

Multimedia Security and Privacy

TP5: Watermark performance evaluation

Prof. SVIATOSLAV VOLOSHYNOVSKIY,
OLGA TARAN <olga.taran@unige.ch>,
MAURITS DIEPHUIS.

Stochastic Information Processing Group

May 11, 2017

Submission

Please archive your report and codes in “Name.Surname.zip” (replace “Name” and “Surname” with your real name), and upload to “Assignments/TP5: Watermark performance evaluation” on <https://chamilo.unige.ch> before **Wednesday, May 24 2017, 23:59 PM**. Note, **the assessment is mainly based on your report, which should include your answers to all questions and the experimental results.**

In this TP work you will assess the performance of the watermark detection model that was build in the previous TP.

1 Non-blind watermark detection

1.1 Exercise

Read the image `cameraman.tif` in. It will serve as host image \mathbf{x} .
For given hypothesis:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : & \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z} \\ H_1 : & \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{z} \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{x} is the host image, \mathbf{v} is the marked image, \mathbf{w} is the watermark and \mathbf{z} is additive white Gaussian noise, i.e. $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\text{noise}}^2 \mathbf{I})$

Evaluate the following *numerically* :

- p_f , the probability of false alarm
- p_m , the probability of miss
- p_d , the probability of correct detection, defined as $1 - p_m$

where $\mu_{\rho|H_0}$, $\sigma_{\rho|H_0}$, $\mu_{\rho|H_1}$ and $\sigma_{\rho|H_1}$ are the means and variances of the linear correlation ρ under hypothesis H_0 and H_1 . They can be ascertained as follows:

$$\mu_{\rho|H_0} = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{k=1}^J \rho_k^{H_0} \quad (1)$$

$$\mu_{\rho|H_1} = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{k=1}^J \rho_k^{H_1} \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma_{\rho|H_0}^2 = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{k=1}^J \left(\rho_k^{H_0} - \mu_{\rho|H_0} \right)^2 \quad (3)$$

$$\sigma_{\rho|H_1}^2 = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{k=1}^J \left(\rho_k^{H_1} - \mu_{\rho|H_1} \right)^2 \quad (4)$$

Obviously, ρ^{H_0} and ρ^{H_1} need to be experimentally obtained.

- For hypothesis H_0 , ρ^{H_0} is determined k times, $k = \{1 \dots 10\}$ for a fixed noise realisation \mathbf{z} with $\sigma_{\text{noise}}^2 = 50$.
- For hypothesis H_1 and ρ^{H_1} the watermark \mathbf{w} is generated k times with a fixed strength $\gamma = \pm 1$ and a fixed density $\theta_N = 0.1$. The noise realisation \mathbf{z} is again fixed with $\sigma_{\text{noise}}^2 = 50$.

1.2 Exercise

- Calculate and display the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve for the binary threshold test following the above mentioned experiment set up. The detection threshold is denoted with $T_{\rho \text{ non-blind}}$
- Fill out Table 1 with all results. Note that obviously only the noise and not the watermark has influence on hypothesis H_0 , so the relevant cells have been grayed out.
- What can you conclude about *non-blind* watermark detection given the strength of the watermark and the noise variance?

	$\sigma_{\text{noise}}^2 = 50$				$\sigma_{\text{noise}}^2 = 100$			
	$\theta_N = 0.1$		$\theta_N = 0.3$		$\theta_N = 0.1$		$\theta_N = 0.3$	
	$\gamma = \pm 1$	$\gamma = \pm 5$	$\gamma = \pm 1$	$\gamma = \pm 5$	$\gamma = \pm 1$	$\gamma = \pm 5$	$\gamma = \pm 1$	$\gamma = \pm 5$
$\mu_{\rho H_0}$								
$\sigma_{\rho H_0}^2$								
$\mu_{\rho H_1}$								
$\sigma_{\rho H_1}^2$								

Table 1 – Data for *non-blind* watermark detection

2 Blind watermark detection using the Maximum Likelihood estimate

This exercise will follow the same structure and tests as the previous one for *non-blind* watermark detection, except that this time you will *blindly* detect the watermark using the Maximum Likelihood estimate.

2.1 Exercise

For given hypothesis:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z} \\ H_1 : \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{z} \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{x} is the host image, \mathbf{v} is the marked image, \mathbf{w} is the watermark and \mathbf{z} is additive white Gaussian noise, i.e. $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\text{noise}}^2 \mathbf{I})$

Evaluate p_f , p_m and p_d using the same conditions as for the previous task.

- Calculate and display the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve for the binary threshold test.
- Fill out Table 2 with all results. with all results. Again note that obviously only the noise and not the watermark has influence on hypothesis H_0 , so the relevant cells have been grayed out.
- What can you conclude about *blind* watermark detection given the strength of the watermark and the noise variance?

	$\sigma_{\text{noise}}^2 = 50$				$\sigma_{\text{noise}}^2 = 100$			
	$\theta_N = 0.1$		$\theta_N = 0.3$		$\theta_N = 0.1$		$\theta_N = 0.3$	
	$\gamma = \pm 1$	$\gamma = \pm 5$	$\gamma = \pm 1$	$\gamma = \pm 5$	$\gamma = \pm 1$	$\gamma = \pm 5$	$\gamma = \pm 1$	$\gamma = \pm 5$
$\mu_{\rho H_0}$								
$\sigma_{\rho H_0}^2$								
$\mu_{\rho H_1}$								
$\sigma_{\rho H_1}^2$								

Table 2 – Data for *blind* watermark detection

2.2 Exercise

Compare the ROC curves from the *non-blind* and *blind* watermark detection schemes. What can you conclude about their comparative performance?