## Concentration Inequalities

- -9x there a way to measure how close the expectation E(X) is to the actual values of X?
- We'll prove a series of increasingly stronger interpretation of expectation:
- Markov inequality. Jhm (Markov 1890s): For a positive gandom var. X & a > 0, P(X Za) & E[X]/a (it is of interest if a > E[X])

Pf: · Idea: Larger the value is, smaller the prob.  $E(x) = \sum P(x=x) \cdot x = \sum P(x=x) \cdot x + \sum \cdots$  $\frac{7}{7}$  0 +  $\frac{2}{7}$  P(x=x). a = P(x,7a). a=> P(x >,a) \( \mathbb{E}(x)/a\). Variance. Let's now make "discrepancy" X-E[X]

estimate more formal.

DE[X-E[X]] = E[X] - E[E[X]] = 0.

we b-ve discrepancies cancel out!

- Let's suppress the sign to avoid cancellation. Defn: Variance of X is  $var(X) := E[(X-E(X)^2]]$ . Standard-deviation of X is  $\sigma(X) := \sqrt{var(X)}$ .

 $D Var(X) = E[X^2 - 2 \cdot E[X] \cdot X + E[X^2] = E[X^2] - E[X].$   $D Var(X) = a^2 \cdot var(X).$ 

Standard. deviation of Bernoulli (with PCH)=: b).

D Var(X) = E(X) - E(X) = b-b.

D  $\sigma(x) = \sqrt{p(1-p)} \leq 1/2$ .

D Unhinsed coin (p=1/2):  $\sigma(x) = E[x] = E[x^2] = \frac{1}{2}$ .

=> Untrased coin maximizes the deviation! - But, what about |X-E[x] = ? Chebyshev inequality. Thm (Chebyshev 1867): For a random variable X & a70,  $P(|X-E[XX]| > a) \leq Var(X)/a^2 \leq (\sigma(X)/a)^2.$ makes sense if a > o(x) Pf: · Idea: Use Markov's on  $(X-E[X])^2$ . ·LHS =  $P((X-E[X])^2 \ni a^2) \le E[(X-E[X])^2] = Var(X) = (\sigma(X))^2$ . =>  $\sigma(x)$  tightly controls deviation from the mean!  $DP(X > ECX) + 2.\sigma$  OR  $X \le E(x) - 2.\sigma$ )  $\le 4/4$ .

Weak Linearity of Variance Lemma: Let 9 Xi [iECn] le 2-wise (pairwise) independent random variables. Then,  $Var(\Sigma_i) = \Sigma var(X_i)$ Pf:  $Var(\Sigma_i) = E[(\Sigma_{X_i})^2] - (E[\Sigma_{X_i})^2)^2$ = E[ZXiXi] - ZE[Xi]·E[Xi] = E[X;Xj) - E[Xi]· E[Xj]) ·Note:  $E[X_1X_2] = \sum_{k_1,k_2 \in IR} P(X_1=k_1) \cdot k_1 \times 2=k_2$ .  $k_1,k_2 \in IR$   $= \sum_{k_1,k_2 \in IR} P(X_1=k_1) \cdot k_1 \cdot P(X_2=k_2) \cdot k_2 \quad [by 2-wise indek]$ 

$$= (Z_{1}P(X_{1}=k_{1})\cdot k_{1})\cdot (Z_{1}P(X_{2}=k_{2})\cdot k_{2})$$

$$= E[X_{1}]\cdot E[X_{2}]$$
Lo Expectation is multiplicative on indep. rnd. Var. ]

So,  $Var(Z_{1}X_{1}) = Z_{1}(E[X_{1}X_{2}] - E[X_{1}]\cdot E[X_{1}])$ 

$$= Z_{1}Var(X_{1})\cdot e^{-(X_{1}+k_{2})}\cdot e^{-(X_{1}+k_{2})$$

Weak Law of large numbers Corollary: Define  $X:=(\frac{1}{2}X_i)/n$  as the average of 2-wise indep. rnd. variables  $X_i$ 's leach identical to rnd. variable X). Then,  $\forall a > 0$ :  $P(|X-E[X]| > a) \leq Var(X)/na^2$ . P(:Apply Chebyshev's; linearity of variance & E[:].  $P(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i)/n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i)/n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i)/$ · Now, Chebysher gives  $P(\cdot - \cdot) \leq \text{var}(x)/na^2$ .  $\square$ 

Lo As n=00, X=E(x) with prob==11

Lo Thus, repeating an experiment really takes
you close to the expectation.

- Next, we present the strongest concentration inequality around!