

M. Atlas Malik Home Task #12 24/8/2020

Protein Intake Exercise Hydration Muscle mass

80	5	3	45
100	7	3.5	50
90	6	3.2	48
110	8	4	55
95	6.5	3.8	50

Multivariate linear Regression:

$$\eta = 0.0001$$

Initial weights: $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = b = 0$

Iteration 1:

$$\hat{y}_i = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + w_3 x_3 + b$$

$$\hat{y}_1 = \hat{y}_2 = \hat{y}_3 = \hat{y}_4 = \hat{y}_5 = 0$$

$$\text{Error} = y_i - \hat{y}_i = [45, 50, 48, 55, 50].$$

Update b :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -\frac{2}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 (y_i - \hat{y}_i)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{5} [45 + 50 + 48 + 55 + 50].$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -99.2$$

$$b_{\text{new}} = b_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = 0 - (0.0001) (-99.2)$$

$$b_{\text{new}} = 0.00992$$

Update weights: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = -\frac{2}{5} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)$.

$$w_1 : \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = -\frac{2}{5} [3600 + 5000 + 4320 + 6050 + 4750]$$

$$= -\frac{2}{5} (23720) = -9488$$

$$w_{new} = w_{old} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1}$$

$$= 0 - (0.0001) (-9488)$$

$$w_1 = 0.9488$$

w_2 :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = -\frac{2}{5} [1628]$$

$$= -651.2$$

$$w_{new} = w_{old} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2}$$

$$= 0 - (0.0001) (-651.2)$$

$$w_2 = 0.06512$$

w_3 :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3} = -\frac{2}{5} (873.6)$$

$$= -349.44$$

$$w_{new} = w_{old} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3}$$

$$= 0 - (0.0001) (-349.44)$$

$$w_3 = 0.034944$$

MSE:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} [(50)^2 + (45)^2 + (48)^2 + (55)^2 + (50)^2]$$

$$MSE = 2470.8$$

Predicted y :

$$\hat{y}_1 = \gamma_{11}w_1 + \gamma_{12}w_2 + \gamma_{13}w_3 + b \\ = 80(0.9488) + 5(0.06512) + 3(0.034944) + 0.0092 \\ = 76.3443$$

$$\hat{y}_2 = 100(0.9488) + 7(0.06512) + 3.5(0.034944) + 0.0092 \\ = 95.468$$

$$\hat{y}_3 = 90(0.9488) + 6(0.06512) + 3.2(0.034944) + 0.0092 \\ = 85.9044$$

$$\hat{y}_4 = 110(0.9488) + 8(0.06512) + 4(0.034944) + 0.0092 \\ = 105.0386$$

$$\hat{y}_5 = 95(0.9488) + 6.5(0.06512) + 3.8(0.034944) + 0.0092 \\ = 90.7019$$

Iteration 2:

$$\text{Error} = \hat{y}_i - \hat{y}_i = [-31.3443, -45.468, -37.9044, -50.0386, -40.7019]$$

Update b :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -\frac{2}{5}[-31.3443, -45.468, -37.9044, -50.0386, -40.7019]$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = 82.18$$

$$b_{\text{new}} = b_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial b} \\ = 0.0092 - (0.0001)(82.18)$$

$$b_{\text{new}} = 0.00172$$

update weights: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = -\frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_{ij} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)$

$$w_1: -\frac{2}{5}[80(-31.3443) + 100(-45.468) + 90(-37.9044) \\ + 110(-50.0386) + 95(-40.7019)]$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = 7934.6826$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1}$$

$$= 0.9488 - (0.0001)(7934.6826)$$

$$w_1 = 0.1553$$

$$\underline{w_2}: \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = -\frac{2}{5} \left[5(-31.3443) + 7(-45.468) + 6(-37.9044) + 8(-50.0386) + 6.5(-40.7019) \right].$$

$$= 546.91$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2}$$

$$= 0.06512 - (0.0001)(546.91)$$

$$w_2 = 0.01042$$

$$\underline{w_3}: \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3} = 291.71$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3}$$

$$= 0.034944 - (0.0001)(291.71)$$

$$w_3 = 0.005773$$

$$\underline{MSE}: MSE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} \left[(-31.3443)^2 + (-45.468)^2 + (-37.904)^2 + (-50.0386)^2 + (-40.7019)^2 \right]$$

$$MSE = 1729.41$$

Predicted y :

$$\hat{y}_1 = 129.49$$

$$\hat{y}_2 = 15.62$$

$$\hat{y}_3 = 14.059$$

$$\hat{y}_4 = 17.191$$

$$\hat{y}_5 = 14.844$$

Iteration 3:

