# **London School of Economics**

# ${ m FM442-Quantitative~Methods~for~Finance~and~Risk~Analysis}$ ${ m Michaelmas~2019}$

## Class solutions

## 1 Risk measures

1. Write down the mathematical definition of VaR (value-at-risk) and derive ES (expected shortfall).

Solution

(a) VaR
$$\Pr[Q \le -\operatorname{VaR}(p)] = p$$
(b) ES
$$\operatorname{ES} = \int_{-\infty}^{-\operatorname{VaR}(p)} x f_{\operatorname{VaR}}(x) dx$$

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{-\operatorname{VaR}(p)} f_{\operatorname{VaR}}(x) dx = \frac{1}{p} \int_{-\infty}^{-\operatorname{VaR}(p)} f_q(x) dx$$

2. What is a coherent risk measure?

#### Solution

Consider two real-valued random variables: X and Y. A function

 $\varphi(.):X,Y\to\mathbb{R}$  is called a coherent risk measure if it satisfies for X,Y and constant c

(a) Monotonicity

if 
$$X, Y \in V$$
 and  $X \leq Y$ , then,  $\varphi(X) \geq \varphi(Y)$ 

(b) Subadditivity

if 
$$X, Y, (X + Y) \in V$$
, then,  $\varphi(X + Y) < \varphi(X) + \varphi(Y)$ 

(c) Positive homogeneity

if 
$$X \in V$$
 and  $c > 0$ , then,  $\varphi(cX) = c\varphi(X)$ 

(d) Translation invariance

if 
$$X \in V$$
 and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then,  $\varphi(X + c) = \varphi(X) - c$ 

3. Suppose you own two assets, A and B, with payoffs that are independent of each other, with each asset returning either 0 with probability 0.9 or -100. Is VaR(5%) sub-additive?

#### Solution

The VaR for A is:

$$VaR^{5\%}(A) = 100$$
 $VaR^{15\%}(A) = 0$ 

There are 2 possible asset allocations possible A or 0.5A + 0.5B.

The possible outcomes for the portfolio (0.5A + 0.5) are:

Asset probability 
$$A=0, B=0$$
  $0.1^2=0.81$   $A=-100, B=-100$   $0.01^2=0.01$   $A=0, B=-100 \lor A=-100, B=0$   $1-0.81-0.01=0.18$ 

$$VaR^{5\%}(A+B) = 100 \le VaR^{5\%}(A) + VaR^{5\%}(B) = 200$$

so subadditive.

4. Consider the assets in the last question. Is VaR(15%) sub-additive?

#### Solution

Given the the way the probabilities work out,

$$VaR^{15\%}(A) = VaR^{15\%}(B) = 0$$

and

$$VaR^{15\%}(A+B) = 100$$

so not subadditive.

5. Give one example of a traded asset that could lead to a sub-additivity violation of VaR, carefully explaining why the payoff structure of this asset would lead to that conclusion.

#### Solution

An actual asset that where most of the time the return is zero,

but occasionally very negative). This could be the exchange the rate of a country with a peg, that occasionally devalues, short deep out of the money options, junk bonds, etc .

6. Suppose you own 1\$ million worth of both stocks A and B. Stock A is a small cap stock, with a market capitalization of 3 million, while stock B is a large cap stock, with a market capitalization of 3 billion. As a consequence, one of the axioms of a coherent risk measure is likely to be violated for VaR on one of the stocks but not the other. Which stock is it and why would that be the case?

#### Solution

This is about homogeneity.

$$c \times Risk(A) = Risk(c \times A)$$

for an asset A and constant C. This would likely hold for the big cap, but not for the small cap.

7. State the three steps in VaR calculations.

## Solution

- (a) To specify probability p, commonly used are VaR levels of 1% 5%, but it is mainly determined by how the risk managers wishes to interpret the VaR number
- (b) To decide holding periods, commonly 1 day, but it can vary depending on different circumstances
- (c) Identification of probability distribution of the profit and loss of the portfolio