Name:-Manali Pradeep Kuware

Roll No:-F009

SAP ID:-40772240008

Practical 1

1. First step is to install flawfinder from Github

```
C:\Windows\System32\cmd.e X + V
 Microsoft Windows [Version 10.0.22621.2283]
 (c) Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved.
 C:\Users\Admin\Desktop\Manali>pip install flawfinder
 WARNING: Ignoring invalid distribution ~ysql-connector-python (C:\Users\Admin\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python\11\Lib\site-packages)
 Collecting flawfinder
  Using cached flawfinder-2.0.19-py2.py3-none-any.whl.metadata (1.3 kB)
 Using cached flawfinder-2.0.19-py2.py3-none-any.whl (60 kB)
 WARNING: Ignoring invalid distribution ~ysql-connector-python (C:\Users\Admin\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python311\Lib\site-packages)
 Installing collected packages: flawfinder
 WARNING: Ignoring invalid distribution ~ysql-connector-python (C:\Users\Admin\AppData\Local\Programs\Python\Python\Ilb\site-packages)
 Successfully installed flawfinder-2.0.19
C:\Users\Admin\Desktop\Manali>cd test
C:\Users\Admin\Desktop\Manali\test>flawfinder test
Number of rules (primarily dangerous function names) in C/C++ ruleset: 222
*** No input files
C:\Users\Admin\Desktop\Manali\test>flawfinder test.c
Flawfinder version 2.0.19, (C) 2001-2019 David A. Wheeler.
Number of rules (primarily dangerous function names) in C/C++ ruleset: 222
Examining test.c
FINAL RESULTS:
test.c:32: [5] (buffer) gets:
Does not check for buffer overflows (CWE-120, CWE-20). Use fgets() instead. test.c:56: [5] (buffer) strncat:
Easily used incorrectly (e.g., incorrectly computing the correct maximum size to add) [MS-banned] (CWE-120). Consider strcat_s, strlcat, snprintf, or automatically resizing strings. Risk is high; the length parameter appears to be a constant, instead of computing the number of characters
   left.
   est.c:57: [5] (buffer) _tcsncat:
Easily used incorrectly (e.g., incorrectly computing the correct maximum size to add) [MS-banned] (CWE-120). Consider strcat_s, strlcat, or automatically resizing strings. Risk is high; the length parameter appears to be a constant, instead of computing the number of characters left.
test.c:57:
test.c:60: [5] (buffer) MultiByteToWideChar:
   Requires maximum length in CHARACTERS, not bytes (CWE-120). Risk is high,
   it appears that the size is given as bytes, but the function requires size
   as characters.
test.c:62: [5] (buffer) MultiByteToWideChar:
   Requires maximum length in CHARACTERS, not bytes (CWE-120). Risk is high, it appears that the size is given as bytes, but the function requires size
   as characters
test.c:73: [5] (misc) SetSecurityDescriptorDacl:
   Never create NULL ACLs; an attacker can set it to Everyone (Deny All
Access), which would even forbid administrator access (CWE-732).
test.c:73: [5] (misc) SetSecurityDescriptorDacl:
   Never create NULL ACLs; an attacker can set it to Everyone (Deny All
Access), which would even forbid administrator access (CWE-732). test.c:17: [4] (buffer) strcpy:
   Does not check for buffer overflows when copying to destination [MS-banned]
   (CWE-120). Consider using snprintf, strcpy_s, or strlcpy (warning: strncpy
   easily misused).
test.c:20: [4] (buffer) sprintf:
Does not check for buffer overflows (CWE-120). Use sprintf_s, snprintf, or
   vsnprintf.
test.c:21: [4] (buffer) sprintf:
Does not check for buffer overflows (CWE-120). Use sprintf_s, snprintf, or
   vsnprintf.
test.c:22: [4] (format) sprintf:
   Potential format string problem (CWE-134). Make format string constant.
test.c:23: [4] (format) printf:

If format strings can be influenced by an attacker, they can be exploited
   (CWE-134). Use a constant for the format specification.
```

```
test.c:25: [4] (buffer) scanf:
The scanf() family's %s operation, without a limit specification, permits buffer overflows (CWE-120, CWE-20). Specify a limit to %s, or use a different input function.
        Set.c:27: [4] (buffer) scanf:
The scanf() family's %s operation, without a limit specification, permits buffer overflows (CWE-120, CWE-20). Specify a limit to %s, or use a
 test.c:27:
different input function.

test.c:38: [4] (format) syslog:

If syslog's format strings can be influenced by an attacker, they can be exploited (CWE-134). Use a constant format string for syslog.

test.c:49: [4] (buffer) _mbscpy:

Does not check for buffer overflows when copying to destination [MS-banned]
          (CWE-120). Consider using a function version that stops copying at the end
          of the buffer.
st.c:52: [4] (buffer) lstrcat:
 test.c:52: [4] (buffer) lstrcat:
Does not check for buffer overflows when concatenating to destination
Does not check for buffer overflows when concatenating to destination [MS-banned] (CWE-120).

test.c:75: [3] (shell) CreateProcess:
This causes a new process to execute and is difficult to use safely (CWE-78). Specify the application path in the first argument, NOT as of the second, or embedded spaces could allow an attacker to force a different program to run.

test.c:75: [3] (shell) CreateProcess:
This causes a new process to execute and is difficult to use safely.
       est.c:75: [3] (shell) CreateProcess:
This causes a new process to execute and is difficult to use safely
(CWE-78). Specify the application path in the first argument, NOT as part
of the second, or embedded spaces could allow an attacker to force a
different program to run.
est.c:91: [3] (buffer) getopt_long:
Some older implementations do not protect against internal buffer overflows
(CWE-120, CWE-20). Check implementation on installation, or limit the size
of all string inputs
 test.c:91:
(CWE-120, CWE-20). Check implementation on installation, or limit the size of all string inputs.

test.c:16: [2] (buffer) strcpy:
Does not check for buffer overflows when copying to destination [MS-banned] (CWE-120). Consider using snprintf, strcpy_s, or strlcpy (warning: strncpy easily misused). Risk is low because the source is a constant string.

test.c:19: [2] (buffer) sprintf:
Does not check for buffer overflows (CWE-120). Use sprintf_s, snprintf, or vsnprintf. Risk is low because the source has a constant maximum length.

test.c:45: [2] (buffer) char:
Statically-sized arrays can be improperly restricted, leading to potential overflows or other issues (CWE-119!/CWE-120). Perform bounds checking, use functions that limit length, or ensure that the size is larger than the maximum possible length.
         maximum possible length.
        maximum possible length.

Statically-sized arrays can be improperly restricted, leading to potential overflows or other issues (CWE-119!/CWE-120). Perform bounds checking, use functions that limit length, or ensure that the size is larger than the maximum possible length.
 test.c:46
       maximum possible tengin.
est.c:50: [2] (buffer) memcpy:
Does not check for buffer overflows when copying to destination (CWE-120).
Make sure destination can always hold the source data.
est.c:51: [2] (buffer) CopyMemory:
Does not check for buffer overflows when copying to destination (CWE-120).
 test.c:50:
 test.c:51:
```

```
test.c:51: [2] (buffer) CopyMemory:

Does not check for buffer overflows when copying to destination (CWE-120).

Make sure destination can always hold the source data.
                [2] (misc) fopen:
  Check when opening files — can an attacker redirect it (via symlinks), force the opening of special file type (e.g., device files), move things around to create a race condition, control its ancestors, or change its
   contents? (CWE-362).
test.c:15:
                  [1] (buffer) strcpy:
  Does not check for buffer overflows when copying to destination [MS-banned] (CWE-120). Consider using snprintf, strcpy_s, or strlcpy (warning: strncpy easily misused). Risk is low because the source is a constant character.
test.c:18: [1] (buffer) sprintf:
   Does not check for buffer overflows (CWE-120). Use sprintf_s, snprintf, or
vsnprintf. Risk is low because the source is a constant character. test.c:26: [1] (buffer) scanf:
   It's unclear if the %s limit in the format string is small enough
   (CWE-120). Check that the limit is sufficiently small, or use a different
   input function.
test.c:53: [1] (buffer) strncpy:
Easily used incorrectly; doesn't always \θ-terminate or check for invalid
   pointers [MS-banned] (CWE-120).
test.c:54: [1] (buffer) _tcsncpy:
Easily used incorrectly; doesn't always \0-terminate or check for invalid
   pointers [MS-banned] (CWE-120).
test.c:55: [1] (buffer) strncat:
Easily used incorrectly (e.g., incorrectly computing the correct maximum size to add) [MS-banned] (CWE-120). Consider strcat_s, strlcat, snprintf,
   or automatically resizing strings.
test.c:58: [1] (buffer) strlen:
  Does not handle strings that are not \0-terminated; if given one it may perform an over-read (it could cause a crash if unprotected) (CWE-126).
test.c:64: [1] (buffer) MultiByteToWideChar:
   Requires maximum length in CHARACTERS, not bytes (CWE-120). Risk is very
low, the length appears to be in characters not bytes.
test.c:66: [1] (buffer) MultiByteToWideChar:
   Requires maximum length in CHARACTERS, not bytes (CWE-120). Risk is very
   low, the length appears to be in characters not bytes.
```

