

3rd NF Third Normal Form

→ table or relation must be in Second Normal form

and

→ there should be no transitive dependency in table.

| <u>Rollno</u> | State | City |
|---------------|---------|--------|
| 1 | Punjab | Mohali |
| 2 | Haryana | Ambala |
| 3 | Punjab | Mohali |
| 4 | Haryana | Ambala |
| 5 | Bihar | Patna |

3rd NF Third Normal Form

→ table or relation must be in Second Normal form

and

→ there should be no transitive de. in table.

CK = { Rollno }
 FD: $\text{Rollno} \rightarrow \text{State}$
 $\text{State} \rightarrow \text{City}$
 PA = { Rollno }
 NPA = { State, City }

Rollno \rightarrow State and State \rightarrow City

| Rollno | State | City |
|--------|---------|--------|
| 1 | Punjab | Mohali |
| 2 | Haryana | Ambala |
| 3 | Punjab | Mohali |
| 4 | Haryana | Ambala |
| 5 | Bihar | Patna |

For each FD
 = L.H.S must be a CK or SK OR R.H.S is a Prime Attribute

R(ABCD)

FD: $AB \rightarrow CD$, $D \rightarrow A$
 For T

CK: $AB^+ = ABCD$

CK: { AB } CK: { AB, DB }
 PA: { A, B, D }
 NPA: { C }

FD: $AB \rightarrow C$, $C \rightarrow D$

CK: AB

PA: A, B

NPA: C, D

table or
Second

L.H.S of all FD
is CK or SK OR

R.H.S is Prime Attribute

CK = { Rollno }
 $\text{Rollno} \rightarrow \text{State}$
 $\text{State} \rightarrow \text{City}$

| | Rollno | State | City |
|---|---------|--------|------|
| 1 | Punjab | Mohali | |
| 2 | Haryana | Ambala | |
| 3 | Punjab | Mohali | |
| 4 | Haryana | Ambala | |
| 5 | Bihar | Patna | |

State and State

R(ABCD)

FD: $AB \rightarrow CD$, $D \rightarrow A$
 For T

CK: $AB^+ = ABCD$

CK: { AB }
 $DB^+ = DBAC$

CK: { AB, DB }

PA: { A, B }

NPA: { C }

FD: $AB \rightarrow C$, $C \rightarrow D$

CK: AB

PA: A, B

NPA: C, D

$AB^+ = ABCD$