 4

Circle Maps 4

Torus Endomorphisms 5

1.3 Stable Behavior: The Contraction Principle 7

Global Contractions 7

Local Contractions 10

1.4 Fractals 11

The Cantor Set 11

Dynamical Systems on the Cantor Set 13

The Square Sierpinksi Carpet 14

The Sierpinski Triangle 14

Cantor Sets and Logistic Maps 15

1.5 Topological Conjugacy 16

Examples 16

2 Symbolic Dynamics **17**

2.1 Sequences over a finite alphabet 17

Topology on the Space of Sequences 17

2.2 Shift Operator on Sequences 19

Periodic Sequences 19

Logistic Maps Conjugate to the Shift 20

Topological Transitivity 26

3 Low-Dimensional Dynamics **28**

3.1 Basic Concepts 28

Transitivity, Mixing and Chaos 29

3.2 Circle Maps 29

Rotations 29

Lifts 32

Expanding Maps 33

General vs Linear Expanding Maps 36

Rotation Number 36

3.3 Torus Maps 36
Hyperbolicity 36

Chapter 1

Basic Concepts

Dynamical systems refer to systems that evolve over time. A simple example is an ordinary differential equation (ODE), such as

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = g(x)$$

where $g : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Some of the questions we can ask about ODE's include:

- Which points are stable/fixed?
- When do orbits tend to an attracting periodic cycle?
- When are orbits chaotic?

However, the class of dynamical systems is much bigger than ODE's. In particular, in the above example, the variable t, representing time, changes *continuously*. In this course we will focus mainly on *discrete* dynamical systems.

Definition 1.0.1. Let X be a topological space. A *discrete* dynamical system is a pair (X, f) where $f : X \longrightarrow X$ is a self-map.

We are interested in the function f and its iterates $f^{\circ n} = f \circ f^{\circ(n-1)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, the quantity that is varying in a *discrete* fashion is the number of iterations of f.

Example 1.0.2. **Linear maps** Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : x \mapsto Ax$ be a linear map.

Example 1.0.3. **Rotations of the Circle** $X = \mathbb{S}^1$ and $f(x) = e^{2\pi i\theta}x$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example 1.0.4. **Logistic Family** Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and fix $k \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then the family of maps $f_k : X \to X$ given by $x \mapsto kx(1-x)$ is called the *logististic family*.

Notice that we are not assuming any conditions on f such as continuity.

1.1 Orbits and Periodic Points

Definition 1.1.1. Given a dynamical system (X, f), $x_0 \in X$, the sequence

$$x_0, f(x_0), f^{\circ 2}(x_0), \cdots, f^{\circ n}(x_0), \cdots$$

is called the *forward orbit* of x_0.
The *reverse orbit of* x_0 is the set $\{x \in X : f^{\circ n}(x) = x_0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

A *fixed point* $x \in X$ is a point such that $f(x) = x$. The set of fixed points of f is denoted $\mathrm{Fix}(f)$. A *periodic point* is a point x such that $f^{\circ n}(x) = x$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in other words, a point in $\mathrm{Fix}(f^{\circ n})$ for some n.

Any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{\circ n}(x) = x$ is said to be a *period* of x. The smallest period n is called the *exact* period of x.

1.2 Examples

Linear Maps of $\mathbb{R}$

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be linear. We know that f is of the form $f(x) = mx + b$ where $m \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that

$$f^{\circ n}(x) = m^n x + b\big(m^{n-1} + m^{n-2} + \cdots + m + 1\big) = m^n x + b\frac{m^n - 1}{m - 1}$$

- If $m \neq \pm 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |m| < 1 &\implies \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, f^{\circ n}(x) \to \frac{b}{1-m} \text{ as } n \to \infty \\ |m| > 1 &\implies \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, f^{\circ n}(x) \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty \end{aligned}$$

- If $m = 1$, then $f(x) = x + b$ is a translation and all orbits tend to ∞
- If $m = -1$, then note that $f^{\circ 2}(x) = -(-x + b) + b = x$, and thus all the odd iterates are equal to f, and all the even iterates are equal to the identity.

Circle Maps

Example 1.2.1. For any rotation $f(x) = e^{2\pi i\theta}x$ of the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$, we have $\mathrm{Fix}(f) = \emptyset$ if $\theta \notin \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathrm{Fix}(f) = \mathbb{S}^1$ otherwise.

Definition 1.2.2. Fix an integer $m > 1$, and identify $\mathbb{S}^1$ with $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. The *expanding map* $E_m : \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ is defined as

$$E_m(x) = mx \ (\mathrm{mod})\ 1$$

Remark 1.2.3. E_m is expanding in the following sense: if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(\alpha, \beta) < \frac{1}{m}$, then

$$d_{\mathbb{S}_1}(\alpha, \beta) = m \cdot d_{\mathbb{S}^1}\Big(E_m(\alpha), E_m(\beta)\Big).$$

See Figure 1.1 for the graphs of E_m for $m = 2, 3$.



Figure 1.1: The graphs of the expanding maps E_2 (left) and E_3 (right) on the interior of $\mathbb{S}^1$, represented by the interval $(0, 1)$.

Note that ϕ is a fixed point of E_m if and only if $m\phi - \phi \in \mathbb{Z}$. In other words, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} m\phi - \phi &= n \\ \iff \phi &= \frac{n}{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, ϕ is a periodic point of E_m of period dividing k if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} m^k\phi - \phi &= n \\ \iff \phi &= \frac{n}{m^k-1} \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Fix}(E_m) &= \left\{0, \frac{1}{m-1}, \frac{2}{m-1} \cdots, \frac{m-2}{m-1}\right\} \\ \operatorname{Fix}\left(E_m^{\circ k}\right) &= \left\{0, \frac{1}{m^k-1}, \frac{2}{m^k-1} \cdots, \frac{m^k-2}{m^k-1}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Torus Endomorphisms

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the $n-$torus is the space $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\sim$ where $x \sim y$ if $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we let $[x]$ denote the equivalence class of x in $\mathbb{T}^n$.

Definition 1.2.4. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then A induces the *torus endomorphism* $T_A : \mathbb{T}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ given by

$$T_A([x]) = [Ax] \text{ for } [x] \in \mathbb{T}^n$$

Exercise 1.2.5. Show that T_A as given above is well-defined: that is, for any two vectors $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $v - w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $Av - Aw \in \mathbb{Z}^n$



Figure 1.2: An illustration of the torus endomorphism $T_A : \mathbb{T}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ for $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Example 1.2.6. Let $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$. Consider the map T_A on $\mathbb{T}^2$: this acts as two independent expanding maps: expansion by a factor of m in the $x-$direction, and expansion by a factor of k in the $y-$direction (see Figure 1.2 which illustrates the case $m = k = 2$). Can you show in general that the degree of such a map is $d = mk$? In other words, T_A is a $d : 1$ map of $\mathbb{T}^2$.

Definition 1.2.7. A torus endomorphism T_A is said to be an *automorphism* if it is invertible.

Exercise 1.2.8. (This is also on HW 1) Show that T_A is invertible if and only if A^{-1} has integer entries, which in turn is equivalent to $\det A = \pm 1$.

Proposition 1.2.9. *Let $T_A : \mathbb{T}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ be a torus automorphism with no eigenvalues of modulus* 1. *Then the periodic points of T_A are all the points with rational coordinates.*

Proof. (periodic $\Longrightarrow$ rational):
Let $[x] = [(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)] \in \mathbb{T}^n$ be a periodic point of period q for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $T_A^{\circ q}([x]) = [A^q x] = [x]$. That is, there exists a vector $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A^q x &= x + y \\ \Longrightarrow A^q x - x &= y \\ \Longrightarrow (A^q - \mathrm{Id})x &= y \end{aligned}$$

Since A has no eigenvalues of modulus 1, the matrix A^q has no eigenvalues of modulus 1. This means that the matrix $A^q - \mathrm{Id}$ is invertible. So

$$x = (A^q - \mathrm{Id})^{-1} y$$

Since y has integer coordinates and the matrix $(A^q - \mathrm{Id})^{-1}$ has rational entries, x has rational coordinates.

(rational $\implies$ periodic):
Suppose x has rational coordinates, we can assume that all the coordinates have a common denominator. In other words, $x = \left(\frac{p_1}{r}, \frac{p_2}{r}, \cdots, \frac{p_n}{r}\right)$ for some integers p_i, r with $r \neq 0$. Given a $q \in \mathbb{N}$, since A has integer entries, $A^q x = \left(\frac{p_1'}{r}, \frac{p_2'}{r}, \cdots, \frac{p_n'}{r}\right)$ for some integers $p_1', \cdots, p_n'$.

Note that there are only finitely many points in $\mathbb{T}^n$ with rational coordinates with a common denominator r. In other words, the set $\{T_A^{\circ q}([x]) : q \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is finite.

Thus, there exist $q_1 < q_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T_A^{\circ q_1}([x]) = T_A^{\circ q_2}([x])$. Since T_A is an automorphism, this means that $T_A^{\circ(q_2-q_1)}([x]) = [x]$. $\square$

1.3 Stable Behavior: The Contraction Principle

In this section we will look at maps on subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which satisfy a criterion for all orbits converging to a fixed point.

Global Contractions

Definition 1.3.1. A map f of a subset X of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be *Lipschitz-continuous* with Lipschitz constant λ, or λ-*Lipschitz* if

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$$

for any $x, y \in X$.
The map f is said to be a *contraction* if

$$d(f(x), f(y)) < d(x, y) \qquad \forall x, y \in X.$$

It is said to be a $\lambda-$*contraction* for $\lambda < 1$ if

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \qquad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Remark 1.3.2. If a map f is Lipschitz-continuous, then we define

$$Lip(f) := \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{d(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)}$$

Example 1.3.3. $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ defines a contraction on $I = [1, \infty)$. What is $Lip(f)$?

