# Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT)

USAID MENA Advanced MEL Workshop

2024-06-11

#### Welcome!

- Who we are
- What we do
- How we hope to help you

#### Objectives of impact evaluation sessions

- Understand the need for impact estimation of USAID activities
- Understand how impact estimation fits into the Agency performance management framework
- Gain practical knowledge about impact evaluation to help USAID staff better manage and support IEs

#### **Benchmarks for success**

By the end of this session, participants will be able to:

- Understand selection bias as a fundemantal difficulty in identifying a valid counterfactual
- Identify randomization as the most effective means of identifying the counterfactual
- Understand the key validity threats to Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs)
- Identify the key points in the RCT management cycle to identify and mitigate these threats

#### **Benchmarks for success**

#### Bonus content:

- Randomization vs. Optimization
- Longitudinal data

# Level Set

# Measuring social benefit

We want to know the causal effect of an activity on its beneficiaries

- Job training on earnings and employment
- Teacher qualifications on student outcomes
- Humanitarian assistance on food security

# Identifying a treatment assignment

- ullet We established indicators for treatment assignment  $D_i$  and an outcome of interest  $Y_i$
- We established the switching equation  $Y_i = D_i Y_i^1 + (1-D_i) Y_i^0 \text{ mapping a potential treatment}$  assignment to a realized outcome
- We re-wrote the switching equation to  $Y_i=Y_i^0+(Y_i^1-Y_i^0)D_i$  in order to highlight the individual treatment effect term  $\delta_i=Y_i^1-Y_i^0$

## The treatment assignment mechanism

- We stressed a distinction between treatment assignment and the treatment assignment mechanism
- Why is this distinction so important?
- Because many activities we evaluate target specific subsamples of a broader population
  - The poor
  - The marginalized
  - The conflict-affected
- We can't just compare these participants to a randomly selected member of the population!

# Capturing the treatment assignment mechanism

- ullet We start with the individual treatment effect  $\delta_i = Y_i^{\, 1} Y_i^{\, 0}$
- We take the average of all individual treatment effects

$$E[\delta] = E[Y^1 - Y^0]$$

 We take the difference in averages, rather than the average of the differences

$$Eig[Y^1-Y^0ig]=Eig[Y^1ig]-Eig[Y^0ig]$$

#### **Averages of effects**

unit	y0	y1	y1 <b>-</b> y0
1	12	18	6
2	15	13	<del>-</del> 2
3	9	22	13
4	20	19	-1
Average	14	18	4

$$Eig[\deltaig] = Eig[Y^1 - Y^0ig] = Eig[6, -2, 13, -1ig] = 4$$
 $Eig[\deltaig] = Eig[Y^1ig] - Eig[Y^0ig] = 18 - 14 = 4$ 

#### Difference-in-means estimator

ullet Finally, we incorporate the treatment assignment indicator  $D_i$  and call it the difference-in-means estimator

$$egin{aligned} E\left[\delta
ight] &= E\left[Y^1 - Y^0
ight] \ E\left[Y^1 - Y^0
ight] &= E\left[Y^1
ight] - E\left[Y^0
ight] \ E\left[Y^1
ight] - E\left[Y^0
ight] &= E\left[Y^1|D = 1
ight] - E\left[Y^0|D = 0
ight] \end{aligned}$$

#### Real world data!

unit	у0	y1	y1 - y0
1	?	18	?
2	15	?	?
3	?	22	?
4	20	?	?
Average	17.5	20	2.5

$$egin{aligned} Eig[\deltaig] &= Eig[Y^1 - Y^0ig] \ Eig[Y^1 - Y^0ig] &= Eig[Y^1ig] - Eig[Y^0ig] \ Eig[Y^1ig] - Eig[Y^0ig] &= Eig[Y^1|D = 1ig] - Eig[Y^0|D = 0ig] \ &= 20 - 17.5 = 2.5 \end{aligned}$$

## Decomposing the difference in means

What do we know about the treatment assignment mechanism for these groups?

To find out, we decompose the difference-in-means estimator into the following:

$$egin{aligned} Eig[Y^1|D=1ig] - Eig[Y^0|D=0ig] \ &= Eig[Y^1ig] - ig[Y^0ig] \ &+ Eig[Y^0|D=1ig] - Eig[Y^0|D=0ig] \ &+ (1-\pi)(ATT-ATU) \end{aligned}$$

## Decomposing the difference-in-means

$$E[Y^1]-[Y^0]$$
 Average Treatment Effect (ATE)

$$Eig[Y^0|D=1ig]-Eig[Y^0|D=0ig]$$
 Selection bias

$$(1-\pi)(ATT-ATU)$$
 Heterogneous treatment effects

# Randomization solves the selection problem