

## Steps to design Greedy Algorithms

1. Express Solution of the given problem  
as a choice + solution to one subproblem
  2. Come up with a greedy choice. Show it is safe.  
That is, there is an optimal solution with greedy choice
  3. Optimal solution to the problem can be written as  
greedy choice + optimal solution to the subproblem.
- ⇒ recursive algorithm, making greedy choice at every stage.  
Can be converted to iterative algorithm.

[Important to remember that a greedy strategy often has relation to dynamic Programming formulation of the given problem]

Example (Making change)  
(Unlimited)

Coins of denominations

25¢, 10¢, 5¢, 1¢

Given a positive integer  $n$ , find minimum no. of coins (from above denominations) that add upto  $n$ .

Example (Concrete  $n$ )

$n = 9$

$\underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{\text{nine times}}$

$5 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$

$\overbrace{10 \times 1¢}^{\text{no. of coins 9}}$

$\overbrace{1 \times 5¢ + 4 \times 1¢}^{\text{no. of coins 5}} \text{ (optimal solution)}$

Input

$$(n, [25, 10, 5, 1])$$

Step 1

choice: how many coins of 25¢ are picked

Subproblem: remaining amount to be changed using coins of denominations [10, 5, 1].

$$n_{25} \quad \text{no. of coins of 25¢ chosen,} \\ (n - n_{25} \times 25, [10, 5, 1])$$

$$d_k > d_{k-1} \dots > d_2 > d_1 = \frac{1}{11}$$

Step 2

Greedy choice:

problem instance

$$(m, [d_k, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_2, d_1])$$

$$1 \leq k \leq 4$$



Pick as many coins of denomination  $d_k$  as possible.

$$\left\lfloor \frac{m}{d_k} \right\rfloor$$

To show that Greedy choice is safe.

This needs to be shown for all subproblems

- (i)  $(m, [25, 10, 5, 1])$
- (ii)  $(m, [10, 5, 1])$
- (iii)  $(m, [5, 1])$
- (iv)  $(m, [1])$

Subsub problems

- $\rightarrow (m', [1, 5, 1])$
- $\rightarrow (m', [5, 1])$
- $\rightarrow (m', 5[1])$
- $\rightarrow$  no subproblem

I show safety of greedy choice for (i).

Other cases [(ii), (iii), (iv)]  
are easier and we left  
as exercise.

Claim: Greedy choice is safe for  $(m, [25, 10, 5, 1])$

Pf: Greedy choice is to pick  $\left\lfloor \frac{m}{25} \right\rfloor$  many coins of 25¢.

Let  $e_{25}, e_{10}, e_5, e_1$  be an optimal solution for this problem  
( $e_i$  : non-negative integers, denotes no. of coins picked of denomination  $i$ )

$$\Rightarrow 25 \cdot e_{25} + 10 \cdot e_{10} + 5 \cdot e_5 + e_1 = m$$

if  $e_{25} = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{25} \right\rfloor$  then we are done. ( $e_{25}$  can't be  $> \left\lfloor \frac{m}{25} \right\rfloor$ )

Otherwise let  $e_{25} < \left\lfloor \frac{m}{25} \right\rfloor$

$$\Rightarrow 10e_{10} + 5e_5 + e_1 \geq 25$$

If there are some coins from multiset that add upto 25¢ then we can replace them by a single 25¢ coin.

$\overbrace{e_{10}}^{\{10, \dots, 10\}}, \overbrace{e_5}^{\{5, \dots, 5\}}, \overbrace{e_1}^{\{1, \dots, 1\}}$

This results in lesser no. of coins realizing  $m$ . This contradicts optimality of solution  $(e_{25}, e_{10}, e_5, e_1)$ .

Let  $x > 25$  be the least no. s.t. some coins from multiset  $\{e_{10}, e_5, e_1\}$  add upto  $x$ .

Let  $E$  be a subset of the given multiset  $\{e_{10}, e_5, e_1\}$  s.t. valuation of  $E$  is  $x$ .