Theorem 1.3.4 (Contraction Principle in $\mathbb{R}^n$). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed and $f : X \longrightarrow X$ be a $\lambda-$contraction. Then f has a unique fixed point x_0 and $d(f^{\circ n}(x), x_0) = \lambda^n d(x, x_0)$ for every $x \in X$.*

Proof. We have

$$d(f^{\circ n}(x), f^{\circ n}(y)) \leq \lambda^n d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. But this also means that for any $x \in X$, we have

$$d(f^{\circ(n+1)}(x), f^{\circ n}(x)) \leq \lambda^n d(f(x), x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^{\circ m}(x), f^{\circ n}(x)) &\leq d(f^{\circ m}(x), f^{\circ(m-1)}(x)) + d(f^{\circ(m-1)}(x), f^{\circ(m-2)}(x)) + \cdots d(f^{\circ(n+1)}(x), f^{\circ n}(x)) \\ &\leq \left(\lambda^{m-1} + \lambda^{m-2} + \cdots \lambda^n\right) d(f(x), x) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^n(1-\lambda^{m-n})}{1-\lambda} d(f(x), x) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} d(f(x), x) \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the orbit of x is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is closed, $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^{\circ n}(x) = x_0$ is a point of X, and

$$f(x_0) = f(\lim_{n\to\infty} f^{\circ n}(x)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f^{\circ(n+1)}(x_0) = x_0$$

□

Remark 1.3.5. Given a sequence $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in a metric space (Y, d), we say that $y_n \to y \in Y$ *exponentially* if there exist constants $A > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that

$$d(y_n, y) \leq A\lambda^n d(y_0, y)$$

Note that in the above situation, the orbit under f of x converges exponentially to x_0 (here $A = 1$).

The contraction principle applies to $\lambda-$contractions defined on complete metric spaces.

Theorem 1.3.6 (Contraction Principle for complete metric spaces)**.** *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f : X \longrightarrow X$ be a $\lambda-$contraction. Then there exists a unique fixed point $x_0 \in X$ such that the orbits under f of all points $x \in X$ converge exponentially to x_0.*

Example 1.3.7 (Rabbits; due to Fibonacci)*.* Say we record the number of rabbits in a forest starting January (month 0) of a given year. The Fibonacci model for rabbit population growth is as follows:
Letting b_n denote the number (in hundreds) of rabbits at the beginning of month n, we assume

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= 1 \\ b_1 &= 2 \\ b_n &= b_{n-1} + b_{n-2} \text{ for } n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is expected that the rabbit population growth rate stabilises as $n \to \infty$. That is, there exists $a \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} = b$. This means that in the long term, the rabbit population grows approximately *exponentially*, by a rough factor of b each month.

To prove the existence of a value a as required, we let $a_n = \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}$. Note that

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{b_{n+2}}{b_{n+1}} = \frac{b_{n+1} + b_n}{b_{n+1}} = 1 + \frac{b_n}{b_{n+1}} = 1 + \frac{1}{a_n}$$

Letting $g(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{x}$, we see that

$$a_{n+1} = g^{\circ n}(a_0) = g^{\circ n}(2) \text{ for all } n \geq 0$$

Claim: There exists a closed interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_0 = 2$ such that

- $g(I) \subset I$
- g is a λ-contraction on I for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, and
- $a_0 = 2 \in I$

If we can prove this claim, then by the contraction principle, a can be recovered as the unique fixed point of g in I.

Proof of claim: The function g is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, and has the horizontal asymptote $y = 1$. Note that $g'(x) = \frac{-1}{x^2}$.

This means $\forall x \in [c, \infty)$ where $c > 1$,

$$|g'(x)| = \frac{1}{x^2} \leq \frac{1}{c^2} < 1$$
$$\implies |g(x) - g(y)| \leq \frac{1}{c^2}|x - y| \text{ for all } x, y \in [c, \infty)$$

In other words, for all $c > 1$, $g : [c, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\lambda-$contraction with $\lambda = \frac{1}{c^2}$. Also note g has a unique positive fixed point x_0: we can find it by solving the equation $g(x) = x$.

$$g(x) = x$$
$$\implies 1 + \frac{1}{x} = x$$
$$\implies x^2 - x + 1 = 0$$
$$\implies x = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

So $x_0 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Note that $\frac{3}{2} < x_0 < 2$.
Let $I = [\frac{3}{2}, 2]$, Then we have

$$g(2) = \frac{3}{2} \text{ and } g(3/2) = \frac{5}{3} < 2.$$

we see that $g(I) \subset I$. By the above discussion, g is a $\lambda-$contraction on I (with $\lambda = (2/3)^2$), and thus the orbit under g of $a_0 = 2$ converges to $a = x_0 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.
Remark 1.3.8. The choice of I is not unique: for any $c \in (1, 3/2]$, we have $g[c, 2] = [3/2, g(c)] \subset [c, 2]$, and g is a λ contraction on $[c, 2]$ with $\lambda = \frac{1}{c^2}$. I made a small mistake in class by saying c can be in $[1, x_0]$: can you see why $c \in (3/2, x_0]$ won't work?

Local Contractions

Proposition 1.3.9. *Let f be a continuously differentiable map of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a fixed point x_0 where $||Df_{x_0}|| < 1$. Then there is a closed neighborhood U of x_0 such that $f(U) \subset U$ and f is a contraction on U.*

To do this we will need the following exercise and proposition:

Exercise 1.3.10. Given a linear map $A : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, recall that

$$||A|| = \sup_{||x|| \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||}{||x||}$$

Prove that $A \mapsto ||A||$ is a continuous function from $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ to $\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 1.3.11. *Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed disk and let $f : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be continuous, with continuous derivative on the interior of V. Suppose there exists $M > 0$ such that $||Df_x|| \leq M$ for all x in the interior of V. Then*

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq Md(x, y) \qquad \forall x, y \in V$$

Proof. Given $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $g : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be the function

$$g(t) = f((1 - t)x + ty)$$

Then the mean value theorem states that for some $c \in (0, 1)$,

$$d(g(0), g(1)) \leq ||g'(c)||$$

From this we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x), f(y)) &= d(g(0), g(1)) \leq ||g'(c)|| \\ &= ||Df_{(1-c)x+cy}(y - x)|| \leq ||Df_{(1-c)x+cy}|| \cdot d(x, y) \\ &\leq Md(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 1.3.9. The function f is C^1 implies that $x \mapsto Df$ is continuous. By Exercise 4, the composition $x \mapsto Df_x \mapsto ||Df_x||$ is continuous. Fix a point $\lambda \in \Big(||Df_{x_0}||, 1\Big)$. Then there exists a small closed ball $U = \overline{B(x_0, \delta)}$ around x_0 on which $||Df_x|| \leq \lambda < 1$.

By Proposition 1.3.11, if $x, y \in U$, then $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$. Moreover, for all $x \in U$, we have

$$d(f(x), x_0) = d(f(x), f(x_0)) \leq \lambda d(x, x_0) \leq \lambda\delta < \delta.$$

This shows that $f(U) \subset U$, and f is a $\lambda-$contraction on U.

□

Suggested Reading 1.3.12.

- [3, Section 2.2]

1.4 Fractals

In this section we will define fractals and introduce self-similarity. We will also give an idea of their connection with dynamical systems with some examples.

The Cantor Set

The simplest example of a fractal is the ternary cantor set.

Definition 1.4.1. Let $I = [0,1]$. Inductively define closed subsets $C_n \subset I$ for $n \geq 0$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \Big[0,1\Big]$$
$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_0 \setminus \left(\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\right) = \frac{\mathcal{C}_0}{3} \cup \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}_0}{3}+\frac{2}{3}\right)$$
$$\mathcal{C}_n = \frac{\mathcal{C}_{n-1}}{3} \cup \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}_{n-1}}{3}+\frac{2}{3}\right) = \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{3^{n-1}-1} \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^n},\frac{3k+2}{3^n}\right) \text{ for all } n \geq 2$$

It can be shown that the set $\mathcal{C}_n$ is the disjoint union of 2^n closed intervals, each of length $\frac{1}{3^n}$. The ternary Cantor set is defined as

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_n$$

We look at some of the properties of $\mathcal{C}$.

1. $\mathcal{C}$ **is closed**, since it is the intersection of closed sets

2. $\mathcal{C}$ **is compact**, since it is a closed subset of a compact set.

3. $\mathcal{C}$ **is non-empty.**
   For example, the points 0 and 1 belong to all the sets $\mathcal{C}_n$, so they also belong to $\mathcal{C}$.

4. $\mathcal{C}$ **is uncountable.**
   We will show this by giving an explicit description of $\mathcal{C}$.

   Definition 1.4.2. Given a number $x \in [0,1]$, a base 3 (or *ternary*) expansion for x is a sequence $.\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\cdots$ with $\alpha_n \in \{0,1,2\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

   $$x = \frac{\alpha_1}{3} + \frac{\alpha_2}{3^2} + \cdots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^n}$$

   The decimal point before the α's indicate that the number is less than or equal to 1. More generally, for any real number $y \in \mathbb{R}$. The ternary expansion of y is a sequence $\beta_{m-1}\beta_{m-2}\cdots\beta_0.\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\cdots$ with $\beta_i \in \{0,1,2\}$ for all $i \in \{0,1,2,\cdots m-1\}$ and $\alpha_n \in \{0,1,2\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

   $$y = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta_i \cdot 3^i + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^n}$$

Note that the ternary expansion of a number is not unique. For example,

$$\frac{1}{3} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^n}$$

So $.100000\cdots$ and $.0222222\cdots$ are both ternary expansions for $\frac{1}{3}$. Similarly, $.200000\cdots$ and $.1222222\cdots$ are both ternary expansions for $\frac{2}{3}$.

Exercise 1.4.3. Every number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ has only finitely many ternary expansions.

Remark 1.4.4. If x has a ternary expansion $.\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\cdots$, then $\frac{x}{3}$ has a ternary expansion $.0\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots$

Proposition 1.4.5.

$$\mathcal{C} = \{x \in [0,1] : \textit{there exists a ternary expansion } .\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots \textit{ for } x \textit{ with } \alpha_n \in \{0,2\} \textit{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Proof. We prove this by induction. Note that

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \{x \in [0,1] : \text{there exists a ternary expansion } .\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots \text{ for } x \text{ with } \alpha_1 \in \{0,2\}\}$$

Using the recursive formula for $\mathcal{C}_n$, it is easy to show that

$$\mathcal{C}_N = \{x \in [0,1] : \text{there exists a ternary expansion } .\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots \text{ for } x \text{ with } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_N \in \{0,2\}\}$$

Since every x has only finitely many ternary expansions, for x to be in all the $\mathcal{C}_n$'s, there exists at least one ternary expansion which satisfies the condition $\alpha_n \in \{0,2\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

Since every sequence in $\{0,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ can be realized as the ternary expansion of a distinct number $x \in [0,1]$, and the set $\{0,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is uncountable, we see that $\mathcal{C}$ is uncountable.

5. **$\mathcal{C}$ is perfect.**

Definition 1.4.6. Let Y be a topological space. A subset $X \subset Y$ is said to be perfect if it is closed in Y and has no isolated points.

