

Conjunctive Normal Form.

literal - $p / \neg p$

Clause - disjunction of literals

α is in CNF if it is a conjunction of clauses.

$$\alpha = \underbrace{C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m}_{\text{clause}}$$

Semantic Equivalence.

α and β are semantically equivalent if
 $\alpha \equiv \beta$ is valid ($\models \alpha \equiv \beta$)

Question. Can every $\alpha \in \overline{\Phi}$ be transformed into
an equivalent α' in CNF.
(Semantically)

$$\overline{\Phi} :: p \in P \mid \neg \alpha \mid \alpha \vee \beta \mid \alpha \wedge \beta$$

$$\overline{\Phi} ::= p \in P \mid \neg p \in P \mid \beta_1 \vee \beta_2 \mid \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2$$

Example.

$$1. \neg p \wedge (q \vee r) \quad 2. \models \neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

$$3. \models (p \wedge q) \vee r \equiv (p \vee r) \wedge (q \vee r)$$

Negation Normal Form. (NNF).

α is in NNF if negation appears only with atomic propositions.

$$1. \neg(\alpha \vee \beta) \equiv \neg\alpha \wedge \neg\beta \quad 2. \neg(\alpha \wedge \beta) \equiv \neg\alpha \vee \neg\beta$$

$$3. \neg\neg\alpha \equiv \alpha$$

NNF(α)

$$\alpha = p / \neg p ; \text{NNF}(\alpha) = p / \neg p$$

$$\alpha = \neg\neg\beta ; \text{NNF}(\alpha) = \text{NNF}(\beta)$$

$$\alpha = \beta_1 \vee \beta_2 ; \text{NNF}(\alpha)$$

$$= \text{NNF}(\beta_1) \vee \text{NNF}(\beta_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg(\neg p \wedge (q \vee \neg(r \wedge s))) \\ & \equiv \neg\neg p \vee \neg(q \vee \neg(r \wedge s)) \\ & \equiv p \vee \neg(q \vee \neg(r \wedge s)) \\ & \equiv p \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg\neg(r \wedge s)) \\ & \equiv p \vee \neg q \wedge r \wedge s. \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha = \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 ; \text{NNF}(\alpha) = \text{NNF}(\beta_1) \wedge \text{NNF}(\beta_2).$$

$$\alpha = \neg(\beta_1 \vee \beta_2) \quad \text{NNF}(\alpha) = \text{NNF}(\neg\beta_1) \wedge \text{NNF}(\neg\beta_2)$$

$$\alpha = \neg(\beta_1 \wedge \beta_2) \quad \text{NNF}(\alpha) = \text{NNF}(\neg\beta_1) \vee \text{NNF}(\neg\beta_2)$$

Distribution Rules.

1. $\alpha \vee (\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_k) \equiv (\alpha \vee \beta_1) \wedge (\alpha \vee \beta_2) \wedge \dots \wedge (\alpha \vee \beta_k)$
2. $(\beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_k) \vee \alpha \equiv (\beta_1 \vee \alpha) \wedge (\beta_2 \vee \alpha) \wedge \dots \wedge (\beta_k \vee \alpha)$

Assumption. α is in NNF

CNF(α)

$$\alpha = p / \neg p \quad ; \quad \text{CNF}(\alpha) = p / \neg p$$

$$\alpha = \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \quad ; \quad \text{CNF}(\alpha) = \text{CNF}(\beta_1) \wedge \text{CNF}(\beta_2)$$

$$\alpha = \beta_1 \vee \beta_2 \quad ; \quad \text{CNF}(\alpha) = \text{DISTR}(\text{CNF}(\beta_1), \text{CNF}(\beta_2))$$

$$\text{DISTR}(\phi_1, \phi_2) \quad \phi_1, \phi_2 \rightarrow \text{CNF}.$$

$$\phi_1 = \gamma_{11} \wedge \gamma_{12} \quad \text{DISTR}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \text{DISTR}(\gamma_{11}, \phi_2) \wedge \text{DISTR}(\gamma_{12}, \phi_2)$$

$$\phi_2 = \gamma_{21} \wedge \gamma_{22} \quad \text{DISTR}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \text{DISTR}(\phi_1, \gamma_{21}) \wedge \text{DISTR}(\phi_1, \gamma_{22}).$$

$$\text{Otherwise } \text{DISTR}(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \phi_1 \vee \phi_2$$

$$(\neg p_0 \wedge p_1) \rightarrow (p_2 \wedge (p_3 \rightarrow p_4))$$

$$\equiv \neg(\neg p_0 \wedge p_1) \vee (p_2 \wedge (\neg p_3 \vee p_4))$$

$$\equiv (p_0 \vee \neg p_1) \vee (p_2 \wedge (\neg p_3 \vee p_4)) \quad - \text{NNF.}$$

$$\equiv (p_0 \vee \neg p_1 \vee p_2) \wedge (p_0 \vee \neg p_1 \vee \neg p_3 \vee p_4) \quad - \text{CNF}$$