

Interactive comment to “The Aerosol Limb
Imager: acousto-optic imaging of limb
scattered sunlight for stratospheric aerosol
profiling” by B. J. Elash et al

B. J. Elash et al. (brenden.elash@usask.ca)

February 12, 2016

We would like to thank the referee for their helpful comments and suggestions. Below are the referee's comments in italics followed by our reply.

Page 13286, line 13: "Preliminary analysis ... indicates"

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13287, line 23: "1970's" → "1970s"

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13288, line 26: Perhaps Taha et al. (2011) should be mentioned here, too: Taha, et al., SCIAMACHY stratospheric aerosol extinction profile retrieval using the OMPS/LP algorithm, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 547-556, doi:10.5194/amt-4-547-2011, 2011.

Reply: Reference has been added.

Page 13291, line 3: "from at" → "from"

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13292, line 3: "AOTFs' " → "AOTFs "

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13292, line 15: "acousto wave" → "acoustic wave" ?

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13293, line 7: "ATOF"

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13293, line 13: "not constant angle with wavelength" → "not constant with wavelength"?

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13295, equation (3) : “ t ” has not been defined, as far as I can tell.

Reply: It has not been defined and the definition has been added to the following line. The line was changed to read “where δ is the displacement from the original path and t is the thickness of the crystal.”

Page 13295, line 25: “with an extinction ratio greater than 10-5” Should this read “105” rather than “10-5” ?

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13296, line 15: “change of less than 1% change” \rightarrow “change of less than 1%” ?

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13298, line 17: “Dekemper et al. (2012) reports” \rightarrow “Dekemper et al. (2012) report”

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13299, line 13: “had a spectral resolution of 1.2 nm, which is much less than the factory specified resolution of the ATOF”

Well, it’s not much less than the FWHM shown in Fig. 3c at, e.g. 600 nm. Perhaps “much” should be deleted? In the same sentence: “ATOF” \rightarrow “AOTF”

Reply: Noted and the much has been removed. Also AOTF has been corrected.

Caption, Fig. 7, line 3: delete “is” in “of the horizontal field of view is”

Reply: Corrected.

Caption Fig. 8: Perhaps you can briefly mention what speeds blue, green and red colors roughly correspond to.

Reply: The speeds for these colour have been added into the figure text. The following has been added “...the mission and the blue, green, red colours represent speeds of approximately 10, 70, and 140 km/h.”

Page 13304, line 26: “The spectra displays” → “The spectra display”

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13307, lines 5 and 9: different spelling of “Angstrom”

Reply: All noted incorrect spellings have been corrected.

Page 13307, bottom line: “The difference .. were less”

Reply: Corrected.

Page 13308, line 8: “However, the OSIRIS and ALI extinctions do not agree within error between 20 to 25 km.”

The errors of the OSIRIS profile are not shown. Since the differences between the OSIRIS profile and the ALI upper error limit are relatively small between 20 and 25 km, I imagine that the two profiles agree within combined errors.

Reply: The two profiles do overlap when the error is included and Figure 12 has been modified to include the error bars on OSIRIS as well the OSIRIS extinction was changed from green to red to better highlight the error bars. The updated figure is located as an additional attachment. The text has also been modified to reflect this change in the following way “The red curve is the average 750 nm aerosol extinction profiles of the same five coincident OSIRIS scans used for the ozone profile. The retrieved extinction profiles from ALI and OSIRIS are within with the total retrieval uncertainty.”.

Page 13308, line 21: “This is also the first polarized limb scatter retrieval to our knowledge”

McLinden et al. (1999) already retrieved stratospheric aerosol information from polarized limb radiation observations with the CPFM instrument flown on the ER-2. Here the full reference: McLinden et al., Observations of stratospheric aerosol using CPFM polarized limb radiances, JAS, 56, 233-240, 1999.

Reply: It has been noted and the statement has been removed.

Acknowledgements, line 4: “The optical design analysis was performed in thanks to Synopsys for the use of a Code V software license”

Reply: No change in the text was noted in the correction.

Figure 8, panel (b): I think you didn’t mention what the pink line corresponds to. First light?

Reply: Already noted in the figure caption but was altered for clarity. It was changed to “The time of image 208 is shown by the cyan vertical line and first light measured by ALI is represented by the magenta vertical line.”