Proposition 1.4.7. *For every $x \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a sequence (x_n) of distinct points with $x_n \in \mathcal{C}$ and $x_n \to x$.*

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we will exhibit a point $x_N \neq x$ such that $|x_N - x| < \epsilon$ and $x_N \in \mathcal{C}$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{2}{3^N} < \epsilon$. This ensures that the interval of radius $\frac{1}{3^N}$ centered at x is contained in the open ball $B_\epsilon(x)$. Let $\widetilde{I}$ be the component interval of $\mathcal{C}_N$ that contains x. The above condition implies that $\widetilde{I} \subset B_\epsilon(x)$.

In $\mathcal{C}_{N+1}$, the middle third of $\widetilde{I}$ is deleted, and we get two component intervals $\widetilde{I}_0$ and $\widetilde{I}_1$. Without loss of generality, assume $x \in \widetilde{I}_0$. Then pick a point $y \in \mathcal{C} \cap \widetilde{I}_1$. Note that $y \neq x$ by this choice and since $\widetilde{I}_1 \subset B_\epsilon(x)$, we have $|y - x| < \epsilon$. Therefore we can set $x_N = y$. $\square$

6. $\mathcal{C}$ **is totally disconnected.**

   Definition 1.4.8. A topological space X is said to be totally disconnected if its only non-empty connected subsets are singletons.

   Proposition 1.4.9. *If $F \subset \mathcal{C}$ is non-empty and connected, then $F = \{x\}$ for some point $x \in \mathcal{C}$.*

   Proof. Suppose $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ are two distinct points in F. WLOG, assume $x < y$. Pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{3^{N-1}} < |x - y|$. Then, x and y are contained in distinct components of C_N. So there exists $z \in (x, y)$ such that $z \notin \mathcal{C}$. Let $A = F \cap [0, z)$ and $B = F \cap (z, 1]$. Note that $A \cup B = F$. Also note that the closures of A and B don't intersect. This contradicts the fact that F is connected. $\square$

7. $\mathcal{C}$ **has Lebesgue measure** 0**.**
   Let μ denote Lebesgue measure. The set $\mathcal{C}_n$ is the union of 2^n disjoint intervals, each of length 3^{-n}. Therefore, we have $\mu(\mathcal{C}_n) = \frac{2^n}{3^n}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_1 \supset \mathcal{C}_2 \supset \mathcal{C}_3 \supset \cdots$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is the intersection of the $\mathcal{C}_n$, we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{C}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(\mathcal{C}_n) = 0$$

The following theorem is the main result of this section. For a proof see [2].

Theorem 1.4.10 (Brouwer)**.** *Let $Y \neq \emptyset$ be a complete metric space. If Y is compact, perfect and totally disconnected, then it is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{C}$.*

An easy corollary, for example, is that $\mathcal{C}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$.

Suggested Reading 1.4.11.

- [3, Section 2.7.1]

Dynamical Systems on the Cantor Set

Consider the map $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ defined as $f(x) = \frac{x}{3}$. It is easy to see that f is a contraction and $f(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}$, and the unique fixed point in $\mathcal{C}$ is $x = 0$. Note that for every $x \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a neighborhood U of x such that $f : U \longrightarrow f(U)$ is a homeomorphism.
Also note that f induces the shift $.\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 \cdots \to .0\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 \cdots$ on ternary expansions.

Definition 1.4.12. A topological space X is said to be *self-similar*, or to have the *rescaling property*, if there exists a contraction $f : X \longrightarrow X$ such that for every $x \in X$ and neighborhood U of x, there exists a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of x such that $f : V \longrightarrow f(V)$ is a homeomorphism.

Remark 1.4.13. This is actually equivalent to saying that every $x \in X$ has a neghborhood U such that $f : U \longrightarrow f(U)$ is a homeomorphism. Also note that the term self similar is used in different ways in the literature; we will see by and by that this definition is not extensive enough.

Exercise 1.4.14. Show that the function $f(x) = 1 - \frac{x}{3}$ leaves $\mathcal{C}$ invariant, and is a contraction. Describe the induced operation on ternary expansions, and find the unique fixed point of f in $\mathcal{C}$.

Exercise 1.4.15. Show that the function $f(x) = \frac{x+2}{3} \pmod 1$ leaves $\mathcal{C}$ invariant, and is a contraction. Describe the induced operation on ternary expansions, and find the unique fixed point of f in $\mathcal{C}$.

The Square Sierpinksi Carpet



Figure 1.3: The set $\mathcal{J}_3$ of the Sierpinski carpet construction

Definition 1.4.16. Let $J = [0,1] \times [0,1]$ be the unit square. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_0 &= J \\
\mathcal{J}_1 &= \mathcal{J}_0 \setminus \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right) \\
\mathcal{J}_n &= \mathcal{J}_{n-1} \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{3^{n-1}-1} \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{3^{n-1}-1} \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^n}, \frac{3k+2}{3^n}\right) \times \left(\frac{3\ell+1}{3^n}, \frac{3\ell+2}{3^n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

The square Sierpinski carpet is the set $\mathcal{J} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_n$.

Exercise 1.4.17. Prove that the Sierpinski carpet is self-similar.

The Sierpinski Triangle



Figure 1.4: The set Δ_2 of the Sierpinski triangle construction

This set is similar to the Sierpinski carpet. Start with an equilateral triangle Δ_0 of side length 1, with one side horizontal. Let Δ_1 be Δ_0 minus its central equilateral triangle, Δ_2 be Δ_1 minus its three smaller central equilateral triangles and so on.
Define the Sierpinski triangle

$$\Delta = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_n$$

Exercise 1.4.18. Prove that the Sierpinski triangle is self-similar.

Exercise 1.4.19. Prove that $\mathcal{J}$ and Δ both have infinite perimeter and finite area (Lebesgue measure).

Exercise 1.4.20. Prove that neither $\mathcal{J}$ nor Δ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{C}$.

Suggested Reading 1.4.21.

- [3, Section 2.7.2]

Cantor Sets and Logistic Maps

Consider the logistic function $f(x) = 5x(1-x)$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Note that this function has one critical point at $x = \frac{1}{2}$, and is symmetric around this point in the sense that

$$f(x) = f(1-x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

We make the following series of observations:

1. The graph of f is a downward drawn parabola, and its roots are $x = 0, 1$.
2. If $x > 1$, then $f(x) < 0$.
3. If $x < 0$, then $f(x) < x$ and $|f(f(x)) - f(x)| > |f(x) - x|$.

The points (2) and (3) show that if $x \notin [0,1]$, then $f^{\circ n}(x) \to -\infty$. This leads to the following dichotomy:
For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, exactly one of the following is true:

- either $f^{\circ n}(x) \in [0,1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, or
- $f^{\circ m}(x) \notin [0,1]$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus, $f^{\circ n}(x) \to -\infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

Therefore, the set B of points $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such the orbit $(f^{\circ n}(x))_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded, is the set of points x such that $f^{\circ n}(x) \in [0,1]$ for all n. In other words,

$$B = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} (f^{\circ n})^{-1}[0,1]$$

Proposition 1.4.22. *B is a Cantor set (i.e., it is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{C}$).*

We will prove this in the next chapter.

1.5 Topological Conjugacy

Definition 1.5.1. Let X, Y be topological spaces and suppose $f : X \longrightarrow X$ and $g : Y \longrightarrow Y$ be dynamical systems. Then (X, f) and (Y, g) are said to be *topologically conjugate* if there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : X \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$g \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ f$$

In other words, φ is a homeomorphism that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \end{array}$$

Note that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$g^{\circ n} = (\varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1})^{\circ n} = (\varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ (\varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ \cdots \circ (\varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}) = \varphi \circ f^{\circ n} \circ \varphi^{-1}$$

In particular, φ maps the $f-$orbit of x to the $g-$orbit of $\varphi(x)$ for every $x \in X$. So topological conjugacy is a form of equivalence between two dynamical systems.

Examples

Example 1.5.2. The map $\varphi(x) = \frac{-1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$ conjugates the dynamical systems $f(x) = x^2$ and $g(x) = 2x(1-x)$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Since φ is linear, we say that $(\mathbb{R}, f)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, g)$ are *linearly/affinely* conjugate.

Exercise 1.5.3. Prove that every quadratic polynomial $f : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is affine conjugate to a polynomial of the form $z^2 + c$ for a unique $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Exercise 1.5.4. Let W and V be vector spaces over $\mathbb{R}$, and suppose $A : W \longrightarrow W$ and $B : V \longrightarrow V$ are linear maps. Show that if A and B are conjugate as linear maps, ie, there exists an invertible linear map $L : W \longrightarrow V$ such that $LA = BL$, then they are also topologically conjugate in the sense defined in the previous section.

Logistic Map Revisited

We now revert back to our previous discussion of Cantor sets and the logistic map $f(x) = 5x(1-x)$.

Let $\Sigma = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{s = s_1s_2\cdots | s_i \in \{0,1\} \forall i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be the map $s_1s_2s_3\cdots \mapsto s_2s_3\cdots$. We will equip Σ with a topology under which σ is continuous.

Theorem 1.5.5. *Given $\mu \neq 0$, let $g_\mu(x) = \mu x(1-x)$, and let $B_\mu \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the set of points with bounded orbits under g_μ.*

For $\mu > 4$, the dynamical systems (B_μ, g_μ) and (Σ, σ) are topologically conjugate.

Theorem 1.5.6. *The set Σ is homeomorphic to the ternary Cantor set $\mathcal{C}$.*

These theorems imply Proposition 1.4.22. In the next chapter, we will prove Theorem 1.5.6, and Theorem 1.5.5 for the smaller range $\mu > 2 + \sqrt{5} > 4$.

Chapter 2

Symbolic Dynamics

To prove Theorems 1.5.5 and 1.5.6, we will need the powerful machinery of symbolic dynamics. In the next section we will introduce its basic concepts.

2.1 Sequences over a finite alphabet

Let (X, d_X) be a metric space, and $A \subset X$ be a finite set with $|A| \geq 2$.

Definition 2.1.1. The set of sequences with alphabet A is denoted Σ_A. In other words,

$$\Sigma_A = A^{\mathbb{N}} = \{s = s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots | s_j \in A \forall j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Topology on the Space of Sequences

We define a metric on Σ_A as follows: for all $s, t \in \Sigma_A$, we let

$$d(s,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_X(s_j, t_j)}{|A|^{j-1}} \tag{2.1}$$

Proposition 2.1.2. *The function $d : \Sigma_A \times \Sigma_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is well-defined.*

Proof. We need to show that for all $s, t \in \Sigma_A$, the infinite series given above converges. Let $M = \max_{p,q \in A} d_X(p, q)$.

$$\begin{aligned} d(s,t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_X(s_j, t_j)}{|A|^{j-1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{M}{|A|^{j-1}} = M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|A|^{j-1}} \\ &= \frac{M}{1 - \frac{1}{|A|}} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.1.3. *The function defined by Equation 2.1 is a metric on Σ_A.*

Proof. We need to show the following:

1. for all $s, t \in \Sigma_A$, $d(s,t) \geq 0$;
2. for all $s, t \in \Sigma_A$, $d(s,t) = 0 \iff s = t$;
3. for all $s, t, r \in \Sigma_A$, $d(s,r) \leq d(s,t) + d(t,r)$.

