

# PHSX 711: Homework #1

September 2, 2024

Grant Saggars

## Problem 1

Exercise 1.3.2 (Shankar) Show how to go from the basis

$$|I\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad |II\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad |III\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

to the orthonormal basis

$$|I\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad |II\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{5} \\ 2/\sqrt{5} \end{pmatrix} \quad |III\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2/\sqrt{5} \\ 1/\sqrt{5} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Solution:**

- i. Normalize the first vector, I will use  $|I\rangle$  since it only has one nonzero component it becomes  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . If we want to write this more formally,

$$|I'\rangle = \frac{|I\rangle}{|I|}, \text{ where } |I| = \sqrt{\langle I|I\rangle}$$

- ii. Subtract from the second vector its projection along the first, leaving behind only the part perpendicular to the first. Of course it should also be normalized.

$$\begin{aligned} |II'\rangle &= |II\rangle - |I'\rangle \langle I'|II\rangle \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (0) \end{aligned}$$

We find  $|I'\rangle$  and  $|II\rangle$  are orthogonal, so we just normalize:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{5} \\ 2/\sqrt{5} \end{pmatrix}$$

iii. Finally for the third vector:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |III'\rangle &= |III\rangle - \cancel{|I'\rangle \langle I'|III\rangle} - |II'\rangle \langle II'|III\rangle \\
 &= |III\rangle - |II'\rangle (12/\sqrt{5}) \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 12/5 \\ 24/5 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2/\sqrt{5} \\ 1/\sqrt{5} \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

This is already normalized.

## Problem 2

Prove  $(\Omega\Lambda)^\dagger = \Lambda^\dagger\Omega^\dagger$

**Solution:**

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions (in this case of  $x$ ) for the operators to act on. Expanding back to the integral form can help demonstrate how things move around:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f|\Omega\Lambda|g\rangle &= \langle f|\Omega\Lambda|g\rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^* \Omega\Lambda g \, dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^* \{\Omega(\Lambda g)\} \, dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Omega^\dagger f)^* (\Lambda g) \, dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Lambda^\dagger \{\Omega^\dagger f\})^* g \, dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Lambda^\dagger \Omega^\dagger f)^* g \, dx \\ &= \langle \Lambda^\dagger \Omega^\dagger f | g \rangle\end{aligned}$$

### Problem 3

Show that for any operator  $\Omega$ ,  $\frac{\Omega + \Omega^\dagger}{2}$  is a Hermitian operator  $\frac{\Omega - \Omega^\dagger}{2}$  is an anti-Hermitian operator.

**Solution:**

A Hermitian operator is one which obeys the following:

$$Q^\dagger = Q$$

Conversely, an anti-Hermitian operator picks up a negative sign under its Hermite conjugate:

$$Q^\dagger = -Q$$

Additionally, for the sake of my future self, I will leave the additional properties of Hermitian conjugates here:

$$(A + B)^\dagger = A^\dagger + B^\dagger \quad (1)$$

$$(cA)^\dagger = c^* A^\dagger \quad \text{where } c \text{ is a scalar} \quad (2)$$

$$(A^\dagger)^\dagger = A \quad (3)$$

i. For the first proof, let me begin by defining  $O = \frac{\Omega + \Omega^\dagger}{2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} O &\stackrel{?}{=} O^\dagger \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(\Omega + \Omega^\dagger)}{2} \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(\Omega + \Omega^\dagger)^\dagger}{2} \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{\Omega^\dagger + (\Omega^\dagger)^\dagger}{2} \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(\Omega^\dagger + \Omega)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

This is indeed equivalent to the original operator  $O$ . Therefore any such combination of operators will be Hermitian due to property (1) of Hermitian operators.

ii. The second proof takes the exact same approach, let's use  $P = \frac{\Omega - \Omega^\dagger}{2}$  instead:

$$\begin{aligned} P &\stackrel{?}{=} P^\dagger \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(\Omega - \Omega^\dagger)}{2} \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(\Omega - \Omega^\dagger)^\dagger}{2} \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{\Omega^\dagger - (\Omega^\dagger)^\dagger}{2} \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(\Omega^\dagger - \Omega)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that it is indeed anti-Hermitian.

## Problem 4

Exercise 1.6.2 (Shankar) Given  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$  are Hermitian, what can you say about (1)  $\Omega\Lambda$ ; (2)  $\Omega\Lambda + \Lambda\Omega$ ; (3)  $[\Omega, \Lambda]$ ; and (4)  $i[\Omega, \Lambda]$

(Hint: Whether they are Hermitian or anti-Hermitian.)

### Solution:

- (1) The product of two Hermitian operators may not necessarily be Hermitian. Because Hermitian operators are symmetric, the product only remains symmetric if  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$  commute.

$$(\Omega\Lambda)^\dagger = \Lambda\Omega$$

We can see that  $\Omega\Lambda = \Lambda\Omega$  only if they commute. Otherwise this is neither.

- (2) This is Hermitian:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Omega\Lambda + \Lambda\Omega)^\dagger &= (\Omega\Lambda)^\dagger + (\Lambda\Omega)^\dagger \\ &= \Lambda\Omega + \Omega\Lambda \\ &= \Omega\Lambda + \Lambda\Omega \end{aligned}$$

- (3) This is anti-Hermitian:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Omega\Lambda - \Lambda\Omega)^\dagger &= (\Omega\Lambda)^\dagger - (\Lambda\Omega)^\dagger \\ &= \Lambda\Omega - \Omega\Lambda \\ &= -(\Omega\Lambda - \Lambda\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

- (4) This is hermitian:

$$\begin{aligned} [i(\Omega\Lambda - \Lambda\Omega)]^\dagger &= (i\Omega\Lambda - i\Lambda\Omega)^\dagger \\ &= (i\Omega\Lambda)^\dagger - (i\Lambda\Omega)^\dagger \\ &= (\Lambda\Omega)(-i) - (\Omega\Lambda)(-i) \\ &= i\Omega\Lambda - i\Lambda\Omega \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 5

Exercise 1.6.5 (Shankar) Verify that  $R(\frac{1}{2}\pi i)$  is unitary (orthogonal) by examining its matrix.

Note that  $R(\frac{1}{2}\pi i)$  designates the rotation about unit vector  $i$  by  $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ .

### Solution:

i. **Unitary Matrices:** By definition, a unitary matrix is one which obeys the following

$$\Omega^\dagger \Omega = \Omega \Omega^\dagger = I$$

ii. **Matrix form of  $R(\frac{1}{2}\pi i)$ :** In the  $|1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3\rangle$  basis,

$$R\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi i\right) |1\rangle = |1\rangle$$

$$R\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi i\right) |2\rangle = |3\rangle$$

$$R\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi i\right) |3\rangle = -|2\rangle$$

Which in matrix form is given by:

$$R\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi i\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Where its hermitian conjugate is

$$\left[R\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi i\right)\right]^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(which is really just the transpose, since it is real)

iii. Their product gives the identity matrix, therefore it is unitary:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$