1.Static Hits

Code

```
/* Test flawfinder. This program won't compile or run; that's not necessary
 for this to be a useful test. */
#include <stdio.h>
#define hello(x) goodbye(x)
#define WOKKA "stuff"
main() {
printf("hello\n");
/* This is a strcpy test. */
int demo(char *a, char *b) {
strcpy(a, "\n"); // Did this work?
strcpy(a, gettext("Hello there")); // Did this work?
strcpy(b, a);
sprintf(s, "\n");
sprintf(s, "hello");
sprintf(s, "hello %s", bug);
sprintf(s, gettext("hello %s"), bug);
sprintf(s, unknown, bug);
printf(bf, x);
scanf("%d", &x);
scanf("%s", s);
scanf("%10s", s);
scanf("%s", s);
gets(f); // Flawfinder: ignore
printf("\\");
/* Flawfinder: ignore */
gets(f);
gets(f);
/* These are okay, but flawfinder version < 0.20 incorrectly used
  the first parameter as the parameter for the format string */
syslog(LOG_ERR,"cannot open config file (%s): %s",filename,strerror(errno))
syslog(LOG CRIT,"malloc() failed");
/* But this one SHOULD trigger a warning. */
syslog(LOG_ERR, attacker_string);
}
demo2() {
 char d[20];
 char s[20];
 int n;
 _mbscpy(d,s); /* like strcpy, this doesn't check for buffer overflow */
 memcpy(d,s);
 CopyMemory(d,s);
```

```
Istrcat(d,s);
 strncpy(d,s);
 _tcsncpy(d,s);
 strncat(d,s,10);
 strncat(d,s,sizeof(d)); /* Misuse - this should be flagged as riskier. */
 _tcsncat(d,s,sizeof(d)); /* Misuse - flag as riskier */
 n = strlen(d);
 /* This is wrong, and should be flagged as risky: */
 MultiByteToWideChar(CP_ACP,0,szName,-1,wszUserName,sizeof(wszUserName));
 /* This is also wrong, and should be flagged as risky: */
 MultiByteToWideChar(CP_ACP,0,szName,-1,wszUserName,sizeof wszUserName);
 /* This is much better: */
 MultiByteToWideChar(CP_ACP,0,szName,-
1,wszUserName,sizeof(wszUserName)/sizeof(wszUserName[0]));
 /* This is much better: */
 MultiByteToWideChar(CP ACP,0,szName,-1,wszUserName,sizeof wszUserName
/sizeof(wszUserName[0]));
 /* This is an example of bad code - the third paramer is NULL, so it creates
  a NULL ACL. Note that Flawfinder can't detect when a
  SECURITY_DESCRIPTOR structure is manually created with a NULL value
  as the ACL; doing so would require a tool that handles C/C++
  and knows about types more that flawfinder currently does.
  Anyway, this needs to be detected: */
 SetSecurityDescriptorDacl(&sd,TRUE,NULL,FALSE);
 /* This one is a bad idea - first param shouldn't be NULL */
 CreateProcess(NULL, "C:\\Program Files\\GoodGuy\\GoodGuy.exe -x", "");
 /* Test interaction of quote characters */
 printf("%c\n", 'x');
 printf("%c\n", '"');
 printf("%c\n", '\"');
 printf("%c\n", '\");
 printf("%c\n", '\177');
 printf("%c\n", '\xfe');
 printf("%c\n", '\xd');
 printf("%c\n", '\n');
 printf("%c\n", '\\');
 printf("%c\n", "'");
int getopt_example(int argc,char *argv[]) {
  while ((optc = getopt_long (argc, argv, "a",longopts, NULL )) != EOF) {
}
int testfile() {
 FILE *f;
f = fopen("/etc/passwd", "r");
 fclose(f);
}
```

```
/* Regression test: handle \\\n after end of string */
#define assert(x) {\
if (!(x)) {\
fprintf(stderr,"Assertion failed.\n"\
"File: %s\nLine: %d\n"\
"Assertion: %s\n\n"\
,__FILE__,_LINE__,#x);\
exit(1);\
};\
}
int accesstest() {
 int access = 0; /* Not a function call. Should be caught by the
                 false positive test, and NOT labelled as a problem. */
}
ANALYSIS SUMMARY:
Hits = 36
Hits = 36

Lines analyzed = 116 in approximately 0.02 seconds (6347 lines/second)

Physical Source Lines of Code (SLOC) = 80

Hits@level = [0] 16 [1] 9 [2] 7 [3] 3 [4] 10 [5] 7

Hits@level+ = [0+] 52 [1+] 36 [2+] 27 [3+] 20 [4+] 17 [5+] 7

Hits/KSLOC@level+ = [0+] 650 [1+] 450 [2+] 337.5 [3+] 250 [4+] 212.5 [5+] 87.5

Suppressed hits = 2 (use --neverignore to show them)
Minimum risk level = 1
Not every hit is necessarily a security vulnerability.
You can inhibit a report by adding a comment in this form:
// flawfinder: ignore
Make *sure* it's a false positive!
You can use the option --neverignore to show these.
There may be other security vulnerabilities; review your code!
See 'Secure Programming HOWTO'
(https://dwheeler.com/secure-programs) for more information.
C:\Users\Admin\Desktop\Manali\test>
2.Array Error
std::string MyClass::randomGenerator(odb::nullable<int> maxLength) {
   struct timeval tmnow;
   struct tm *tm;
   char buf[100];
   gettimeofday(&tmnow, NULL);
```