We will see these one by one.

1. is clear since every term in the infinite sequence defining $d(s,t)$ is non-negative.
2. is clear since if $d(s,t) = 0$, then $d_X(s_j, t_j) = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies $s_j = t_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.
3. for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_X(s_j, r_j) \leq d_X(s_j, t_j) + d_X(t_j, r_j)$. This immediately shows (3).

$\square$

The metric d induces a topology on Σ_A. We will see some properties of this topology in the remaining section.

Remark 2.1.4. By scaling the metric d_X if necessary, from now on we assume without loss of generality that $M = \max_{p,q \in A} d_X(p,q) = 1$.

Proposition 2.1.5. *Suppose $s, t \in \Sigma_A$ satisfy $s_j = t_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$. Then*

$$d(s,t) < \frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}(|A|-1)} \leq \frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}}$$

Proof. The second inequality follows directly since $\frac{1}{|A|-1} \leq 1$.
Since $s_j = t_j$ for $j = 1, \cdots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(s,t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{d_X(s_j,t_j)}{|A|^{j-1}} + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{d_X(s_j,t_j)}{|A|^{j-1}} \\ &= \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{d_X(s_j,t_j)}{|A|^{j-1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|A|^{j-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{|A|^N} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{|A|}} = \frac{|A|}{|A|^N(|A|-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}(|A|-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proposition 2.1.6. *There exists a constant $\ell = \ell(A) > 0$ such that if $s, t \in \Sigma_A$ satisfy $d(s,t) < \frac{\ell}{|A|^{N-1}}$, then $s_j = t_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$.*

Proof. Let $\ell = \min_{\substack{p,q\in A \\ p\neq q}} d_X(p,q)$. We will prove the contrapositive. Given $s, t \in \Sigma_A$, if $s_j \neq t_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, N\}$, then

$$d(s,t) \geq \frac{d_X(s_j, t_j)}{|A|^{j-1}} \geq \frac{\ell}{|A|^{j-1}} \geq \frac{\ell}{|A|^{N-1}}$$

□

2.2 Shift Operator on Sequences

Definition 2.2.1. The *shift operator* $\sigma : \Sigma_A \longrightarrow \Sigma_A$ is defined as

$$\sigma(s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots) = s_2 s_3 s_4 \cdots \text{ for all } s = s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots \in \Sigma_A \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.2.2. *The map σ is surjective and uniformly continuous.*

Proof. Given $s \in \Sigma_A$, for any $a \in A$, $\sigma(a s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots) = s$. Therefore σ is surjective.
To show it is uniformly continuous, we will exhibit for a given $\epsilon > 0$, a constant $\delta > 0$ such that for all $s, t \in \Sigma_A$, $d(s,t) < \delta \implies d(\sigma(s), \sigma(t)) < \epsilon$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}} < \epsilon$. Let $\delta = \frac{\ell}{|A|^N}$, where ℓ is the constant from Proposition 2.1.6. Then, we have $s_j = t_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N+1$. Let $\sigma(s) = \underline{s}$ and $\underline{t} = \sigma(t)$. Note that $\underline{s}_j = s_{j+1}$ and $\underline{t}_j = t_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The above condition implies that $\underline{s}_j = \underline{t}_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$. Therefore by Proposition 2.1.5, we have $d(\underline{s}, \underline{t}) < \frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}} < \epsilon$. □

Periodic Sequences

Definition 2.2.3. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\text{Per}_m(\sigma) = \{s \in \Sigma_A | \sigma^{\circ m}(s) = s\}$$

In other words, $\text{Per}_m(\sigma)$ is the set of sequences whose period under σ divides m.
Also define $\text{Per}(\sigma)$ to be the set of sequences periodic under σ.

Remark 2.2.4. The following properties of periodic sequences are immediate.

1. $$\text{Per}(\sigma) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{Per}_m(\sigma)$$

2. Given a finite word $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ with $s_i \in A$ for all i, we let $\overline{w}$ denote the infinite word $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n \cdots$ formed by repeating the finite block w.
Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{Per}_m(\sigma) = \{\overline{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m} : s_j \in A \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \cdots, m\}.$$

This shows that

$$|\text{Per}_m(\sigma)| = |A|^m$$

3. If $m < n$ and $m|n$, then
$$\mathrm{Per}_m(\sigma) \subsetneq \mathrm{Per}_n(\sigma)$$

Proposition 2.2.5. $\mathrm{Per}(\sigma)$ *is dense in* Σ_A.

Proof. Given $s \in \Sigma_A$ and $\epsilon > 0$, pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}} < \epsilon$. Then by Proposition 2.1.5, the sequence $t \in \mathrm{Per}(\sigma)$ given by

$$t = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N s_1 s_2 \cdots = \overline{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N}$$

satisfies
$$d(s,t) < \frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}} < \epsilon$$

□

Suggested Reading 2.2.6. • [1, Section 1.6]

Logistic Maps Conjugate to the Shift

In this section we will establish Theorem 1.5.5 for the family of maps $g_\mu(x) = \mu x(1-x)$ where $\mu > 2+\sqrt{5}$. The proof for the full range $\mu > 4$ uses techniques from complex analysis, so we will see this later.
Recall the definition of the set B_μ: this is the set of points x with bounded orbit under the map g_μ. Just as we did for $\mu = 5$, we will show that for a range of μ values, the set $B_\mu \subset [0,1]$.

Proposition 2.2.7. *When* $\mu > 1$*, for* $x \notin [0,1]$*,* $g_\mu^{\circ n}(x) \to -\infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Proof. First, observe that the graph of g_μ is a downward drawn parabola which intersects the x-axis at the two roots $x = 0, 1$.

If $x < 0$, then $g_\mu(x) = \mu x - \mu x^2 < \mu x < x$. So the terms of the orbit $g_\mu^{\circ n}(x)$ become more and more negative as n increases. Now we show that the monotone decreasing sequence $x, g_\mu(x), g_\mu^{\circ 2}(x), \cdots$ does not stay bounded. Suppose to the contrary, then there exists $p < 0$ such that $g_\mu^{\circ n}(x) \to p$. On the one hand we have $g_\mu^{\circ(n+1)}(x) \to g_\mu(p) < p$, but on the other hand, the sequence $(g_\mu^{\circ(n+1)}(x))_{n\geq 0}$, as a tail of the sequence $(g_\mu^{\circ n}(x))_{n\geq 0}$, also converges to p. This proves that $g_\mu^{\circ(n+1)}(x) \to -\infty$.

If $x > 1$, then $g_\mu(x) < 0$. By the discussion above, $g_\mu^{\circ n}(x) \to -\infty$. □

Proposition 2.2.8. *For* $\mu > 1$,

$$B_\mu = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} (g_\mu^{\circ n})^{-1}[0,1]$$

If $1 < \mu \leq 4$*, then* $B_\mu = [0,1]$.

Proof. The previous proposition shows that $B_\mu \subseteq [0,1]$ for all $\mu > 1$, and moreover, that $x \in B_\mu$ if and only if $g_\mu^{\circ n}(x) \in [0,1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
In other words,

$$B_\mu = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g_\mu^{\circ n}(x) \in [0,1]\} = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} (g_\mu^{\circ n})^{-1}[0,1].$$

Note that $x = \frac{1}{2}$ is the unique point where g_μ reaches its maximum, and $g_\mu\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\mu}{4}$.

Thus, if $1 < \mu \leq 4$, then since $\frac{\mu}{4} \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g_\mu[0,1] \subseteq [0,1] \\ \implies & [0,1] \subseteq g_\mu^{-1}[0,1] \end{aligned}$$

Since we know that $g_\mu^{-1}[0,1] \subseteq [0,1]$, this shows that $B_\mu = [0,1]$. □

Thus the interesting structure of B_μ occurs when $\mu > 4$.

Proposition 2.2.9. *Fix $\mu > 4$. Let $c_\mu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\mu}}$, and define the disjoint intervals $I_0 = \left[0, \frac{1}{2} - c_\mu\right]$ and $I_1 = \left[\frac{1}{2} + c_\mu, 1\right]$. Then*

$$g_\mu^{-1}[0,1] = I_0 \cup I_1$$

Proof. Solving $g_\mu(x) = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu x - \mu x^2 = 1 \\ \implies & \mu x^2 - \mu x + 1 = 0 \\ \implies & x = \frac{\mu \pm \sqrt{\mu^2 - 4\mu}}{2\mu} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2 - 4\mu}{4\mu^2}} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\mu}} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \pm c_\mu \end{aligned}$$

Note that $g_\mu[0,1] = \left[0, \frac{\mu}{4}\right]$. Since $\frac{1}{2}$ is the point where g_μ is maximum, and the graph of g_μ is symmetric about the vertical line $x = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$g_\mu\left(\frac{1}{2} - c_\mu, \frac{1}{2} + c_\mu\right) = \left(1, \frac{\mu}{4}\right]$$

Thus, we have, for I_0 and I_1 as above, that

$$g_\mu(I_0 \cup I_1) = \left[0, \frac{\mu}{4}\right] \setminus \left(1, \frac{\mu}{4}\right] = [0,1]$$

□

Note that the intervals I_0 and I_1 above are disjoint. Note that $g_\mu(I_0) = g_\mu(I_1) = [0,1]$, so $(g_\mu^{\circ 2})^{-1}[0,1] = g_\mu^{-1}(I_0 \cup I_1) = I_{00} \cup I_{01} \cup I_{10} \cup I_{11}$. here $I_{00} \cup I_{01} =$.

Definition 2.2.10. We introduce the notation Σ^0 for the set of finite non-empty words over the alphabet $\{0,1\}$. Formally,

$$\Sigma^0 = \bigcup_{N\geq 1} \{w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N | s_i \in \{0,1\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, N\} = \bigcup_{N\geq 1} \{0,1\}^N$$

We let $\ell(w)$ denote the length of the finite word w.

