

paid my son for me \$250. in part of said claims
 by order on Mr. Thomas ~~son~~ of Petersburg
 which was paid & a check given to Robinson
 leaving Robinson still indebted to me accord-
 ing to his statement of \$250. on said Bonds
 which is still due & unpaid. That said Garner
 having recd of said Robinson the two amounts
 of \$400. & \$200. amounting to \$600. before he
 transferred said Bonds to me. I then said
 Garner in Northampton County Court to
 recover of him that amount & did in the
 month of March 1856. recover from said Garner
 the sum of \$602.20 cts of which \$587.29 cts was
 principal with Int. from the 3rd day of March
 1856. ~~of which~~ all of which will more
 fully appear by reference to copies of said Bonds
 together with the endorsements thereon & a duly
 certified transcript of the record from said
 Court herewith filed, marked (K) and which
 are prayed to be taken as a part of this in-
 sworn, said Robinson then asked indulgence for
 the \$250. to which I consented, being perfectly
 willing to wait with him, ^{said Robinson} for the amount, that said
 amount of \$250. with interest is still due this
 Respondent. That sometime after this judg-
 ment was obtained against P. H. Garner & after
 this Respondent not being at Court, that
 said Robinson came to this Respondent and
 informed him that Garner said judgment
 had gone against him said Garner for the
 whole of said Bonds, and that therefore (knowing
 this Respondent must refund to him the \$250.
 paid to him by said Robinson, ~~that~~ your Res-
 pondent refused to do, but told said Robinson

* which will more fully appear by reference to a certified copy
 of the record in said Court herewith filed marked (L) & prayed
 to be taken as a part of this answer.

that whenever the other \$250. & Int. were
 paid him it would be in full of said Bonds as
 he had a judgment vs Garner for about \$600.
 he recd. of Robinson \$250. which left a balance of
 \$250. still due this Respondent, this Respondent
 further states that Robinson has repeatedly told him
 that the Sheriff had in his hands judgments for
 the full amount of the 3 notes & that he Robinson
 was directed by Garner to sue this Respondent
 for the \$250. to which this Respondent said
 that cannot be so as I remembered best
 about \$800. from said Garner. Said Robin-
 son then said this Respondent for the \$350.
 paid to me by him. This Respondent defended
 the suit, and at Sept ^{term} of Northampton County
 Court 1858. the said case was called & the Plffs
 Counsel in open Court stated that they had
 no claim so this Respondent, but on the
 contrary owed the Respondent \$250. & that
 said suit was then dismissed with costs.
 This Respondent regrets that it is useless
 for him to go into this full statement which
 may appear prolix & uninteresting but the
 facts cannot be fully made known except
 by a full & free statement, which is made
 above, he now believes he had any thing to do
 with the contest between Garner & Robinson &
 was no party to any transaction except about the
 3 notes as above stated, the record filed shows
 first that Garner assigned to this Respondent
 \$500. & ten days afterwards assigned the full
 amount of the \$1000. Bond & Int. & two smaller notes
 the record shows, that this Respondent has
 received of Garner all but \$500. that this Respon-
 dent has recd of W. H. Robinson \$250. in