

Lecture Notes 13

2.9 The Covariant Derivative, Lie Bracket, and Riemann Curvature Tensor of \mathbf{R}^n

Let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $p \in A$, and W be a *tangent vector* of A at p , i.e., suppose there exists a curve $\gamma: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow A$ with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma'(0) = W$. Then if $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function we define the (directional) derivative of f with respect to W at p as

$$W_p f := (f \circ \gamma)'(0) = df_p(W).$$

Similarly, if V is a *vectorfield* along A , i.e., a mapping $V: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $p \mapsto V_p$, we define the *covariant derivative* of V with respect to W at p as

$$\bar{\nabla}_{W_p} V := (V \circ \gamma)'(0) = dV_p(W).$$

Note that if f and V are C^1 , then by definition they may be extended to an open neighborhood of A . So df_p and dV_p , and consequently $W_p f$ and $\bar{\nabla}_{W_p} V$ are well defined. In particular, they do not depend on the choice of the curve γ or the extensions of f and V .

Exercise 1. Let E_i be the standard basis of \mathbf{R}^n , i.e., $E_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $E_2 := (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, E_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Show that for any functions $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and vectorfield $V: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$

$$(E_i)_p f = D_i f(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\nabla}_{(E_i)_p} V = D_i V(p)$$

(*Hint:* Let $u_i: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be given by $u_i(t) := p + tE_i$, and observe that $(E_i)_p f = (f \circ u_i)'(0)$, $\bar{\nabla}_{(E_i)_p} V = (V \circ u_i)'(0)$).

¹Last revised: October 30, 2025

The operation $\bar{\nabla}$ is also known as the standard *Levi-Civita* connection of \mathbf{R}^n . If W is a tangent vectorfield of A , i.e., a mapping $W: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ such that W_p is a tangent vector of A for all $p \in A$, then we set

$$Wf(p) := W_p f \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{\nabla}_W V)_p := \bar{\nabla}_{W_p} V.$$

Note that $Wf: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function and $\bar{\nabla}_W V$ is a vectorfield. Further, we define

$$(fW)_p := f(p)W_p.$$

Thus $fW: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is also a vector field.

Exercise 2. Show that if $V = (V^1, \dots, V^n)$, i.e., V^i are the component functions of V , then

$$\bar{\nabla}_W V = (WV^1, \dots, WV^n).$$

Exercise 3. Show that if Z is a tangent vectorfield of A and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function, then

$$\bar{\nabla}_{W+Z} V = \bar{\nabla}_W V + \bar{\nabla}_Z V, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\nabla}_{fW} V = f \bar{\nabla}_W V.$$

Further if $Z: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is any vectorfield, then

$$\bar{\nabla}_W(V + Z) = \bar{\nabla}_W V + \bar{\nabla}_W Z, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\nabla}_W(fV) = (Wf)V + f \bar{\nabla}_W V.$$

Exercise 4. Note that if V and W are a pair of vectorfields on A then $\langle V, W \rangle: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\langle V, W \rangle_p := \langle V_p, W_p \rangle$ is a function on A , and show that

$$Z\langle V, W \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_Z V, W \rangle + \langle V, \bar{\nabla}_Z W \rangle.$$

If $V, W: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ are a pair of vector fields, then their *Lie bracket* is the vector field on A defined by

$$[V, W]_p := \bar{\nabla}_{V_p} W - \bar{\nabla}_{W_p} V.$$

Exercise 5. Show that if $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is open, $V, W: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ are a pair of vector fields and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a scalar, then

$$[V, W]f = V(Wf) - W(Vf).$$

(*Hint:* First show that $Vf = \langle V, \text{grad } f \rangle$ and $Wf = \langle W, \text{grad } f \rangle$ where

$$\text{grad } f := (D_1 f, \dots, D_n f).$$

Next define

$$\text{Hess } f(V, W) := \langle V, \nabla_W \text{grad } f \rangle,$$

and show that $\text{Hess } f(V, W) = \text{Hess } f(W, V)$. In particular, it is enough to show that $\text{Hess } f(E_i, E_j) = D_{ij} f$, where $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ is the standard basis for \mathbf{R}^n . Then Leibniz rule yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & V(Wf) - W(Vf) \\ &= V\langle W, \text{grad } f \rangle - W\langle V, \text{grad } f \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_V W, \text{grad } f \rangle + \langle W, \nabla_V \text{grad } f \rangle - \langle \nabla_W V, \text{grad } f \rangle - \langle V, \nabla_W \text{grad } f \rangle \\ &= \langle [V, W], \text{grad } f \rangle + \text{Hess } f(W, V) - \text{Hess } f(V, W) \\ &= [V, W]f, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.)

If V and W are tangent vectorfields on an open set $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, and $Z: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ is any vectorfield, then

$$\bar{R}(V, W)Z := \bar{\nabla}_V \bar{\nabla}_W Z - \bar{\nabla}_W \bar{\nabla}_V Z - \bar{\nabla}_{[V, W]} Z$$

defines a vectorfield on A . If Y is another vectorfield on A , then we may also define an associated scalar quantity by

$$\bar{R}(V, W, Z, Y) := \langle \bar{R}(V, W)Z, Y \rangle,$$

which is known as the *Riemann curvature tensor* of \mathbf{R}^n .

Exercise 6. Show that $\bar{R} \equiv 0$.