Definition 2.2.11. Given $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N \in \Sigma^0$, define the set $I_w \subseteq [0,1]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_w &= \{x \in [0,1] : x \in I_{s_1}, g_\mu(x) \in I_{s_2}, \cdots, g_\mu^{\circ(n-1)}(x) \in I_{s_n}\} \\ &= \bigcap_{j=1}^{N} \{x \in [0,1] : g_\mu^{\circ(j-1)}(x) \in I_{s_j}\} \\ &= \bigcap_{j=1}^{N} (g_\mu^{\circ(j-1)})^{-1}(I_{s_j}) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2.12. *The collection of intervals $\{I_w : w \in \Sigma^0\}$ satisfies*

1. *Given $w = s_1 \cdots s_N \in \Sigma^0$ and a symbol $s_{N+1} \in \{0,1\}$, we have $I_{ws_{N+1}} \subseteq I_w$.*
2. *Given $w = s_1 \cdots s_N \in \Sigma^0$ and a symbol $s_0 \in \{0,1\}$, the map g_μ maps $I_{s_0 w}$ homeomorphically onto I_w.*
3. *I_w is a closed interval of non-zero length for all $w \in \Sigma^0$.*
4. *Given distinct words $w_1, w_2 \in \{0,1\}^N$, $I_{w_1} \cap I_{w_2} = \emptyset$.*
5. *for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $(g_\mu^{\circ N})^{-1}[0,1] = \bigcup_{w\in\{0,1\}^N} I_w$.*

Proof. We will show them one by one.

1. Note that $I_{ws_{N+1}} = I_w \cap \{x : g_\mu^{\circ N}(x) \in I_{s_{N+1}}\} \subseteq I_w$.
2. Note that $I_{s_0 w} = \{x : x \in I_{s_0} \text{ and } g_\mu(x) \in I_w\}$. In other words, $I_{s_0 w}$ is the full pre-image of I_w in either I_0 or I_1, depending on the value of s_0. Since g_μ is monotonic on both I_0 and I_1, and $g_\mu(I_0) = g_\mu(I_1) = [0,1]$, we get that $g_\mu(I_{s_0 w}) = [0,1]$, and that the mapping is a homeomorphism.
3. By definition, I_w is an intersection of closed sets, and by the previous point, by induction on $\ell(w)$, it is easy to see that it is a closed interval with non-zero length.
4. Without loss of generality suppose the jth entry of w_1 and w_2 are 0 and 1 respectively. Then $g_\mu^{\circ(i-1)} I_{w_1} \subseteq I_0$ and $g_\mu^{\circ(i-1)} I_{w_2} \subseteq I_1$. Since for a point x we cannot have $g_\mu^{\circ(i-1)}$ in both I_0 and I_1, this shows that $I_{w_1} \cap I_{w_2} = \emptyset$.

5. We do this by inducting on N. When $N=1$, $g_\mu^{-1}[0,1] = I_0 \cup I_1$.
   Induction hypothesis: the statement is true for N.
   Induction step: for $N+1$,
$$
\begin{aligned}
(g_\mu^{\circ(N+1)})^{-1}[0,1] = g_\mu^{-1}\Big((g_\mu^{\circ N})^{-1}[0,1]\Big) &= g_\mu^{-1}\Big(\bigcup_{w\in\{0,1\}^N} I_w\Big) \\
&= \bigcup_{w\in\{0,1\}^N} g_\mu^{-1} I_w \\
&= \bigcup_{w\in\{0,1\}^N} (I_0 \cap g_\mu^{-1} I_w) \cup (I_1 \cap g_\mu^{-1} I_w) && (\text{since } g_\mu^{-1} I_w \subseteq I_0 \cup I_1) \\
&= \bigcup_{w\in\{0,1\}^N} I_{0w} \cup I_{1w} && (\text{by the proof of point (2)}) \\
&= \bigcup_{w\in\{0,1\}^{N+1}} I_w
\end{aligned}
$$

□

From here, let $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\{0,1\}}$.

Proposition 2.2.13. *Fix $\mu > 2+\sqrt{5}$. Given any $s_1 s_2 \cdots =: s \in \Sigma$, there exists a unique point $x_s \in B_\mu$ such that*
$$\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} I_{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N} = \{x_s\}$$

Proof. We first show that it suffices to prove the following claim:

Claim 1. There exists a constant $\lambda > 1$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $w \in \{0,1\}^N$,
$$\operatorname{diam}(I_w) \le \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1}} \cdot \operatorname{diam}(I_0)$$

Proposition 2.2.12 implies $I_{s_1} \supseteq I_{s_1 s_2} \supseteq I_{s_1 s_2 s_3} \cdots$. Therefore, the infinite intersection $\operatorname{diam}\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} I_{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N}\right)$ is a non-empty closed set. By Claim 1, $\operatorname{diam}\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} I_{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N}\right) = 0$, so this infinite intersection is a singleton $\{x_s\}$. This point x_s is in B_μ since $g_\mu^{\circ N}(x_s) \in I_{s_N} \subset [0,1]$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now it is left for us to prove claim 1. We first show the following:

Claim 2. $|g_\mu'(x)| > \sqrt{\mu^2 - 4\mu} > 1$ for all x in the interiors of I_0 and I_1.

Proof of Claim 2. If x is in the interior of I_0 or I_1, then
$$
\begin{aligned}
|g'(x)| = |\mu(1-2x)| &= 2\mu\left|\frac{1}{2} - x\right| \\
&> 2\mu c_\mu = 2\mu \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\mu}} \\
&= \sqrt{\mu^2 - 4\mu} > \sqrt{(2+\sqrt{5})^2 - 4(2+\sqrt{5})} \quad (\text{since } \mu \mapsto \mu^2 - 4\mu \text{ is increasing for } \mu > 2) \\
&= \sqrt{4+5-8} = 1
\end{aligned}
$$

■

Thus for all $x \in I_0 \cup I_1$, we have $|g'_\mu(x)| \geq \sqrt{\mu^2 - 4\mu} > 1$.

Proof of Claim 1. Let $\lambda = \sqrt{\mu^2 - 4\mu}$. Given $w \in \{0,1\}^N$ with $w = s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_N$, note that since $g_\mu^{\circ(N-1)} : I_w \longrightarrow I_{s_1}$ is a diffeomorphism, looking at the inverse map $f = (g_\mu^{\circ(N-1)})^{-1}$ and using the fact that $|f'(x)| = \frac{1}{\left|\left(g_\mu^{\circ(N-1)}\right)'(f^{-1}(x))\right|}$, for all $x, y \in I_{s_1}$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f'(x)||x - y| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1}}|x - y|$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{diam}(I_w) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1}} \operatorname{diam}(I_{s_1}) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{N-1}} \operatorname{diam}(I_0)$$

■

This finishes the proof of the proposition. □

Definition 2.2.14. Fix $\mu > 2 + \sqrt{5}$. Define a map $\varphi : \Sigma \longrightarrow B_\mu$ by setting $\varphi(s) = x_s$ for all $s \in \Sigma$.

Proposition 2.2.15. *φ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. φ is injective: If $s \neq t$, choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s_N \neq t_N$. Since $\varphi(s) = x_s \in I_{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N}$ and $\varphi(t) = x_t \in I_{t_1 \cdots t_N}$, and by the condition $s_N \neq t_N$ we have $I_{s_1 \cdots s_N} \cap I_{t_1 \cdots t_N} = \emptyset$, we must have $\varphi(s) \neq \varphi(t)$.

φ is surjective: If $x \in B_\mu$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $s_n = 0$ if $g_\mu^{\circ(n-1)}(x) \in I_0$ and $s_n = 1$ if $g_\mu^{\circ(n-1)}(x) \in I_1$. Then it is easy to check that $\varphi(s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots) = x$.

φ is continuous: Given $s \in \Sigma$ and $\epsilon > 0$, since the diameter of I_w tends to 0 as $\ell(w) \to \infty$, choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N} \subset B_\epsilon(x)$. Then set $\delta = \frac{1}{2^N}$. By Proposition 2.1.6, if $d(s,t) < \delta$, then $t_j = s_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots N$. Thus $\varphi(t) \in I_{t_1 \cdots t_N} = I_{s_1 \cdots s_N}$, and by our assumption on N, we have $|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| < \epsilon$.

φ^{-1} is continuous: Given $x \in B_\mu$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let $s = \varphi^{-1}(x)$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{2^{N-1}} < \epsilon$, and choose $\delta > 0$ such that $B_\delta(x) \subset I_{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N}$. Then, for any $y \in B_\delta(x) \cap B_\mu$, the sequence $t = \varphi^{-1}(y)$ satisfies $t_j = s_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$. By Proposition 2.1.5, we know that $d(s,t) < \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} < \epsilon$. □

Proposition 2.2.16. *φ conjugates σ to g_μ.*

Proof. For all $s \in \Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi(s) \in \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} I_{s_1 \cdots s_N} \\
\Longrightarrow\ & g_\mu(\varphi(s)) \in \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} g_\mu(I_{s_1 \cdots s_N}) = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} I_{s_2 s_3 \cdots s_N} \\
&= \{\varphi(\sigma(s))\}
\end{aligned}$$

In other words, $g_\mu \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \sigma$. □

Propositions 2.2.15 and 2.2.16 together prove Theorem 1.5.5.

Now let A be a finite alphabet with $|A| \geq 2$

Proposition 2.2.17. *The space Σ_A is a complete metric space.*

Proof. We already know that Σ_A is a metric space. To see that it is complete, we need to show that every Cauchy sequence converges.

Let $(s^n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a Cauchy sequence. Note that each s^n is a sequence of the form $s_1^n s_2^n s_3^n \cdots$ with $s_j^n \in A$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 1. For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the terms s_j^n are eventually constant as $n \to \infty$.

Proof of Claim 1. Fix j. Let $\ell = \min_{\substack{p,q\in A \\ p\neq q}} d_X(p,q)$. Since $(s^n)_{n\geq 0}$ is Cauchy, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq N$, $d(s^n, s^m) < \frac{\ell}{|A|^{j-1}}$.

By Proposition 2.1.6, we have $s_j^n = s_j^m$ for all $m, n \geq N$. ■

Due to Claim 1, we can define for every j the symbol $s_j = \lim_{n\to\infty} s_j^n$. Since the sequence $(s_j^n)_{n\geq 0}$ is eventually constant, $s_j \in A$. Consider the sequence $s \in \Sigma_A$ given by $s = s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots$.

Claim 2. The Cauchy sequence $(s^n)_{n\geq 0}$ converges to s.