$$\text{Error} = y_i - \hat{y}_i = [32.50, 34.375, 33.940, 37.808, 35.155].$$

Update b :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -\frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - \hat{y}_i).$$
$$= -\frac{2}{5} [3.50 + 34.375 + 33.940 + 37.808 + 35.155].$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -69.51$$

$$b_{\text{new}} = b_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial b}$$

$$= 0.001702 - (0.0001) (-69.51)$$

$$b_{\text{new}} = 0.00865$$

Update weights:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_j} = -\frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)$$

$$\underline{w_1}: \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = -\frac{2}{5} [80(32.50) + 100(34.375) + 90(33.94) + 110(37.808) + 95(35.155)]$$

$$= -6636.41.$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} - \gamma \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1}$$

$$= 0.1553 - (0.0001)(-6636.41)$$

$$w_1 = 0.8189$$

$$w_2 : \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = -455.01$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = 0.01042 - (0.0001)(-455.01)$$

$$w_2 = 0.0559$$

$$w_3 : \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3} = -244.40$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = 0.00575 - (0.0001)(-244.40)$$

$$w_3 = 0.0302$$

MSE,

$$MSE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} [(32.50)^2 + (34.3752)^2 + (33.94)^2 + (37.808)^2 + (35.155)^2]$$

$$MSE = 1211.09$$

Predicted y :

$$\hat{y}_1 = 65.8908$$

$$\hat{y}_2 = 82.3957$$

$$\hat{y}_3 = 74.1417$$

$$\hat{y}_4 = 90.6557$$

$$\hat{y}_5 = 78.2823$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 = 105 ; \alpha_2 = 7.5 ; \alpha_3 = 3.6$$

$$\hat{y} = \alpha_1 w_1 + \alpha_2 w_2 + \alpha_3 w_3 + b$$
$$= 105(0.8189) + 7.5(0.0559) + 3.6(0.0302) + 0.0085$$

$$\hat{y} = 86.5231$$

The predicted muscle mass percentage for an individual consuming 105 g of protein, exercising 7.5 hours per week, and hydrating with 3.6 L per day is 86.52%.

Pros and cons of using Gradient Descent :

Pros :

- * scalability
- * Flexibility
- * Efficiency for high-dimensional data
- * supports regularization.

Cons :

- * Slow convergence
- * sensitive to learning rate η
- * May get stuck in local minima.
- * Requires feature scaling
- * Hyperparameter tuning needed.

Impact of choosing a very large or small learning rate:

1. Large learning rate:

- * converges if chosen correctly
- * The gradient updates are too large, leading to oscillations or divergence.
- * May overshoot the optimal solution.

2. Small learning rate:

- * Guarantees convergence (if training time is long enough).
- * Stable updates, no oscillation.
- * Extremely slow convergence.
- * Might get stuck in a plateau, requiring many iterations to reach the optimal solution.

Ridge Regression: $\alpha = 0.1$

$$\eta = 0.0001$$

Initial weights $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = 0$

$$b = 0$$

Iteration 1:

$$\hat{y}_1 = \hat{y}_2 = \hat{y}_3 = \hat{y}_4 = \hat{y}_5 = 0$$

$$\text{Error} = y_i - \hat{y}_i$$

$$= [45, 50, 48, 55, 50].$$

$$\text{Update } b : \frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -\frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - \hat{y}_i)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{5} [45 + 50 + 48 + 55 + 50]$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -99.2$$

$$b_{\text{new}} = b_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial b}$$

$$= 0 - (0.0001)(-99.2)$$

$$= 0.00992$$

Update weights:

$$L = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 + \alpha \sum_{j=1}^i w_j^2$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_j} = -\frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i) + 2\alpha w_j$$

$$w_1 : \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = -\frac{2}{5} [(80)(45) + (100)(50) + (90)(48) + (10)(55) + 2(0.1)(0) + (95)(50)].$$

$$= -9488$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1}$$

$$= 0 - (0.0001)(-9488)$$

$$w_1 = 0.9488$$

$$w_2 : \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = -\frac{2}{5} [(5)(45) + (7)(50) + (6)(48) + (8)(55) + (6-5)(50)] + 2(0.1)(0).$$

$$= -651.2$$

$$w_1 = 0 - (0.0001)(-651.2)$$

$$\boxed{w_1 = 0.06512}$$

$$\underline{w_3} : \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3} = -\frac{2}{5} \left[(3)(45) + (3.5)(50) + (3.2)(48) + (4)(55) + (3.8)(50) \right] + 2(0.1)(0).$$

$$= -349.44$$

$$w_3 = 0 - (0.0001)(-349.44)$$

$$\boxed{w_3 = 0.03494}$$

$$\underline{MSE} : MSE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} (45^2 + 50^2 + 48^2 + 55^2 + 50^2)$$

$$= 2470.8$$

Predicted y :

$$\hat{y}_1 = w_1 x_{11} + w_2 x_{12} + w_3 x_{13} + b$$

$$\hat{y}_1 = 76.344$$

$$\hat{y}_2 = \cancel{85.904} 45.468$$

$$\hat{y}_3 = 85.904$$

$$\hat{y}_4 = 105.038$$

$$\hat{y}_5 = 90.702$$

Iteration 2: Error = $y_i - \hat{y}_i$
 $= [-31.34432, -45.468, -37.904, -50.038, -40.702]$.

