COMPUTER VISION – BITS F459



Class Project: Semantic Segmentation of Flooded regions with UNet++ and SAR Preprocessing

MALAY MISHRA - 2022A7PS0116P

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction to the Problem and Model Overview
2. Problem
3. Dataset Description
4. Pre-processing
5. Model Description
6. Results and Analysis
7. Conclusions

8. References

1. Introduction to the Problem and Model Overview

Floods have devastating impacts on infrastructure and human life. Detecting flood-affected regions accurately using satellite imagery is crucial for timely disaster response. This project focuses on pixelwise segmentation of flood regions from synthetic aperture radar (SAR) satellite images using deep learning. We implement a UNet++ model with 6-channel input (stacked pre- and post-flood images), trained with Focal Tversky Loss to handle class imbalance.

2. Problem

The goal is to build a semantic segmentation model that can accurately predict flood-affected areas from a pair of SAR images taken before and after a flooding event. The model outputs a binary segmentation mask identifying flooded regions.

3. Dataset Description

The dataset consists of SAR images collected from various Indian flood events. Each sample includes:

- **Before Image**: A 3-channel SAR image before the flood
- After Image: A 3-channel SAR image after the flood
- Mask: A binary image marking flooded pixels

All images are preprocessed to a uniform resolution of 256×256. The final dataset is saved in a compressed.npz format containing before, after and masked arrays.

4. Preprocessing

SAR data is prone to speckle noise. To address this:

- Lee Filtering is applied to smooth noise while preserving edges.
- **Normalization** scales image intensity between 0 and 1.
- **Resizing** ensures uniform input dimensions.
- **Dilation** enhances flood boundaries in ground-truth masks.
- The before and after images are stacked along the channel dimension to create 6-channel inputs.

All-zero masks are filtered or flagged as warnings to avoid skewed learning.

5. Model Description

The model used for this flood segmentation task is **UNet++**, an advanced variant of the original UNet architecture designed for semantic segmentation. It is particularly well-suited for medical and remote sensing applications due to its improved ability to capture multiscale contextual information and reduce semantic gaps between encoder and decoder feature maps.

□ *UNet++ Architecture Overview*

UNet++ is built upon a U-shaped encoder-decoder architecture with the following key enhancements:

- Nested and dense skip connections: Unlike standard UNet, which connects encoder and decoder blocks at the same level, UNet++ introduces a nested structure of convolutional blocks connected with intermediate skip paths. This allows better feature fusion and reduces the semantic gap between encoder and decoder.
- **Deep Supervision** (optionally enabled): Intermediate outputs from the decoder can be supervised, improving gradient flow during training. In our implementation, this was kept in mind but not explicitly used.

☐ *Input and Output*

- **Input**: 6-channel tensors created by stacking 3-channel "before" and "after" SAR images.
- **Output**: 1-channel segmentation mask with sigmoid activation for binary classification.

☐ *Layer Details*

- The encoder consists of 5 stages of convolutional blocks with down sampling via max-pooling.
- The decoder is constructed from convolutional blocks with transposed convolutions (up sampling) and nested connections.
- Each block consists of two Conv-Batch Norm-ReLU operations.
- Final layer: A 1×1 convolution followed by a sigmoid activation for mask prediction.

□ *Model Configuration*

- Input channels: 6
- Output channels: 1
- Base feature maps: $64 \rightarrow 128 \rightarrow 256 \rightarrow 512 \rightarrow 1024$
- **Optimizer**: Adam (lr=1e-4, weight_decay=1e-5)
- Loss Function: Focal Tversky Loss

• Metric: Dice Score

☐ Loss Function – Focal Tversky Loss

Designed to address the severe class imbalance often found in medical and disaster segmentation:

- Tversky Index is a generalization of Dice that penalizes false positives and false negatives asymmetrically.
- Focal extension emphasizes learning hard examples by raising (1 - Tversky Index) to a power γ.

This helped the model better capture minority class pixels (i.e., flooded regions), which are sparse compared to the background.

\square *Why UNet++?*

- Handles multiscale feature aggregation effectively.
- Improves boundary delineation, crucial for flood edges.
- Reduces semantic gap via intermediate skip fusion layers.

6. Results and Analysis

The model was trained using:

- **UNet**++ architecture with skip-connections and deep supervision
- Focal Tversky Loss, suitable for imbalanced segmentation
- **Dice Score** as the evaluation metric

Best Validation Dice Score Achieved: **0.5589** (Epoch 30)

Training stabilized after a few epochs but showed fluctuations in

validation performance, likely due to class imbalance and noisy data.

I also trained on a **tiny subset** (10 samples) to ensure overfitting capability, which is a basic sanity check.

Visual Results

Visualization confirms the model is able to localize major flood regions, although finer boundaries could still be improved.

7. Conclusions

- UNet++ with 6-channel SAR inputs effectively segments flood regions.
- Focal Tversky Loss improved performance on sparse foreground masks.
- Preprocessing, especially speckle noise filtering and mask enhancement, was essential.
- Model generalization could benefit from more data or pretrained encoders.

8. References

- 1. Zhou et al., *UNet++: A Nested U-Net Architecture for Medical Image Segmentation*, DLMIA 2018.
- 2. Salehi et al., Tversky Loss Function for Image Segmentation Using 3D Fully Convolutional Deep Networks, MLMI 2017.
- 3. Sentinel-1 SAR Datasets European Space Agency.
- 4. [Preprocessing and Modeling Code] Provided in project files.
- 5. Donato Amitrano, Gerardo Di Martino, Alessio Di Simone, Pasquale Imperatore,

Flood Detection with SAR: A Review of Techniques and Datasets,

Remote Sensing 2024, 16(4), 656.

https://doi.org/10.3390/rs16040656