tm = localtime(&tmnow.tv_sec);

strftime(buf, 100, "%m%d%H%M%S", tm);

strlcat(buf, micro.c_str(), sizeof(buf));

string micro = std::to_string(((int)tmnow.tv_usec / 10000));

```
std::stringstream stream;
   stream << std::hex << stoll(buf);
   std::string result(stream.str());
   Utilities::find and replace(result, "0", "h");
   Utilities::find_and_replace(result, "1", "k");
   std::transform(result.begin(), result.end(),result.begin(), ::toupper);
   if (maxLength) {
      return result.substr(result.size() - maxLength.get(), result.size() - 1);
   } else {
      return result;
   }
 C:\Users\Admin\Desktop\Manali\test>flawfinder test1
 Flawfinder version 2.0.19, (C) 2001-2019 David A. Wheeler.
Number of rules (primarily dangerous function names) in C/C++ ruleset: 222
 Examining test1\test1.c
 FINAL RESULTS:
 test1\test1.c:7: [2] (buffer) char:
   Statically-sized arrays can be improperly restricted, leading to potential overflows or other issues (CWE-119!/CWE-120). Perform bounds checking, use
    functions that limit length, or ensure that the size is larger than the
    maximum possible length.
 ANALYSIS SUMMARY:
 Lines analyzed = 40 in approximately 0.01 seconds (7514 lines/second)
Physical Source Lines of Code (SLOC) = 21
Hits@level = [0] 0 [1] 0 [2] 1 [3] 0 [4] 0 [5] 0
Hits@level+ = [0+] 1 [1+] 1 [2+] 1 [3+] 0 [4+] 0 [5+] 0
Hits/KSLOC@level+ = [0+] 47.619 [1+] 47.619 [2+] 47.619 [3+] 0 [4+]
                                                                                        0 [4+]
                                                                                                     0 [5+]
 Minimum risk level = 1
 Not every hit is necessarily a security vulnerability.
You can inhibit a report by adding a comment in this form:
 // flawfinder: ignore
Make *sure* it's a false positive!
 You can use the option --neverignore to show these.
 There may be other security vulnerabilities; review your code! See 'Secure Programming HOWTO' (https://dwheeler.com/secure-programs) for more information.
 C:\Users\Admin\Desktop\Manali\test>
3.String Error
Code
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void risky_function(const char *input) {
   char buffer[10];
```

```
int num;
  // Buffer overflow vulnerability
  strcpy(buffer, input); // Dangerous if input is larger than buffer
  // Format string vulnerability
  printf(input); // Dangerous if input contains format specifiers
  // Buffer overflow vulnerability
  num = atoi(input); // Unsafe conversion, no validation
  printf("Number: %d\n", num); // No direct issue here but related to the previous line
}
int main() {
  char user_input[50];
  printf("Enter a string: ");
  fgets(user_input, sizeof(user_input), stdin);
  // Remove trailing newline character from fgets
  user_input[strcspn(user_input, "\n")] = '\0';
  risky_function(user_input);
  return 0;
ANALYSIS SUMMARY:
No hits found.
Lines analyzed = 0 in approximately 0.00 seconds (0 lines/second)
Physical Source Lines of Code (SLOC) = 0
Hits@level = [0] 0 [1] 0 [2] 0 [3] 0 [4] 0 [5] 0
Hits@level+ = [0+] 0 [1+] 0 [2+] 0 [3+] 0 [4+] 0 [5+]
Minimum risk level = 1
There may be other security vulnerabilities; review your code!
See 'Secure Programming HOWTO'
(https://dwheeler.com/secure-programs) for more information.
C:\Users\Admin\Desktop\Manali\test>flawfinder test2
Flawfinder version 2.0.19, (C) 2001-2019 David A. Wheeler.
Number of rules (primarily dangerous function names) in C/C++ ruleset: 222
```