Update b:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -\frac{2}{5} [-31.344, -45.468, -37.904, -50.038, -40.702]$$

$$= 82.182$$

$$b_{\text{new}} = b_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial b}$$

$$= 0.00992 - (0.0001)(82.182)$$

$$\boxed{b = 0.0017}$$

Update weights:

$$\underline{w_1}: \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = -\frac{2}{5} [(80)(-31.344) + (100)(-45.468) + (90)(-37.904) + (110)(-50.038) + (95)(-40.702)] + 2(0.1)(0.9488).$$

$$= 7934.809$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1}$$

$$= 0.9488 - (0.0001)(7934.809)$$

$$\boxed{w_1 = 0.1553}$$

w₂:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = -\frac{2}{5} [(5)(-31.344) + (7)(-45.468) + (6)(-37.904) + (8)(-50.038) + (6.5)(-40.702)] + 2(0.1)(0.0652)$$

$$= 546.927.$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2}$$

$$= 0.06512 - (0.0001)(546.927)$$

$$\boxed{w_2 = 0.0104}$$

$$\underline{w_3} : \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3} = -\frac{2}{5} \left[(3)(-31.344) + (3.5)(-45.468) + (3.2)(-37.904) \right. \\ \left. + (4)(-50.038) + (3.8)(-40.702) \right] + 2(0.1) \frac{(0.03)}{0.03} \\ = 291.72$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3}$$

$$= 0.03494 - (0.0001)(291.72)$$

$$\boxed{w_3 = 0.00576}$$

MSE :

$$MSE = \frac{1}{5} \left[(-31.344)^2 + (-45.468)^2 + (-37.904)^2 + (-50.038)^2 + (-40.701)^2 \right]$$

$$= 1729.41$$

Predicted y_i :

$$\hat{y}_i = w_1 x_{i1} + b x_{i2} w_2 + x_{i3} w_3 + b$$

$$\hat{y}_1 = 12.49$$

$$\hat{y}_2 = 15.62$$

$$\hat{y}_3 = 14.06$$

$$\hat{y}_4 = 17.19$$

$$\hat{y}_5 = 14.84$$

Iteration 3: Error = $y_i - \hat{y}_i$

$$\text{Error} = [32.503, 34.373, 33.939, 37.807, 35.153].$$

Update b:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = \frac{-2}{5} [32.503 + 34.373 + 33.939 + 37.807 + 35.153].$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -69.51$$

$$b_{\text{new}} = b_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial b}$$
$$= 0.001702 - (0.0001)(-69.51)$$

$$b = 0.00865$$

Update weights:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_j} = -\frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} (y_i - \hat{y}_i) + 2\kappa w_j$$

w_j:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = -6636.41$$

$$w_{\text{new}} = w_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1}$$

$$w_1 = 0.8189$$

$$\underline{w_2} : \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2} = -455.089$$

$$w_{new} = w_{old} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_2}$$

$$w_2 = 0.0559$$

$$\underline{w_3} : \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3} = -244.4943$$

$$w_{new} = w_{old} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_3}$$

$$w_3 = 0.0302$$

$$\underline{MSE} : MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$MSE = 1211.02$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = 105 ; x_2 = 7.5 ; x_3 = 3.6$$

$$\hat{y} = x_1 w_1 + x_2 w_2 + x_3 w_3 + b$$

$$= (105)(0.8189) + (0.0559)(7.5) + (3.6)(0.0302) \\ + 0.00865$$

$$\hat{y} = 86.52$$

\Rightarrow Difference :

L1 Regularization

* L1 (Lasso) can shrink some weights to exact zero, effectively selecting important features.

L2 Regularization

* L2 (Ridge) shrinks weights closer to zero but does not eliminate any features.

* L1 tends to select only one of the correlated features while reducing others to zero.

* L2 is better at handling multicollinearity by distributing weights among correlated features.

How regularization helps prevent overfitting:

Overfitting occurs when a model learns patterns from noise rather than general trends.

Regularization helps prevents this by:

- * Reducing model complexity.
- * Preventing large coefficients.
- * Improving generalization.