## **ESO207**

Data Structure and Algorithms Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Group Number: Runtime Terror Tejesh Vaish (190908), Shorya Kumar (190818), Mandar Bapat (190475)

# Assignment

4

Date of Submission: 17th November, 2020

## 1 Question 1

#### 1.1 Part C

#### 1.1.1 Code for Top Down approach

```
2 For solving the problem corresponding to a list of words we will break it
    into first row and a identical smaller sub problem , for instance if we
     have to solve for a list s[i,n], then once we fix the first row s[i,j]
    ] , then we are left with a identical smaller problem corresponding to
    s[j+1,n] , extrapolating this nature of the problem we just have to
    take the minimum of ( no of characters including spaces in the row
    corresponding to partition [i,j] + solution for s[j+1,n] ) from all
    valid partitions of s[i,j] , a partition is valid if total character
    count of s[i,j] is less than or equal to M . We use memorization to
    store values of answer for s[i,n] in mi[i] and the end index j for the
    optimal partition in en[i].
5 11 mi[100004]; //this is used to store the answer for the sub problem [i...
    n] (i.e minimum value of sum of the cubes ..)
6 ll en[100004]: // this is used to store the end index for the row
    beginning at index i for the optimal case
7 ll p[100004]; // this is the prefix sum of lengths of the words such
    that p[i] stores the sum of lengths of first i
    words , it is used so the sum of length of words can be quickly
    calculated
```

```
// max number of characters that can come in one row
10 11 m;
12 11 ans(int i,int n){ // this returns the answer for the sub problem s[i
              ...nl
               if(i>n){
                                                                     // if starting index is greater than n then no row
                is formed and this returns 0
                          return 0;
               }
                                                        // if mi[i] is not equal to -1 , which means
               if (mi[i]!=-1){
              answer to the sub problem is already determined
                         return mi[i];
               }
18
               if(n-i+p[n]-p[i-1] \le m){//if (n-i) number of spaces + (p[n]-p[i-1])}
             the sum length of words from i to n is less than
                                         //equal to M , hence only one row is needed and again the
             answer to the sub problem turns
                                                                                                                                                                                          //out
             to be zero
                          en[i]=n;
                                                                                          // end index for all such i is n
                          mi[i]=0;
                                                                                          // answer is zero
                         return 0;
               }
24
                // if none of the above condition holds then at least two rows will be
                formed and we need the optimised partition of the
                                                                                                                                                                       problem s[i,n]
               into s[i,j] and s[j+1,n] such that (ans(j+1,n)+pow(m-(j-i+p[j]-p[i
              -1]),3) ) is minimum among all j from i to n , so we
             recursively check for all the valid partitions j such that total length
                of characters
                                                                                                      corresponding to the row starting at i
                and end at j should not be more than m.
26
               11 t = _LONG_LONG_MAX_; // temporary variable to find the minimum
                // now checking for all valid partitions [i,j] that we can make
              starting at i
30
               for (int j = i; (j <= n && (j-i+p[j]-p[i-1] <= m)); j++) \{// \text{till } j <= n \text{ and } j <= n
                (j-i+p[j]-p[i-1] <= m)(length constraint)
                           ll te = ans(j+1,n) + pow(m-(j-i+p[j]-p[i-1]),3); // computing
              the answer corresponding to partition [i,j]
```

```
if(t > te ){
                                                                 // if te if
     less than t
                                                         // endpoint for
              en[i]= j;
     segment starting at i is revised to j
              mi[i]=te:
                                                         // and the ans for s
36
     [i,n] is revised to te
                                                          // t is revised to
              t=te;
     te
          }
      }
      // at the end of the loop mi[i] contains minimum possible value for
     the sub problem [i,n]
      return mi[i]; // returning the ans
46
```

#### 1.1.2 Time Complexity for Top Down approach

We are interested in finding worst-case time complexity of the ans() function.

Note that we are making 2 kinds of recursive calls in the function:

- (a.) The calls which are returned at line numbers 13,16 and 19 in the above code which take O(1) time.
- (b.) Those in which for loop is executed

Note that call for each subp roblem falls in 2nd kind at most once, as once its computed its stored in mi(dp) array and returned from line 7 if called again. Hence the internal for loop is called at most n times as there are total n sub problems.

