**Assignment A18: Design Review**

Goal: To provide feedback to a peer team in order to facilitate improvements to their design.

Guidelines:

1. Fully review the design proposal **before** discussion.
2. Keep the design criteria and constraints in mind.
3. Each team member contributes to the review.
4. Look for patterns and commonalities in thinking.
5. Avoid subjective feedback (i.e., this design is ugly).
6. Maintain a tone of professionalism in your feedback.

Steps:

1. As a group, evaluate and critique the proposed design.
2. Have a group member take detailed notes of the discussion.
3. Evaluate how well the design meets the criteria and constraints provided by the client.
4. Determine if the testing and analysis validate the design decision.
5. Each team member contributes at least one (more is better) piece of feedback in each of the four quadrants on the next page. Be sure your tone is professional and that you provide justification for your feedback.
   1. **Like** – What did you like about the design?
   2. **Challenges** – What part of the design do you feel is not feasible?
   3. **Ideas** – What ideas do you have about how to make the design better?
   4. **Questions** – What questions do you have regarding the design?
6. Save the document with the format: **ENGR131\_PeerReview\_TeamXX\_TeamYY** where XX is your team number and YY is the team number you reviewed.
7. Post the review on the discussion board under Team YY’s post.
8. Additionally, submit the document to the Assignment 18 Dropbox in Brightspace.

**ENGR 131 Feedback Quadrants**

Team # and names of the reviewers:

Table 1: Summary of each team member’s comments to be shared with Team # \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Likes | Ideas |
| Challenges | Questions |

Make sure the contributions of each team member are clear.