CMPT 412 Slides for Mean Shift

Edited down from longer Powerpoint by Yaron Ukrainitz & Bernard Sarel that I retrieved from the Internet

Mean Shift

Theory and Applications

Yaron Ukrainitz & Bernard Sarel

Brian’s Note: You have to run this Powerpoint file in “Slide Show” mode. If you don’t then the slide transitions don’t work and lots of things get covered up.





The full original set of slides is available from
http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~vision/courses/2004_2/files/mean_shift/mean_shift.ppt

Agenda

- **Mean Shift Theory**
  - What is Mean Shift ?
  - Density Estimation Methods
  - Deriving the Mean Shift
  - Mean shift properties

- **Applications**
  - Clustering
  - Discontinuity Preserving Smoothing
  - Object Contour Detection
  - Segmentation
  - Object Tracking

Mean Shift Theory

Intuitive Description



Objective : Find the densest region

Distribution of identical billiard balls

Intuitive Description



<u>Objective </u>: Find the densest region

Distribution of identical billiard balls

Intuitive Description



Objective : **Find the densest region**

Distribution of identical billiard balls

Intuitive Description



Region of interest

Center of mass

Mean Shift vector

Objective : Find the densest region

Distribution of identical billiard balls

Intuitive Description



Objective **: Find the densest region**

Distribution of identical billiard balls

Intuitive Description



Objective : Find the densest region

Distribution of identical billiard balls

Intuitive Description



Objective : **Find the densest region**

Distribution of identical billiard balls

What is Mean Shift ?

A tool for:
Finding modes in a set of data samples, manifesting an underlying probability density function (PDF) in R^N

PDF in feature space

- **Color space**
- **Scale space**
- **Actually any feature space you can conceive**
- **…**



Data



DF Representation

Non-parametric
Density **GRADIENT** Estimation
(Mean Shift)



PDF Analysis

Non-Parametric Density Estimation

Assumption : The data points are sampled from an underlying PDF



Data point density implies PDF value !

Assumed Underlying PDF

Real Data Samples

Non-Parametric Density Estimation





Assumed Underlying PDF

Real Data Samples

Non-Parametric Density Estimation



Assumed Underlying PDF



Real Data Samples

Computing The Mean Shift

$$\nabla P(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{c}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla k_i = \frac{c}{n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i\right]\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i g_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i} - \mathbf{x}\right]$$

Yet another Kernel density estimation !



Simple Mean Shift procedure:

- Compute mean shift vector

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i g\left(\frac{\left\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i\right\|^2}{h}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\frac{\left\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i\right\|^2}{h}\right)} - \mathbf{x}\right]$$

•Translate the Kernel window by **m(x)**

$$\mathrm{g}(\mathbf{x}) = -k'(\mathbf{x})$$

Mean Shift Mode Detection



What happens if we reach a saddle point **?**

Perturb the mode position and check if we return back

Updated Mean Shift Procedure:

- Find all modes using the Simple Mean Shift Procedure
- Prune modes by perturbing them (find saddle points and plateaus)
- Prune nearby – take highest mode in the window

Mean Shift Properties



- Automatic convergence speed – the mean shift vector size depends on the gradient itself.
- Near maxima, the steps are small and refined

Adaptive Gradient Ascent

- Convergence is guaranteed for infinitesimal steps only ➔ infinitely convergent, (therefore set a lower bound)
- For Uniform Kernel (), convergence is achieved in a finite number of steps
- Normal Kernel () exhibits a smooth trajectory, but is slower than Uniform Kernel ().

Real Modality Analysis



Tessellate the space
with windows

Run the procedure in parallel

Real Modality Analysis



The blue data points were traversed by the windows towards the mode

Real Modality Analysis

An example



Window tracks signify the steepest ascent directions

Mean Shift Strengths & Weaknesses



Strengths :

- Application independent tool
- Suitable for real data analysis
- Does not assume any prior shape (e.g. elliptical) on data clusters
- Can handle arbitrary feature spaces
- Only ONE parameter to choose
- *h* (window size) has a physical meaning, unlike K-Means

Weaknesses :

- The window size (bandwidth selection) is not trivial
- Inappropriate window size can cause modes to be merged, or generate additional "shallow" modes ➔ Use adaptive window size

Mean Shift Applications

Clustering

Cluster : All data points in the ***attraction basin*** of a mode

Attraction basin : the region for which all trajectories lead to the same mode



Mean Shift : A robust Approach Toward Feature Space Analysis, by Comaniciu, Meer

Clustering

Synthetic Examples



Simple Modal Structures



Complex Modal Structures

Clustering

Real Example

Feature space:
L*u*v representation



(a)

Initial window
centers



(b)



Modes found (c)



Modes after (d)
pruning



Final clusters (e)

Clustering

Real Example





L*u*v space representation

Clustering

Real Example

2D (L*u) space representation

Final clusters



(a)

(b)

(c)

Not all trajectories in the attraction basin reach the same mode

Non-Rigid Object Tracking



… → …

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

General Framework: Target Representation



Choose a reference model in the current frame

Choose a feature space

Represent the model in the chosen feature space

… Current frame …

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

General Framework: Target Localization



Start from the position of the model in the current frame

Search in the model's neighborhood in next frame

Find best candidate by maximizing a similarity func.