Now analysing the inner for loop , we pay attention to the break condition of the loop , (i.e (j<=n && (j-i+p[j]-p[i-1] <= m)) in line 22 . As we can see j starts from i and j<=n hence at most n iterations are

executed as j increases after each iteration of the loop. , whereas it is obvious from second term of the condition that  $(j-i) \le m$  as p[j]-p[i-1] is non negative, again as j increases by one after each iteration and it starts from i and is less than equal to m hence the loop runs for atmost m times ( once we find a word that makes the chracter sum of the row more than m , then there is no reason to place further words on that line and no need to further increase j ) . From the above arguments its clear that for loop iterates for atmost min(n,m) times. And in each iteration we make one call in line 23 . Hence at most min(n,m) calls are made from the for loop. As for loop is reached in at most n calls of the function and each

As for loop is reached in at most n calls of the function and each for loop makes at most min(n,m) calls , note that these min(n,m) calls are of first kind as for all the calls of second kind are already taken care of when we considered total n calls of 2nd kind. hence time complexity is of the order of n\*(c\*min(n,m))

```
Hence the total time complexity is O(n*min(n,m)). which for n>m reduces to O(n*m)
```

In the view of the problem where m is limited to 80 and n can range to as large as 10 raise to 5, for such cases m can be treated as a bounded constant and we can say for very large inputs this algorithm reduces to O(80\*n) which is O(n).

#### 1.1.3 Code for Bottom Up approach

```
p[0]=0;
                                 // initializing p[0]=0;
                                 // initializing the prefix array in which p
     for (int i=1; i <= n; i++) {</pre>
     [i] contains the sum of lengths of
          p[i] = p[i-1]+l[i];
                                 // first i words , it will be used in
     quickly calculating no of characters in a row
14
     for (int i=1; i <= n; i++) {</pre>
                                // initializing mi and en to -1, -1
     signifies that the sub problem has not been called yet
         en[i]=-1;
         mi[i] = -1;
     }
      /*
     next it the code for filling the array mi[] and en[] in bottom up
     style , starting from i=n
     */
     for(int i=n;i>=1;i--){
                                          // i from n to 1
          if(n-i+p[n]-p[i-1] \le m)
                                         // till the sum of all characters
24
     in the row is less than or equal to m as the
              en[i]=n;
                                          // last row is not counted ending
     index is n and the mi[i] is zero.
              mi[i]=0;
26
         }
          else{
                                        // else we take the minimum of mi[j
28
     +1] + pow(m-(j-i+p[j]-p[i-1]),3); for all j from i
                     to n where j denotes the ending index if row starting
     from i
              11 t = _LONG_LONG_MAX_;
30
              for(int j = i ; (j <= n && (j-i+p[j]-p[i-1] <= m)) ; j++) \{//
     checking for all valid first rows [i,j]
              ll te = mi[j+1]+ pow(m-(j-i+p[j]-p[i-1]),3);
                  if(t > te){(t > te)}
     optimal tables with new values
                      en[i] = j; // ending index with j
                      mi[i]=te; // the minimum sum of cubes with te
35
                              // t stores the minimum till now
36
                   }
39
              }
```

```
// at the end mi[i] contains the minimum possible answer for the sub problem s[i,n]

}

43 }

44 }

45 }
```

#### 1.1.4 Time Complexity for Bottom Up approach

We are interested in finding worst-case time complexity of the algorithm. This basically requires us to analyze the time complexity of nested for loops that are used to fill our mi and en (dp arrays) in lines 24-44.

The outer for loop runs n times. The inner for loop breaks at 2 conditions similar to the for loop in the recursive ans() function of the Top Down approach. Hence the inner loop runs for min(n,m) times as before. And time taken in every iteration of inner loop is constant hence T.C of the loop is of order of c\*min(n,m) and since such for loops are executed at most n times hence T.C is of the order of n\*c\*min(n,m).

Hence the total time complexity is O(n.min(n,m)).

Again if n is very large compared to m , the algorithm essentially becomes linear in n .

#### 1.1.5 Difference for Large Inputs

The time taken by bottom up approach will be **less** than the top down approach, as is evident by the algorithm that top down approach uses **recursion** where as bottom up approach uses **iterative method** which is faster than recursion, so this will cause the top down version to take more time in case LARGE inputs.

In practical scenario , when we run the program over the input word array of length 10<sup>5</sup>, the bottom up approach took on average **16926.7** micro seconds(on 10000 samples) , where as top down approach take **17548.1** micro seconds( on 10000 samples), which is slower by 1 millisecond , the difference in time taken will further increase as it will take even longer time to run larger input on the algorithm , hence in this case , bottom up approach takes tad less time than top down approach!

### References

lecture notes