2.10 The Induced Covariant Derivative on Surfaces; Gauss's Formulas revisited

Note that if $M \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ is a regular embedded surface and $V, W: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ are vectorfields on M . Then $\bar{\nabla}_W V$ may no longer be tangent to M . Rather, in general we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_W V = (\bar{\nabla}_W V)^\top + (\bar{\nabla}_W V)^\perp,$$

where $(\bar{\nabla}_W V)^\top$ and $(\bar{\nabla}_W V)^\perp$ respectively denote the tangential and normal components of $\bar{\nabla}_W V$ with respect to M . More explicitly, if for each $p \in M$ we let $n(p)$ be a unit normal vector to $T_p M$, then

$$(\bar{\nabla}_W V)_p^\perp := \langle \bar{\nabla}_{W_p} V, n(p) \rangle n(p) \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{\nabla}_W V)^\top := \bar{\nabla}_W V - (\bar{\nabla}_W V)^\perp.$$

Let $\mathcal{X}(M)$ denote the space of tangent vectorfield on M . Then We define the (*induced*) *covariant derivative* on M as the mapping $\nabla: \mathcal{X}(M) \times \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M)$ given by

$$\nabla_W V := (\bar{\nabla}_W V)^\top.$$

Exercise 7. Show that, with respect to tangent vectorfields on M , ∇ satisfies all the properties which were listed for $\bar{\nabla}$ in Exercises 3 and 4.

Next we derive an explicit expression for ∇ in terms of local coordinates. Let $X: U \rightarrow M$ be a proper regular patch centered at a point $p \in M$, i.e., $X(0,0) = p$, and set

$$\bar{X}_i := X_i \circ X^{-1}.$$

Then \bar{X}_i are vectorfields on $X(U)$, and for each $q \in X(U)$, $(\bar{X}_i)_q$ forms a basis for $T_q M$. Thus on $X(U)$ we have

$$V = \sum_i V^i \bar{X}_i, \quad \text{and} \quad W = \sum_i W^i \bar{X}_i$$

for some functions $V^i, W^i: X(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Consequently, on $X(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_W V &= \nabla_{(\sum_j W^j \bar{X}_j)} \left(\sum_i V^i \bar{X}_i \right) \\ &= \sum_j \left(W^j \nabla_{\bar{X}_j} \left(\sum_i V^i \bar{X}_i \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_j \left(W^j \sum_i \left(\bar{X}_j V^i + V^i \nabla_{\bar{X}_j} \bar{X}_i \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_j \sum_i \left(W^j (\bar{X}_j V^i) + W^j V^i \nabla_{\bar{X}_j} \bar{X}_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next note that if we define $u_j: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ by $u_j(t) := tE_j$, where $E_1 := (1,0)$ and $E_2 := (0,1)$. Then $X \circ u_i: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M$ are curves with $X \circ u_i(0) =$

p and $(X \circ u_i)'(0) = X_i(0, 0) = \overline{X}_i(p)$. Thus by the definitions of ∇ and $\overline{\nabla}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{(\overline{X}_j)_p} \overline{X}_i &= \left(\overline{\nabla}_{(\overline{X}_j)_p} \overline{X}_i \right)^\top \\ &= \left((\overline{X}_i \circ (X \circ u_j))'(0) \right)^\top \\ &= \left((X_i \circ u_j)'(0) \right)^\top\end{aligned}$$

Now note that, by the chain rule,

$$(X_i \circ u_j)'(0) = DX_i(u_j(0))Du_j(0) = X_{ij}(0, 0).$$

Exercise 8. Verify the last equality above.

Thus, by Gauss's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{(\overline{X}_j)_p} \overline{X}_i &= \left(X_{ij}(0, 0) \right)^\top \\ &= \left(\sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k(0, 0) X_k(0, 0) + l_{ij}(0, 0) N(0, 0) \right)^\top \\ &= \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k(X^{-1}(p)) X_k(X^{-1}(p)) \\ &= \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k(X^{-1}(p)) (\overline{X}_k)_p.\end{aligned}$$

In particular if we set $\overline{X}_{ij} := X_{ij} \circ X^{-1}$ and define $\overline{\Gamma}_{ij}^k: X(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $\overline{\Gamma}_{ij}^k := \Gamma_{ij}^k \circ X^{-1}$, then we have

$$\nabla_{\overline{X}_j} \overline{X}_i = (\overline{X}_{ij})^\top = \sum_k \overline{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \overline{X}_k,$$

which in turn yields

$$\nabla_W V = \sum_j \sum_i \left(W^j \overline{X}_j V^i + W^j V^i \sum_k \overline{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \overline{X}_k \right).$$

Now recall that Γ_{ij}^k depends only on the coefficients of the first fundamental form g_{ij} . Thus it follows that ∇ is intrinsic:

Exercise 9. Show that if $f: M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ is an isometry, then

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{df(W)} df(V) = df(\nabla_W V),$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ denotes the covariant derivative on \widetilde{M} (*Hint:* It is enough to show that $\langle \widetilde{\nabla}_{df(\overline{X}_i)} df(\overline{X}_j), df(\overline{X}_l) \rangle = \langle df(\nabla_{\overline{X}_i} \overline{X}_j), df(\overline{X}_l) \rangle$).

Next note that if $n: X(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^2$ is a local Gauss map then

$$\langle \nabla_W V, n \rangle = -\langle V, \nabla_W n \rangle = -\langle V, dn(W) \rangle = \langle V, S(W) \rangle,$$

where, recall that, S is the shape operator of M . Thus

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{W_p} V)^\perp = \langle V, S(W_p) \rangle n(p),$$

which in turn yields

$$\overline{\nabla}_W V = \nabla_W V + \langle V, S(W) \rangle n.$$

This is Gauss's formula and implies the expression that we had derived earlier in local coordinates.

Exercise 10. Verify the last sentence.