Repeat the same process in the next pair of frames

Model

Candidate

… Current frame → …

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Target Representation

Choose a reference target model

Choose a feature space

Represent the model by its PDF in the feature space



Quantized Color Space



Kernel Based Object Tracking, by Comaniniu, Ramesh, Meer

Use Swain & Ballard’s histogram backprojection

- Provides the PDF
- Do backprojection in the neighbourhood of the object location in previous frame
- Use mean shift to find where the mode (peak) is in the current frame

Brian’s CMPT 412 slide

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Maximizing the Similarity Function

Important Assumption:



The target representation provides sufficient discrimination

One mode in the searched neighborhood



End of CMPT 412 Notes

- Other details of improved tracking are contained in following slides.

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

PDF Representation

Target Model
(centered at 0)





Target Candidate
(centered at y)



$$\vec{q} = \{q_u\}_{u=1..m} \qquad \sum_{u=1}^{m} q_u = 1$$

$$\vec{p}(y) = \{p_u(y)\}_{u=1..m} \qquad \sum_{u=1}^{m} p_u = 1$$

Similarity Function:

$$f(y) = f\left[\vec{q}, \vec{p}(y)\right]$$

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Finding the PDF of the target model

$\{x_i\}_{i=1..n}$ Target pixel locations





$k(x)$ A differentiable, isotropic, convex, monotonically decreasing kernel

- Peripheral pixels are affected by occlusion and background interference

$b(x)$ The color bin index (1..*m*) of pixel *x*

Probability of feature u in model

$$q_u = C \sum_{b(x_i)=u} \underbrace{k\left(\left\|x_i\right\|^2\right)}$$

Normalization factor

Pixel weight



Probability of feature u in candidate

$$p_u(y) = C_h \sum_{b(x_i)=u} \underbrace{k\left(\left\|\frac{y-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}$$

Normalization factor

Pixel weight



Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Similarity Function

Target model: $\vec{q} = \left(q_1, \ldots, q_m \right)$

Target candidate: $\vec{p}\left(y\right) = \left(p_1\left(y\right), \ldots, p_m\left(y\right) \right)$

Similarity function: $f\left(y\right) = f\left[\vec{p}\left(y\right), \vec{q}\right] = ?$

The Bhattacharyya Coefficient

$$\vec{q}\,' = \left(\sqrt{q_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{q_m} \right)$$

$$\vec{p}\,'\left(y\right) = \left(\sqrt{p_1\left(y\right)}, \ldots, \sqrt{p_m\left(y\right)} \right)$$



$$f\left(y\right) = \cos\theta_y = \frac{p'\left(y\right)^T q'}{\left\| p'\left(y\right) \right\| \cdot \left\| q' \right\|} = \sum_{u=1}^{m} \sqrt{p_u\left(y\right) q_u}$$

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Target Localization Algorithm

Start from the position of the model in the current frame

→

Search in the model's neighborhood in next frame

→

Find best candidate by maximizing a similarity func.



$\vec{q}$ $\vec{p}(y)$



$$f\left[\vec{p}(y),\vec{q}\right]$$

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Approximating the Similarity Function

$$f(y) = \sum_{u=1}^{m} \sqrt{p_u(y)\, q_u}$$

Model location: y_0

Candidate location: y

Linear approx. (around y_0)

$$f(y) \approx \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{u=1}^{m} \sqrt{p_u(y_0)\, q_u}}_{} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{u=1}^{m} \boxed{p_u(y)} \boxed{\sqrt{\frac{q_u}{p_u(y_0)}}}}_{}$$

Independent of y

$$p_u(y) = C_h \sum_{b(x_i)=u} \boxed{k\left(\left\|\frac{y-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{C_h}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boxed{w_i}\, \boxed{k\left(\left\|\frac{y-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}}$$

Density estimate!