Proof of Claim 2. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}} < \epsilon$. By Claim 1, we can choose $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq M$, we have

$$s_1^n s_2^n \cdots s_N^n = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_N$$

By Proposition 2.1.5, for $n \geq M$ we have $d(s, s^n) \leq \frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}} < \epsilon$. ■

□

Proposition 2.2.18. *1. Σ_A has bounded diameter.*

2. Σ_A is totally bounded: that is, given any $\epsilon > 0$, it can be covered by finitely many $\epsilon-$balls.

Proof. 1. For all $s, t \in \Sigma_A$, we have $d(s,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_X(s_j,t_j)}{|A|^{j-1}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|A|^{j-1}} \leq \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{|A|}}$.

2. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}} < \epsilon$. Consider the set of words $W_N = A^N$ (these are the finite words of length N over A). Since A is finite, W_N is finite. Fix any element $a \in A$, and consider the finite set of sequences $S = \{waaa\cdots | w \in W_N\} \subset \Sigma_A$.

   Given any $t \in \Sigma_A$, there exists $s = waaaa\cdots \in S$ such that $w = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_N$. Thus

$$d(s,t) < \frac{1}{|A|^{\ell-1}} < \epsilon$$

by Proposition 2.1.5 and our choice of N.

Thus the finite collection of $\epsilon-$balls $\{B_\epsilon(s) : s \in S\}$ covers Σ_A.

$\square$

Corollary 2.2.19. *Σ_A is compact.*

Remark 2.2.20. In class I claimed that bounded diameter of Σ_A is sufficient for compactness; this is in fact not true, and uniform boundedness is necessary.

Proof. A metric space is compact if and only if it is complete and totally bounded. $\square$

Proposition 2.2.21. *Σ_A is perfect.*

We will prove this proposition by showing that there exists an element $s \in \Sigma_A$ such that every $t \in \Sigma_A$ can be approximated by elements of the form $\sigma^{\circ n}(s)$.

Suggested Reading 2.2.22.

- [1, Section 1.5]
- [3, Section 7.3.4, Section 7.4.3]

Topological Transitivity

Definition 2.2.23. Let X be a topological space and $f : X \longrightarrow X$ be a dynamical system on X.

- If f is not invertible, it is said to be topologically transitive if there exists a point $x_0 \in X$ such that the orbit $(f^{\circ n}(x_0))_{n\geq 0}$ is dense in X.
- If f is invertible, it is said to be topologically transitive if there exists a point $x_0 \in X$ such that the *grand orbit* $(f^{\circ n}(x_0))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, which is the union of the forward and backward orbits of x_0, is dense in X.

Proposition 2.2.24. *The shift operator $\sigma : \Sigma_A \longrightarrow \Sigma_A$ is topologically transitive.*

Corollary 2.2.25. *Σ_A is perfect.*

Proof of Proposition 2.2.24. Since σ is not invertible, we need to prove the existence of a dense orbit $(\sigma^{\circ n}(s))_{n\geq 0}$. We will do this constructively by defining s.

1. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $W_N = A^N$ be the set of finite words of length N over the alphabet A. Since W_N is finite, we may enumerate all the words of W_N as $w_1, w_2, \cdots w_r$, and form a master word $\widetilde{w}_1 = w_1w_2w_3\cdots w_r$.

   For example, if $A = \{0, 1\}$ and $N = 1$, we have $W_1 = \{0, 1\}$ and we can take $\widetilde{w}_1 = 10$. Similarly, $W_2 = \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$ and we can take $\widetilde{w}_2 = 00011011$.

2. Define $s \in \Sigma_A$ as
$$s = \widetilde{w}_1\widetilde{w}_2\widetilde{w}_3\cdots$$
   For example when $A = \{0, 1\}$, with $\widetilde{w}_1$ and $\widetilde{w}_2$ as above, we have $s = 1000011011\cdots$.

To see that s has a dense orbit under σ, given any $t \in \Sigma_A$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we will show that there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(\sigma^{\circ m}(s), t) < \epsilon$.

Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}} < \epsilon$. The finite word $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_N$ is a sub-string of the master word $\widetilde{w}_N$. By definition of s, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sigma^{\circ m}(s) = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_N \cdots$$

By Proposition 2.1.5 and our choice of N, we have

$$d(\sigma^{\circ m}(s), t) < \frac{1}{|A|^{N-1}} < \epsilon$$

□

Proposition 2.2.26. *For $\mu > 2 + \sqrt{5}$, the set B_μ is totally disconnected.*

Proof. This proof is left as an exercise to the reader; it follows the same lines as Proposition 1.4.5, point 6. We will need to use Claim 1 from Proposition 2.2.13. □

Corollary 2.2.27. *The shift space $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\{0,1\}}$ is totally disconnected.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.2.15, we know Σ is homeomorphic to B_μ for $\mu > 2 + \sqrt{5}$. By Proposition 2.2.26, the statement follows. □

Proposition 2.2.17 and Corollaries 2.2.19, 2.2.25 and 2.2.27 show that Σ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1.4.10. Thus we get that Σ is homeomorphic to the ternary Cantor set $\mathcal{C}$, and thereby finish the proof of Theorem 1.5.6.

Chapter 3

Low-Dimensional Dynamics

3.1 Basic Concepts

Throughout this section we assume that X is a topological space and $f : X \to X$ is a continuous map.

Definition 3.1.1. Given $x \in X$,

- The orbit of x under f is the sequence $(f^{\circ n}(x))_{n\geq 0}$
- The grant orbit of x under f is the set $\{z \in X | f^{\circ m}(z) = f^{\circ n}(x) \text{ for some } m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- A bi-infinite orbit for x under f is a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $x_0 = x$, and $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 3.1.2. A point x can have more than one bi-infinite orbit.
The grand orbit of x contains its orbit and any bi-infinite orbit. If f is a homeomorphism, then there is only one bi-infinite orbit for x, which is the whole grand orbit.

Definition 3.1.3. Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathrm{Per}_m(f) = \{x \in X : f^{\circ m}(x) = x\}$$

This is the set of periodic points under f whose period under f divides m.

$$\mathrm{Per}(f) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Per}_m(f)$$

This is the set of periodic points under f.

Definition 3.1.4. Let $A \subseteq X$. A is said to be forward invariant under f if $f(A) \subseteq A$, and backward invariant under f if $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq A$.

Transitivity, Mixing and Chaos

We restate the definition of topological transitivity here.

Definition 3.1.5.
- If f is not invertible, it is said to be topologically transitive if there exists a point $x_0 \in X$ such that the orbit $(f^{\circ n}(x_0))_{n\geq 0}$ is dense in X.
- If f is invertible, it is said to be topologically transitive if there exists a point $x_0 \in X$ such that the *grand orbit* $(f^{\circ n}(x_0))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, which is the union of the forward and backward orbits of x_0, is dense in X.

Definition 3.1.6. Suppose f is a homeomorphism. It is said to be *minimal* if the grand orbit of every point is dense in X.

Remark 3.1.7. Minimality $\implies$ Topological Transitivity.

Definition 3.1.8. f is said to be *chaotic* if it is topologically transitive and $\mathrm{Per}(f)$ is dense in X.

Definition 3.1.9. f is said to be *topologically mixing* if for every pair of non-empty open sets $U, V \subseteq X$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, we have $f^{\circ}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

3.2 Circle Maps

We can represent the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ in two different ways:

- As the set $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = \{[x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $[x] = [y]$ iff $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- As the set $\{e^{2\pi i x} : [x] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, i.e., the visual representation of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ on the complex plane.

Definition 3.2.1. The *arc length* metric on $\mathbb{S}^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$d_{\mathbb{S}^1}([x],[y]) = \min\{|x' - y'| : x', y' \in \mathbb{R}, x' \in [x], y' \in [y]\} \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S}^1$$

Exercise 3.2.2. Prove that $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}([x],[y])$ is the length of the shorter arc formed by $e^{2\pi i x}$ and $e^{2\pi i y}$ on the unit circle in the complex plane.

Rotations

Definition 3.2.3. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. The rotation map $R_\alpha : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} R_\alpha([x]) &= [x+\alpha] && \text{(additive representation)} \\ R_\alpha(e^{2\pi i x}) &= e^{2\pi i \alpha} e^{2\pi i x} = e^{2\pi i(\alpha + x)} && \text{(multiplicative representation)} \end{aligned}$$

Note that every rotation R_α is a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{S}^1$; its inverse is $R_{-\alpha}$. Every rotation is in fact an isometry in the metric $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}$. That is, $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(R_\alpha(x), R_\alpha(y)) = d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

These maps exhibit widely different behavior when $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ vs. when $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.2.4. • *If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, then* $\mathrm{Per}(R_\alpha) = \mathbb{S}^1$.

• *If α is irrational, then* $\mathrm{Per}(R_\alpha) = \emptyset$.

Proof. • If α is rational, it is of the form $\alpha = \frac{m}{n}$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \neq 0$. Thus

$$R_\alpha^{\circ n}([x]) = [x + n\alpha] = [x + m] = [x] \text{ for all } [x] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

In other words, $R_\alpha^{\circ n} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$. Thus $\mathrm{Per}_n(R_\alpha) = \mathbb{S}^1$, which implies $\mathrm{Per}(R_\alpha) = \mathbb{S}^1$.

• If α is irrational and $[x] \in \mathbb{S}^1$ is a periodic point of R_α of period n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $[x + n\alpha] = [x]$, which implies $n\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. But this means α has a rational representative, which is a contradiction.

□

Proposition 3.2.5. *Let $f : X \to X$ be an open map. Then if f is topologically transitive, there exist no pair of disjoint non-empty open sets U and V such that $f(U) \subseteq U$ and $f(V) \subseteq V$.*

We will prove Proposition 3.2.5 later on. However, since R_α is a homeomorphism, and thus open, using this proposition we will show the following.

Proposition 3.2.6. *If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, then R_α is not topologically transitive.*

Proof. We will find a pair of disjoint, invariant non-empty open sets, showing the contrapositive of Porposition 3.2.5. Choose any two points $u, v \in \mathbb{S}^1$. We know that α is of the form $\frac{m}{n}$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq 0$.

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ so that the open intervals $B_\epsilon(u), R_\alpha(B_\epsilon(u)), \cdots, R_\alpha^{\circ(n-1)}(B_\epsilon(u))$, $B_\epsilon(v), R_\alpha(B_\epsilon(v)), \cdots, R_\alpha^{\circ(n-1)}(B_\epsilon(v))$ are all pairwise disjoint. Then, letting $U = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} R_\alpha^{\circ j}(B_\epsilon(u))$ and $V = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} R_\alpha^{\circ j}(B_\epsilon(v))$, we see that $U \cap V = \emptyset$, U and V are non-empty, $f(U) = U$ and $f(V) = V$. □

Proposition 3.2.7. *If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, then R_α is minimal. This in turn implies it is topologically transitive.*

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{S}^1$. It suffices to show that the grand orbit of x is dense in $\mathbb{S}^1$. We will in fact show the stronger statement that the forward orbit $(R_\alpha^{\circ n}(x))_{n \geq 0}$ is dense in $\mathbb{S}^1$.

