

# Lecture Notes 14

---

## 2.11 The Induced Lie Bracket on Surfaces; The Self-Adjointness of the Shape Operator Revisited

If  $V, W$  are tangent vectorfields on  $M$ , then we define

$$[V, W]_M := \nabla_V W - \nabla_W V,$$

which is again a tangent vector field on  $M$ . Note that since, as we had verified in an earlier exercise,  $S$  is self-adjoint, the Gauss's formula yields that

$$\begin{aligned} [V, W] &= \bar{\nabla}_V W - \bar{\nabla}_W V \\ &= \nabla_W V - \nabla_V W + (\langle V, S(W) \rangle - \langle W, S(V) \rangle)n \\ &= [V, W]_M. \end{aligned}$$

In particular if  $V$  and  $W$  are tangent vectorfields on  $M$ , then  $[V, W]$  is also a tangent vectorfield.

Let us also recall here, for the sake of completeness, the proof of the self-adjointness of  $S$ . To this end it suffices to show that if  $E_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is a basis for  $T_p M$ , then  $\langle E_i, S_p(E_j) \rangle = \langle S_p(E_i), E_j \rangle$ . In particular we may let  $E_i = X_i(0, 0)$ , where  $X: U \rightarrow M$  is a regular patch of  $M$  centered at  $p$ . Now note that

$$\langle X_i, S_p(X_j) \rangle = -\langle X_i, dn_p(X_j) \rangle = -\langle X_i, (n \circ X)_j \rangle = \langle X_{ij}, (n \circ X) \rangle.$$

Since the right hand side of the above expression is symmetric with respect to  $i$  and  $j$ , the right hand side must be symmetric as well, which completes the proof that  $S$  is self-adjoint.

Note that while the above proof is short and elegant one might object to it on the ground that it uses local coordinates. On the other hand, if we can

---

<sup>1</sup>Last revised: October 30, 2025

give an independent proof that  $[V, W]_M = [V, W]$ , then we would have an alternative proof that  $S$  is self-adjoint. To this end note that

$$[V, W]^\top = (\bar{\nabla}_V W)^\top - (\bar{\nabla}_W V)^\top = \nabla_V W - \nabla_W V = [V, W]_M.$$

Thus to prove that  $[V, W]_M = [V, W]$  it is enough to show that  $[V, W]^\top = [V, W]$ , i.e.,  $[V, W]$  is tangent to  $M$ . To see this note that if  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is any function, and  $\bar{f}: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  denoted an extension of  $f$  to an open neighborhood of  $M$ , then

$$[V, W]\bar{f} = [V, W]^\top \bar{f} + [V, W]^\perp \bar{f} = [V, W]^\top f + [V, W]^\perp \bar{f}.$$

So if we can show that the left hand side of the above expression depends only on  $f$  (not  $\bar{f}$ ), then it would follow that the right hand side must also be independent of  $\bar{f}$ , which can happen only if  $[V, W]^\perp$  vanishes. Hence it remains to show that  $[V, W]\bar{f} = [V, W]f$ . To see this recall that by a previous exercise

$$[V, W]\bar{f} = V(W\bar{f}) - W(V\bar{f}).$$

But since  $V$  and  $W$  are tangent to  $M$ ,  $V\bar{f} = Vf$  and  $W\bar{f} = Wf$ . Thus the right hand side of the above equality depends only on  $f$ , which completes the proof.

**Exercise 1.** Verify the next to last statement.

## 2.12 The Riemann Curvature Tensor of Surfaces; The Gauss and Codazzi Mainardi Equations, and Theorema Egregium Revisited

If  $V, W, Z$  are tangent vectorfields on  $M$ , then

$$R(V, W)Z := \nabla_V \nabla_W Z - \nabla_W \nabla_V Z - \nabla_{[V, W]} Z$$

gives a tangent vectorfield on  $M$ . Note that this operation is well defined, because, as we verified in the previous section,  $[V, W]$  is tangent to  $M$ . If  $Y$  is another tangent vectorfield on  $M$ , then we may also define an associated scalar quantity by

$$R(V, W, Z, Y) := \langle R(V, W)Z, Y \rangle,$$

which is the *Riemann curvature tensor* of  $M$ , and, as we show below, coincides with the quantity of the same name which we had defined earlier in terms of local coordinates. To this end first recall that

$$\bar{R}(V, W)Z := \bar{\nabla}_V \bar{\nabla}_W Z - \bar{\nabla}_W \bar{\nabla}_V Z - \bar{\nabla}_{[V, W]} Z = 0$$

as we had shown in an earlier exercise. Next note that, by Gauss's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_V \bar{\nabla}_W Z &= \bar{\nabla}_V (\nabla_W Z + \langle S(W), Z \rangle n) \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_V (\nabla_W Z) + \bar{\nabla}_V (\langle S(W), Z \rangle n) \\ &= \nabla_V \nabla_W Z + \langle S(V), \nabla_W Z \rangle n + V \langle S(W), Z \rangle n + \langle S(W), Z \rangle \nabla_V n. \end{aligned}$$

Also recall that, since  $\langle n, n \rangle = 1$ ,

$$\nabla_V n := (\bar{\nabla}_V n)^\top = \bar{\nabla}_V n = dn(V) = S(V).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_V \bar{\nabla}_W Z &= \nabla_V \nabla_W Z + \langle S(W), Z \rangle S(V) \\ &\quad + \left( \langle S(V), \nabla_W Z \rangle + \langle \nabla_V S(W), Z \rangle + \langle S(W), \nabla_V Z \rangle \right) n. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{\nabla}_W \bar{\nabla}_V Z &= -\nabla_W \nabla_V Z - \langle S(V), Z \rangle S(W) \\ &\quad - \left( \langle S(W), \nabla_V Z \rangle + \langle \nabla_W S(V), Z \rangle + \langle S(V), \nabla_W Z \rangle \right) n. \end{aligned}$$

Also note that

$$-\bar{\nabla}_{[V, W]} Z = -\nabla_{[V, W]} Z - \langle S([V, W]), Z \rangle n.$$

Adding the last three equations yields

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(V, W)Z &= R(V, W)Z + \langle S(W), Z \rangle S(V) - \langle S(V), Z \rangle S(W) \\ &\quad + \left( \langle \nabla_V S(W), Z \rangle - \langle \nabla_W S(V), Z \rangle - \langle S([V, W]), Z \rangle \right) n. \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side of the above equation is zero, each of the tangential and normal components of the right hand side must vanish as well. These respectively yield:

$$R(V, W)Z = \langle S(W), Z \rangle S(V) - \langle S(V), Z \rangle S(W)$$

and

$$\nabla_V S(W) - \nabla_W S(V) = S([V, W]),$$

which are the Gauss and Codazzi-Mainardi equations respectively. In particular, in local coordinates they take on the forms which we had derived earlier.

**Exercise 2.** Verify the last sentence above.

Finally note that by Gauss's equation

$$\langle R(V, W)W, V \rangle = \langle S(V), V \rangle \langle S(W), W \rangle - \langle S(W), V \rangle \langle S(V), W \rangle$$

In particular, if  $V$  and  $W$  are orthonormal, then

$$\langle R(V, W)W, V \rangle = \det(S) = K.$$

Thus we obtain yet another proof of the Theorema Egregium, which, in this latest reincarnation, does not use local coordinates.

**Exercise 3.** Show that if  $V$  and  $W$  are general vectorfields (not necessarily orthonormal), then

$$K = \frac{R(V, W, W, V)}{\|V \times W\|^2}$$