(as a function of y)

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Maximizing the Similarity Function

The mode of $\frac{C_h}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i k\left(\left\|\frac{y-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)$ = sought maximum

Important Assumption:



The target representation provides sufficient discrimination

One mode in the searched neighborhood



Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Applying Mean-Shift

The mode of $\frac{C_h}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i k\left(\left\|\frac{y-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)$ = sought maximum

Original Mean-Shift:

Find mode of $c\sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(\left\|\frac{y-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)$ using

$$y_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i g\left(\left\|\frac{y_0-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\left\|\frac{y_0-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}$$

Extended Mean-Shift:

Find mode of $c\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boxed{w_i} k\left(\left\|\frac{y-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)$ using

$$y_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \boxed{w_i} g\left(\left\|\frac{y_0-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boxed{w_i} g\left(\left\|\frac{y_0-x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}$$

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

About Kernels and Profiles

A special class of radially symmetric kernels:

$$K(x) = ck\left(\left\|x\right\|^2\right)$$

The profile of kernel *K*

$$k'(x) = -g(x)$$

Extended Mean-Shift:

Find mode of $c\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i k\left(\left\|\frac{y - x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)$ using $y_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i w_i g\left(\left\|\frac{y_0 - x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i g\left(\left\|\frac{y_0 - x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}$

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Choosing the Kernel

A special class of radially symmetric kernels:

$$K(x) = ck\left(\|x\|^2\right)$$

Epanechnikov kernel:



→

Uniform kernel:



$$k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x & \text{if } \|\mathrm{x}\| \le 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$g(x) = -k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \|\mathrm{x}\| \le 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$y_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i w_i g\left(\left\|\frac{y_0 - x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i g\left(\left\|\frac{y_0 - x_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)} \longrightarrow y_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i w_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i}$$

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Adaptive Scale

Problem:


The scale of the target changes in time

The scale (*h*) of the kernel must be adapted



Solution:


Run localization 3 times with different *h*

Choose *h* that achieves maximum similarity



Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Results



Feature space: 16×16×16 quantized RGB

Target: manually selected on 1st frame

Average mean-shift iterations: 4

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Results



Partial occlusion



Distraction



Motion blur



Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Results



Mean-Shift Object Tracking

Results



Feature space: 128×128 quantized RG

Mean-Shift Object Tracking

The Scale Selection Problem

Kernel too big



Kernel too small





Poor localization

h mustn't get too big or too small!

Problem:



In uniformly colored regions, similarity is invariant to *h*

Smaller *h* may achieve better similarity

Nothing keeps *h* from shrinking too small!

Tracking Through Scale Space

Motivation





Spatial localization for several scales

Simultaneous localization in space and scale

Previous method

This method

Mean-shift Blob Tracking through Scale Space, by R. Collins

Lindeberg’s Theory

Selecting the best scale for describing image features



Scale-space representation

Differential operator applied

50 strongest responses

Lindeberg’s Theory

The Laplacian operator for selecting blob-like features



2D LOG filter with scale σ

$$LOG(x;\sigma)=\frac{2\sigma^2-\|x\|^2}{2\pi\sigma^6}e^{\frac{-\|x\|^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

3D scale-space representation

$$\forall x\in f,\ \forall\sigma_{1..k}:$$
$$L(x,\sigma)=LOG(x;\sigma)*f(x)$$

Scale-space representation

Laplacian of Gaussian (LOG)

Best features are at (*x*,σ) that maximize *L*

Lindeberg’s Theory

Multi-Scale Feature Selection Process

Original Image

$f\left(x\right)$

Convolve

3D scale-space function



$$L\left(x,\sigma\right) = LOG\left(x;\sigma\right) * f\left(x\right)$$

Maximize

250 strongest responses
(Large circle = large scale)



Tracking Through Scale Space

Approximating LOG using DOG

$$LOG(x;\sigma) \approx DOG(x;\sigma) = G(x;\sigma) - G(x;1.6\sigma)$$

2D LOG filter with scale σ

2D DOG filter with scale σ

2D Gaussian with μ=0 and scale σ

2D Gaussian with μ=0 and scale 1.6σ

Why DOG?

- Gaussian pyramids are created faster
- Gaussian can be used as a mean-shift kernel

DOG filters at multiple scales

3D spatial kernel

$$K(x,\sigma) =$$



→

Scale-space filter bank

Tracking Through Scale Space

Using Lindeberg's Theory

Weight image

$*$



3D spatial kernel (DOG)

$*$



1D scale kernel (Epanechnikov)

$= E(x,\sigma)$

3D scale-space representation

Centered at current location and scale

Modes are blobs in the scale-space neighborhood

Need a mean-shift procedure that finds local modes in *E*(*x*,σ)

Recall:

Model: $\vec{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_m)$ at y_0

Candidate: $\vec{p}(y) = (p_1(y), \ldots, p_m(y))$

Color bin: $b(x)$

Pixel weight: $w(x) = \sqrt{\dfrac{q_{b(x)}}{p_{b(x)}(y_0)}}$

The likelihood that each candidate pixel belongs to the target

Tracking Through Scale Space

Example



Image of 3 blobs

A slice through the 3D scale-space representation

Tracking Through Scale Space

Applying Mean-Shift

Use interleaved spatial/scale mean-shift

Spatial stage:

Fix σ and look for the best *x*

Scale stage:

Fix x and look for the best σ

Iterate stages until convergence of *x* and σ



Tracking Through Scale Space

Results

Fixed-scale



± 10% scale adaptation



Tracking through scale space



Thank You