Let $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We need to exhibit an orbit point $R_\alpha^{\circ n}(x) \in B_\epsilon(z)$.

For $N \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rfloor + 1$, any set of N points on $\mathbb{S}^1$ contains at least two points u, v such that $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(u, v) < \epsilon$.
Let $S = \{x, R_\alpha(x), \cdots, R_\alpha^{\circ(N-1)}(x)\}$. Since α is irrational, this points listed here are distinct, so $|S| = N$. By the above statement, there exist ℓ, k with $0 \leq \ell < k \leq N$ such that $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(R_\alpha^{\circ \ell}(x), R_\alpha^{\circ k}(x)) < \epsilon$.

Since R_α is an isometry, we have $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(x, R_\alpha^{\circ(k-\ell)}(x)) < \epsilon$.
Claim. If $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(x, R_\alpha^{\circ n}(x)) < \epsilon$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then for all $y \in \mathbb{S}^1$, we have $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(y, R_\alpha^{\circ n}(y)) < \epsilon$.

Proof of Claim. We know that $y = R_{y-x}(x)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(y, R_\alpha^{\circ n}(y)) &= d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(R_{y-x}(x), R_\alpha^{\circ n} \circ R_{y-x}(x)) \\
&= d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(R_{y-x}(x), R_{y-x} \circ R_\alpha^{\circ n}(x)) \qquad \text{since } R_\alpha R_\beta = R_\beta R_\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{S}^1 \\
&= d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(x, R_\alpha^{\circ n}(x)) \qquad \text{since all rotations are isometries} \\
&< \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

■

By the above claim, letting $y = 0$, we have $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(0, R_\alpha^{\circ(\ell-k)}(0)) < \epsilon$.
Note that $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(0, R_\alpha^{\circ(\ell-k)}(0)) = |\theta|$, where $\theta = [(\ell - k)\alpha] \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

By this choice of θ, for $M \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{\theta} \rfloor + 1$, the points $\{x, R_\theta(x), \cdots, R_\theta^{\circ(M-1)}(x)\}$ split the circle into intervals all of length $< \epsilon$. Thus there exists $n \in \{0, 1, \cdots, M-1\}$ such that $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(R_\theta^{\circ n}(x), z) < \epsilon$. Since $R_\theta^{\circ n}(x) = R_\alpha^{\circ n(\ell-k)}(x)$, the proposition follows. □

Proposition 3.2.8. *No circle rotation is chaotic.*

Proof. By the above series of propositions, rational rotations are not topologically transitive, and irrational rotations have no periodic points. So neither kind of rotations are chaotic. □

Proposition 3.2.9. *No homeomorphism $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is topologically mixing.*

Proof. Pick any three distinct points $x, y, z \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Then $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{x, y, z\}$ is the union of three disjoint intervals A, B and C. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{B}_N = \{f^{\circ N}(X) \cap Y | X, Y \in \{A, B, C\}\}$. It suffices to show the following claim:

Claim. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, at least one element of $\mathcal{B}_N$ is empty.

Here is how this claim proves that f is not topologically mixing: by the claim, for all N, there are sets $X_N, Y_N \in \{A, B, C\}$ such that $f^{\circ N}(X_N) \cap Y_N = \emptyset$. Then, since $\{A, B, C\}$ is finite, upto some subsequence, X_N is constant and Y_N is constant. So wlog, up to a subsequence $N_k \to \infty$, we can assume $X_{N_k} = A$ and $Y_{N_k} = B$, say. Thus, the claim implies that $f^{\circ n}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ for infinitely many natural numbers n. This means f is not topologically mixing.

Proof of Claim. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Since A, B, C are pairwise disjoint, and $f^{\circ N}$ is a homeomorphism for all N, the intervals $f^{\circ N}(A), f^{\circ N}(B), f^{\circ N}(C)$ are pairwise disjoint. Suppose $f^{\circ N}(A)$ intersects A, B and C. Then it has to contain one of the intervals - wlog suppose $f^{\circ N}(A) \supseteq A$. But this means that $f^{\circ N}(B) \cap A = f^{\circ N}(C) \cap A = \emptyset$. Thus not all elements of $\mathcal{B}_N$ can be simultaneously non-empty.

■

□

Lifts

Definition 3.2.10. Given $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ continuous, a *lift* of f is a continuous map $G : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ G$ for the universal covering map $\pi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}^1$.

Proposition 3.2.11. *Let $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ be continuous.*

1. *For any $[x_0] \in \mathbb{S}^1$ represented by some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, let $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $f([x_0]) = [y_0]$. Then there exists a lift G of f such that $G(x_0) = y_0$.*

2. *Any two lifts of f differ by a constant $C \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

3. *Let G be a lift of f. Then $\deg f := G(x+1) - G(x)$ is an integer that is independent of x, G. This is called the degree of f.*

Proof. We will prove each point one by one. Let $A = f^{-1}(y_0) = \{[x_r] = [x_0 + 1] = [x_0], [x_1], [x_2], \cdots, [x_{r-1}]\}$ where the points are in counterclockwise order starting at $[x_0]$. Let $y_n = y_0 + n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider $\pi_n = \pi|[y_n, y_{n+1})$. Note that π_n is a continuous bijection onto $\mathbb{S}^1$ whose inverse is continuous on $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{[y_0]\}$.

1. We show this in the following steps:

   - Define G on $[x_0, x_1]$ as follows. Starting at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, move counterclockwise from $[x_0]$ on the circle. In this process, $f(\pi(x)) = f([x])$ starts traveling either clockwise or anticlockwise on the circle from $[y_0]$. Let $\Delta = \pm 1$ depending on whether this movement is clockwise or counterclockwise, and define G on $[x_0, x_1)$ as $G(x_0) = y_0$ and $G(x) = \pi_\Delta^{-1} \circ f \circ \pi(x)$ for all $x \in (x_0, x_1)$. Note that at the end of this process, $\lim_{x \to (x_1)^-} G(x) = y_0$ or $y_0 + \Delta$. In both cases, we uniquely extend G continuously to $[x_0, x_1]$. Note that in this process, G satisfies $\pi \circ G = f \circ \pi$.
   - Inductively for some $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, r-2\}$, suppose G is well-defined on $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ and satisfies $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ G$. We will extend G to $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$. Set $\Delta = 1$ if f does not change direction at $[x_i]$, or $\Delta = -1$ otherwise. Extend G continuously to $[x_i, x_{i+1})$ by defining it to be $G(x) = \pi^{-1}_{G(x_i) - y_0 + \Delta} \circ f \circ \pi$ on (x_i, x_{i+1}) and $G(x_{i+1}) = \lim_{x \to x_{i+1}^-} G(x)$. At the end of this process, $G(x_{i+1}) = G(x_i)$ or $G(x_i) + \Delta$. Note that $G(x_0 + 1) - G(x_0) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly G satisfies $\pi \circ G = f \circ \pi$ on $[x_0, x_0 + 1]$.
   - This finishes the definition of G on $[x_0, x_r] = [x_0, x_0 + 1]$. Inductively for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \neq 0$, for $x \in [x_0 + n, x_0 + n + 1]$, let $G(x) = G(x - n) + n(G(x_0 + 1) - G(x_0))$, G is continuous on $(x_0 + n, x_0 + n + 1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will show that it is continuous at each point of the form $x_0 + n$.

     $$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \to (x_0+n)^-} G(x) &= \lim_{x \to (x_0+n)^-} G(x - (n-1)) + (n-1)(G(x_0+1) - G(x_0)) \\ &= nG(x_0+1) - (n-1)G(x_0) \\ \lim_{x \to (x_0+n)^+} G(x) &= \lim_{x \to (x_0+n)^+} G(x - n) + n(G(x_0+1) - G(x_0)) \\ &= nG(x_0+1) - (n-1)G(x_0)\end{aligned}$$

     Also note that $G(x) - G(x-n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all n, x. This, along with the definition of G on $[x_0, x_0 + 1]$, shows that $\pi \circ G = f \circ \pi$.

2. Let G_1 and G_2 be two lifts of f. Then by definition, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\pi(G_1(x)) = f(\pi(x)) = \pi(G_2(x))$, and thus $G_1(x) - G_2(x)$ is an integer. Since $G_1 - G_2$ is a continuous function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$, it is a constant.

3. Let G be a lift of f. We note that the function $\widetilde{G}(x) = G(x+1)$ is also lift of f. By the previous point, $\widetilde{G}(x) - G(x) = G(x+1) - G(x)$ is an integer that is independent of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. To show that it is independent of G, note that for any other lift H, we have $H = G + c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, and thus $H(x+1) - H(x) = G(x+1) - G(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

$\square$

Proposition 3.2.12. *If f is an injective continuous map , then $|\deg f| = 1$ and any lift G is strictly monotone.*

Proof. Let G be a lift of f. First we show that $|\deg f| = 1$. If $\deg f = 0$, then $G(1) = G(0)$. In particular, G is not monotone on $[0,1]$. Thus there exist points $c_1 \neq c_2 \in (0,1)$ such that $G(c_1) = G(c_2)$. In particular, $f([c_1]) = f([c_2])$, while $[c_1] \neq [c_2]$, which also contradicts the injectivity of f. So $\deg f \neq 0$. If $|\deg f| > 1$, then there exists $c \in (0,1)$ such that $|G(c) - G(0)| = 1$. But this shows that $f([c]) = f([0])$, which also contradicts the inductivity of f. This shows that $|\deg f| = 1$.

WLOG assume $\deg f = 1$. Then note that $G(x+1) = G(x) + 1$ for all x. So it suffices to show that G is strictly increasing on $[0,1]$. Since $G : [0,1] \to [G(0), G(1)]$ is continuous, if it is not strictly increasing, there exist points $c_1 < c_2 \in (0,1)$ such that $G(c_1) \geq G(c_2)$. However, there is then a point $c \in (c_2, 1]$ such that $G(c) = G(c_1)$. This implies $f([c]) = f([c_1])$ and contradicts the injectivity of f. $\square$

Remark 3.2.13. If f is injective and continuous, if $\deg f = 1$, then any lift is strictly increasing, and if $\deg f = -1$, then any lift is strictly decreasing.