### Case I

Suppose  $E$  has 1¢ coin.

Then  $E - \{1¢\}$  gives a set realizing  $x-1 \geq 25$   
This is a contradiction

### Case II

$E$  has coins of only 10¢ and 5¢.

$\Rightarrow x$  is a multiple of 5.

Case (a) If E has a 5 + coin  
 then  $x < 30$  [ $\because$  if  $x \geq 30$  then  
 $E - \{5\}$  adds up to  
 $\geq 25 +$ ] a contradiction  
 $\Rightarrow x \leq 25$  ( $\because x$  is multiple of 5)  
 a contradiction ( $\because x$  is assumed to be  $> 25$ )

Case (b) E has only 10 + coins  
 $\Rightarrow x$  is a multiple of 10  
 If  $x > 30$  then  $x = 40$   $E - \{10\}$  adds up to  
 $\geq 25 +$  contradiction  
 $\Rightarrow x = 30$   
 $\Rightarrow$  E consists of 3 coins of 10 + each

then E can be replaced by a 25¢ and a 5¢ coin.  
leading to strictly fewer coins than in the optimal solution  
 $\Rightarrow$  contradiction.

$$\Rightarrow e_{25} = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{25} \right\rfloor$$

$\Rightarrow$  we have an optimal solution with a greedy choice.  $\square$

We need to prove the property stated in step 3.

Claim There is an optimal solution of  $(m, \{25, 10, 5, 1\})$   
consisting of greedy choice and optimal solution of  
the subproblem  $(m - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{25} \right\rfloor \cdot 25, \{10, 5, 1\})$

Pf: we have seen that for subproblem  $(m, [25, 10, 5, 1])$  there is an optimal solution with greedy choice

so. the optimal solution is

$$\left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{m}{25} \right\rfloor \text{ coins of } 25 \notin \right\} \\ \cup \underbrace{\left\{ \text{solution of } \left( m - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{25} \right\rfloor \cdot 25, [10, 5, 1] \right) \right\}}$$

This has to be optimal solution to the subproblem (1)

because if this is not an optimal solution then substituting an optimal solution for it will result in better solution for  $(m, [25, 10, 5, 1])$  than the optimal solution. This is a contradiction.

□

Pseudo code

make-change-greedy ( $n$ ,  $[25, 10, 5, 1]$ )

$$i = 4$$

$$T = \emptyset$$

While  $n > 0$  and  $i \geq 1$  do

greed st.

$$x = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{l(i)} \right\rfloor$$

$$T = T \cup \{(l(i), x)\}$$

Subproblem {  
 $n = n - x \cdot l(i)$   
 $i = i - 1$

// end of while loop

return  $T$

Time complexity

$O(1)$  [In general no. of coins]

[Assuming, arithmetic ops have unit cost]

$$l(4) = 25$$

$$l(3) = 10$$

$$l(2) = 5$$

$$l(1) = 1$$

Example run :  $n = 89$

$$i = 4, T = \emptyset, x = 3$$

$$T = \{(25, 3)\}, n = 89 - 75 = 14, i = 3$$

$$x = \left\lfloor \frac{14}{10} \right\rfloor = 1, T = \{(25, 3), (10, 1)\}$$

$$n = 14 - 1 \cdot 10 = 4, i = 2$$

$$x = \left\lfloor \frac{4}{5} \right\rfloor = 0, T = \{(25, 3), (10, 1), (5, 0)\}$$

$$n = 4, i = 1$$

$$x = \left\lfloor \frac{4}{1} \right\rfloor = 4.$$

$$n = 4 - 4 \cdot 1 = 0, i = 0 \rightarrow \text{exit while}$$

$$T = \{(25, 3), (10, 1), (5, 0), (1, 4)\}$$

return  $T$

Does greedy strategy work for every set of denominations of coins.

No.

Example

Coins of denomination  $[10, 8, 1]$

$$n = 16$$

Optimal solution has two coins (each of 8¢).

Greedy strategy  $10¢ + 6 \times 1¢ = 7$  coins