Web Development 3.2 - Group Presentation Rubric (Group No. 50)					
Student Number and Name:	Comments:	Individual score:			
Maluleke	Soft/loud/clear, well spoken, good presence, variation to voice tone, humor, etc.	14/20 /100			
Maswangaanyi	Soft/loud/clear, well spoken, good presence, variation to voice tone, humor, etc.	14/20 /100			
Mathebula	Soft/loud/clear, well spoken, good presence, variation to voice tone, humor, etc.	14/20 /100			
Mokgosi	Soft/loud/clear, well spoken, good presence, variation to voice tone, humor, etc.	14/20 /100			
Rikhotso 219175764	Soft/loud/clear, well spoken, good presence, variation to voice tone, humor, etc.	14/20 /100			

CATEGORY	4	3	2	1	Comments
Introduction	Font formats (e.g., color, bold, italic) have been carefully planned to enhance readability and content. (4)	Font formats have been carefully planned to enhance readability. Plain and simple (3)	Font formatting has been carefully planned to complement the content. It may be a little hard to read. (2)	Font formatting makes it very difficult to read the material. (1)	4
Project Background and choice	Project background and choice of project is clear logical and relevant (4)	Background information is organized in a clear way with some information missing (3)	Background of project is not clear and choice of project is not supported by reason (2)	No background and choice off project is not organized (1)	4
HTML and Programming Standards	Demonstrates proficien incorporating current at website design. (20)	20			
File Naming and Formats	Consistently employs ir formats for web pages, (13)	13			
Visual Design Wireframes, User Interface and Site Maps	Presentation contains all material needed to present a clear summary of findings and is strongly supported by data and wireframes (15)	Presentation is missing one or two key elements but contains most material needed to present supported data with wireframes (10)	Presentation is missing more than two key elements and presents a poor summary of findings but is supported by some data (5)	Presentation is lacking several key elements and has inaccuracies that make it a poor summary of findings (2)	10
User Testing and Mapping	User login created into the flow. With user comments and Errors logged. (9)	User login created into the flow. User expectations met with the current product. user-friendly product at each phase (7)	No user login created User expectations not met with the current product? How user-friendly is your product at each phase (5)	Inadequate user testing done with no supporting documents (2)	9
Editing Applications and	Effectively utilizes Web testing, editing, and up (15)	15			

**TOTAL** 75/80