Proposition 3.2.14. *If $|\deg f| = 1$ and some lift of f is strictly monotone, then f is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Let G be a strictly monotone lift of f. Note that within $(0,1)$, $G(x) = G(y)$ implies $x = y$. Thus f is injective. Since G is continuous and $|\deg f| = 1$, G maps $[0,1]$ onto some interval of length 1. This shows that $f(\mathbb{S}^1) = \mathbb{S}^1$.
Since G is a strictly monotone continuous map of R, it has a strictly monotone continuous inverse G^{-1}. Then $G^{-1}(x+1) - G^{-1}(x) = \deg f$ for all $x \in G$. This also shows that G^{-1} is the lift of a continuous circle map h, and h satisfies $h \circ f = f \circ h = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$. Thus f^{-1} is continuous. $\square$

Expanding Maps

Definition 3.2.15. A map $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is said to be *expanding* if it is continuously differentiable and $\exists \lambda > 1$ such that $|f'(x)| \geq \lambda$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

Definition 3.2.16. A linear expanding map of $\mathbb{S}^1$ is a map of the form $E_m([x]) = [mx]$ for all $[x] \in \mathbb{S}^1$, where m is an integer ≥ 2.

Exercise 3.2.17. Fix an integer $m \geq 2$.
Show that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathrm{Per}_k(E_m) = \{[\frac{i}{m^k-1}] : i = 0, 1, \cdots, m^k - 2\}$.

Proposition 3.2.18. *For any integer $m \geq 2$, the set $\mathrm{Per}(E_m)$ is dense in $\mathbb{S}^1$.*

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{m^k-1} < \epsilon$. Then $\mathrm{Per}_k(E_m) \subset \mathrm{Per}(E_m)$ splits the circle into $m^k - 1$ small intervals each of length $\frac{1}{m^k-1} < \epsilon$. □

Proposition 3.2.19. *Any expanding map f of the circle is topologically mixing.*

Proof. Given U, V non-empty open sets in $\mathbb{S}^1$, let $I \subset \pi^{-1}(U)$ be an interval. We will show that $f^{\circ N}(\pi(I)) = \mathbb{S}^1$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. This will imply the topological mixing property.

Let ℓ be the length of I. Since f is expanding, there exists $\lambda > 1$ such that $|f'| \geq \lambda$. Then for any lift G of f, we have $|G'| \geq \lambda$. But this in turn means that the length of $G^{\circ n}(I)$ is greater than $\lambda^n \ell$ for all $n \to \infty$. Pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^n \ell > 1$. In other words, $G^{\circ N}(I)$ contains an interval of length 1. But this means that $f^{\circ N}(\pi(I))$ covers $\mathbb{S}^1$. □

Proposition 3.2.20. *Any expanding map f of the circle is is topologically transitive.*

Before proving this, we show the following proposition and theorem.

Proposition 3.2.21. *Let X be a topological space and $f : X \to X$ be continuous. Then if f has a dense bi-infinite orbit, then for every $\emptyset \neq U, V \subset X$, open, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f^{\circ N}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, if X is perfect, then this N can be chosen in $\mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let U, V be as above, and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the dense bi-infinite orbit. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $z_n \in V$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $z_m \in U$. Letting $N = n - m$ (this could be ≤ 0), we see that $z_n \in f^{\circ N}(\{z_m\})$, thus $f^{\circ N}(U) \cap V = \emptyset$.

Now assume X is perfect. For the given U, V, if the above $N \geq 0$ we are done. Suppose $N = n - m < 0$. Since z_n is not an isolated point, there exists a subsequence $|n_k| \to \infty$ such that $z_{n_k} \to z_n$ and $z_{n_k} \in V$ for all k.

1. If we can choose $n_k \to +\infty$, then for some k, we have $n_k \geq n$. Letting $\widetilde{N} = n_k - n \geq 0$, we see that $f^{\circ\widetilde{N}}(x_n) = x_{n_k} \in V$. Thus $f^{\circ\widetilde{N}}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

2. If we have $n_k \to -\infty$, choose $n' = n_k$ with k large enough such that $z_{n_k} = z_{n'} \in V \cap f^{\circ N}(U)$, and $n' < 2n - m$. Then $z' = z_{n'+m-n} := f^{\circ(m-n)}(z'_n) \in f^{\circ N+m-n}(U) = f^{\circ n-m+n-m}(U) \subseteq U$. Moreover, letting $\widetilde{N} = 2n - m - n'$, we see that $\widetilde{N} > 0$ and $f^{\circ\widetilde{N}}(z') = f^{\circ(2n-m-n')}(z') = z_n \in V$. Thus $f^{\circ\widetilde{N}}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

□

Theorem 3.2.22. *Let X be a complete separable (that is, there is a countable dense subset) metric space with no isolated points. If $f : X \to X$ is a continuous map, then the following four conditions are equivalent:*

1. *f is topologically transitive, i.e., it has a dense orbit.*

2. *f has a dense bi-infinite orbit.*

3. *If $\emptyset \neq U, V \subset X$, then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{\circ N}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.*

4. *If $\emptyset \neq U, V \subset X$, then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f^{\circ N}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2) and (3) $\implies$ (4) are always true.

By Proposition 3.2.21, (2) implies (3).

We will show that separability of X imples (4) implies (2) and (3) implies (1). This will prove the theorem. The proof methods are similar, so we will only do the case (3) implies (1).

Let S be a countable dense set. For every $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ and every $x \in S$,let $U_p(x)$ be the ball of radius $\frac{p}{q}$ centered at x. Consider the collection $\{U_p(x) : x \in S, p \in Q\}$. This collection is countable, and can be enumerated as $\{U_1, U_2, \cdots\}$. Every tail $\{U_N, U_{N+1}, \cdots,\}$ is an open cover of X. Let $U_0 = f^{-1}(U_1)$. By condition (3), there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{\circ N_1}(U_1) \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$. Pick an open ball V_1 of radius < 1 such that $\overline{V_1} \subset U_1 \cap f^{-\circ N_1}(U_2)$.

Then $f^{\circ N_1}(V_1) \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$. Inductively, for $k \geq 2$, there exists an $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{\circ N_k}(V_{k-1}) \cap U_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$. Let V_k be an open ball of radius $< \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}$ such that $\overline{V_k} \subset V_{k-1} \cap f^{\circ - N_k}(U_{k+1})$. Then note that $f^{\circ N_k}(\overline{V_k}) \subset U_{k+1}$.

Furthermore, $\overline{V_1} \supset \overline{V_2} \supset \overline{V_3} \cdots$ is a decreasing chain of closed balls whose diameter goes to 0. Thus, $\cap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{V_k} = \{x\}$ for a unique point $x \in X$. Let $x_0 = x \in U_1$, and $x_k = f^{\circ k}(x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. since $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$ for all k, and this gives a dense orbit in X. □

Corollary 3.2.23. *A continuous open map f of a complete, separable, perfect metric space is topologically transitive if and only if there are no two disjoint open nonempty $f-$invariant sets.*

Proof. $\implies$ is obvious, since a dense orbit visits every open set.
$\impliedby$: If $U, V \subset X$ are open, then the sets $W = \bigcup_{n \in Z} f^{\circ n}(U)$ and $O = \bigcup_{n \in Z} f^{\circ n}(V)$ are open because f is an open map, and satisfy $f(W) \subseteq W$, and $f(O) \subseteq O$. Therefore they not disjoint by assumption, so $f^{\circ n}(U) \cap f^{\circ m}(V) \neq \emptyset$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $f^{\circ (n-m)}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and f is topologically transitive by the above theorem. □

Proof of Proposition 3.2.20. Since f is topologically mixing, it is also topologically transitive by Theorem 3.2.22. □

Proposition 3.2.24. *If $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is an expanding map, then $|\deg f| > 1$ and $\mathrm{Per}_k(f) = |\deg f^k - 1|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. $|f'| > 1$ implies $|G'| > 1$ for any lift, so, by the Mean-Value Theorem, $|degf| = |G(x+1) - G(x)| > 1$. Any iterate $f^{\circ k}$ is also expanding, so it suffices to consider the case $k = 1$. Take a lift G of f and consider it on the interval $[0, 1]$. The fixed points of f are the projections of the points x for which $G(x) - x \in Z$. The function $g(x) := G(x) - x$ satisfies $g(1) = g(0) + \deg f - 1$, so by the Intermediate-Value Theorem there are at least $|\deg f - 1|$ points $x$where $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $g(0) \in Z$, then there are $|\deg f - 1| + 1$ such points, but 0 and 1 project to the same point on $\mathbb{S}^1$. Now $g'(x) \neq 0$, so g is strictly monotone and hence takes every value at most once. Thus there are exactly $|\deg f - 1|$ fixed points on $\mathbb{S}^1$. □

General vs Linear Expanding Maps

By the end of this section we will show this theorem.

Theorem 3.2.25. *Let f be an expanding map of $\mathbb{S}^1$ of degree m. Then f is topologically conjugate to E_m.*

We will need some setup before we can prove this.

Definition 3.2.26.

Proposition 3.2.27. *Expanding maps of $\mathbb{S}^1$ are chaotic.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.2.20, Theorem 3.2.25 and the fact that the periodic points of linear expanding maps are dense in $\mathbb{S}^1$. □

Rotation Number

Definition 3.2.28. A homeomorphism f of $\mathbb{S}^1$ is said to be orientation-preserving if $\deg f = 1$ and orientation-reversing if $\deg f = -1$.

Proposition 3.2.29. *Let f be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of the circle.*

1. *Let G be the lift of f. Then the following limit exists and is independent of $x \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$\rho(G) = \lim_{|n|\to\infty} \frac{G^{\circ n}(x) - x}{n}$$

2. *For any other lift $\widetilde{G}$ of f, we have $\rho(G) - \rho(\widetilde{G}) = G - \widetilde{G} \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. □

Definition 3.2.30. Let f be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of the circle. The *rotation number* of f is given by $\rho(f) = [\rho(G)] \in \mathbb{S}^1$ where G is any lift of f.

Definition 3.2.31. A map $f : X \to X$ of a metric space is said to exhibit sensitive dependence on initial conditions if there is a $\Delta > 0$, called a *sensitivity constant*, such that for every $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a point $y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \epsilon$ and $d(f^{\circ N}(x), f^{\circ N}(y)) \geq \Delta$ for some $N \in N$.

3.3 Torus Maps

Hyperbolicity

Bibliography

[1] Robert L. Devaney. *An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems.* CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, third edition, 2022.

[2] Michael Francis. Two topological uniqueness theorems for spaces of real numbers, 2012.

[3] Boris Hasselblatt and Anatole Katok. *A first course in dynamics.* Cambridge University Press, New York, 2003. With a panorama of recent developments.