

A Walk In The Classroom - Using Fitbit Charge 4 to measure expert and novice teachers'
heart rate and step counts in a laboratory study

Mandy Klatt¹, Peer Keßler¹, Gregor Kachel^{1,2}, & Anne Deiglmayr¹

¹ Leipzig University

² Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology

Author Note

We received funding from QualiFond of University Leipzig. We have no conflicts of
interest to disclose. This article is based on data used at conference presentations
(DACH-Nachwuchsakademie, 2022; EARLI SIG 11, 2022; EARLI SIG 27, 2022).

The authors made the following contributions. Mandy Klatt: Conceptualization,
Writing - Original Draft Preparation, Writing - Review & Editing; Peer Keßler: Writing -
Original Draft Preparation, Writing - Review & Editing; Gregor Kachel:
Conceptualization, Writing - Review & Editing; Anne Deiglmayr: Supervision.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Mandy Klatt,
Dittrichring 5-7, 04109 Leipzig. E-mail: mandy.klatt@uni-leipzig.de

Abstract

One or two sentences providing a **basic introduction** to the field, comprehensible to a scientist in any discipline.

Two to three sentences of **more detailed background**, comprehensible to scientists in related disciplines.

One sentence clearly stating the **general problem** being addressed by this particular study.

One sentence summarizing the main result (with the words “**here we show**” or their equivalent).

Two or three sentences explaining what the **main result** reveals in direct comparison to what was thought to be the case previously, or how the main result adds to previous knowledge.

One or two sentences to put the results into a more **general context**.

Two or three sentences to provide a **broader perspective**, readily comprehensible to a scientist in any discipline.

Keywords: heart rate; photoplethysmography; wearable electronic device; expertise differences

Word count: X

A Walk In The Classroom - Using Fitbit Charge 4 to measure expert and novice teachers' heart rate and step counts in a laboratory study

Methods

We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations, and all measures in the study.

Participants

XXX fitbit data exclusion XXX

The sample consisted of $N = 41$ participants with $n = 16$ expert teachers and $n = 25$ novice teachers.

The inclusion criterion for experts was that they have successfully completed teacher training and are actively employed in the teaching profession. According to Palmer, Stough, Burdenski, and Gonzales (2005), we selected teachers as experts who had at least three years of professional experience and ideally had worked in another teaching position, such as subject advisor or trainer for trainee teachers, in addition to their teaching profession in school. Novices were student teachers who had successfully completed their first internship in a school and gained one to four hours of teaching experience.

The expert teachers (9 women; 56.25%) had a mean age of 41.40 years ($SD = 10.70$; range: 27-59) and an average teaching experience of 13.60 years ($SD = 12.80$; range: 2-37). 18.75% of the experts were primary school teachers and 81.25% were secondary school teachers. 56% of the experienced teachers were also engaged in an secondary teaching activity, such as lecturers at the university, main training supervisors for trainee teachers and subject advisers.

The novice teachers (16 women; 64%) had a mean age of 23.40 years ($SD = 1.80$; range: 20-27) with an average teaching experience of 0 years. On average, the student

teachers were in their 7.30 semester ($SD = 2.60$; range: 3-11). Furthermore, they had an average teaching experience of 11.20 teaching units à 45min ($SD = 8.10$; range: 0-36) through the internships during their studies. 20% of the novices were studying to become primary school teachers, 68% to become secondary school teachers and 12% to become special education teachers. 88% of the student teachers were also engaged in an extracurricular teaching activity, such as tutoring or homework supervision.

The subjects were primarily recruited through personal contacts, social media (Facebook), e-mail distribution lists and advertising in lectures at Leipzig University. All study procedures were carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of the University's Institutional Review Board. The authors received a positive vote on the study procedures from the Ethics Committee Board of Leipzig University. All participants were informed in detail about the aim and intention of the study prior to testing. Participation in the study was voluntary and only took place after written consent has been given.

Material

We used a Fitbit Charge 4 to measure the teachers' heart rate. The device was attached 2-finger widths above the ulnar styloid process to the participant's wrist following the manufacturer's instructions. To determine the heart rate, the Fitbit flashes green LEDs many times per second and uses light-sensitive photodiodes to measure the volume changes in the capillaries and then calculates how many times the heart beats per minute (bpm). Data were automatically wireless synced with an iPad via Bluetooth to a Fitbit account, and subsequently, the intraday second-by-second data were exported for each session using the opensource software Pulse Watch (PulseWatch. URL: <https://iccir919.github.io/pulseWatch/public/index.html> [accessed 2022-08-03]).

Procedure

In a laboratory setting, three trained actors performed teaching disruptions in a counter balanced fashion while the subject taught a 15-minute micro-teaching unit prepared in advance. To record the subject's heart rate, the Fitbit Charge 4 was put on at least 10 minutes before the start of the lesson. The lesson was recorded by four cameras and an audio recorder. In addition, the subject wore eye-tracking glasses to record gaze behavior.

Subsequently, the subjects as well as the actors were given a short questionnaire, which contained items to collect demographic information as well as items about the previously given lesson on teaching quality using a validated questionnaire (EMU, Helmke et al. (2014)) and self developed scales on the teacher's presence behavior derived from the research literature via the online survey website SoSci Survey (4-point Likert scale; 1 = strongly disagree; 2 = disagree; 3 = agree; 4 = strongly agree). The completion of the questionnaire took approximately 5 minutes.

The experimenter then conducted a Stimulated Recall Interview (SRI), where the subject commented and rated the reactions to classroom events while watching the eye tracking video.

Finally, a Situational Judgment Test (SJT, Gold and Holodyski (2015)) was used to assess the subject's strategic knowledge of classroom management. The subject was asked to judge alternative actions on school scenarios on a 6-point rating scale from 1 (A) to 6 (F) according to school grades. Data from the SJT were again collected as an online questionnaire via the website www.soscisurvey.de and lasted approximately 10 minutes.

The Fitbit watch was removed only after the last questionnaire to obtain heart rate at three different measurement time points: before, during, and after the lesson.

104 **Data analysis**

105 We used R (Version 4.1.3; R Core Team, 2022) and the R-packages *papaja* (Version
106 0.1.0.9999; Aust & Barth, 2020), and *tinylabels* (Version 0.2.3; Barth, 2022) for all our
107 analyses.

108 **Results**

109 **Discussion**

References

- Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2020). *papaja: Prepare reproducible APA journal articles with R Markdown*. Retrieved from <https://github.com/crsh/papaja>
- Barth, M. (2022). *tinylabls: Lightweight variable labels*. Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org/package=tinylabls>
- Gold, B., & Holodyski, M. (2015). Development and construct validation of a situational judgment test of strategic knowledge of classroom management in elementary schools. *Educational Assessment, 20*(3), 226–248.
- Helmke, A., Helmke, T., Lenske, G., Pham, G., Praetorius, A.-K., Schrader, F.-W., & AdeThurrow, M. (2014). Unterrichtsdiagnostik mit EMU. *Aus-Und Fortbildung Der Lehrkräfte in Hinblick Auf Verbesserung Der Diagnosefähigkeit, Umgang Mit Heterogenität Und Individuelle Förderung*, 149–163.
- Palmer, D. J., Stough, L. M., Burdenski, T. K., Jr, & Gonzales, M. (2005). Identifying teacher expertise: An examination of researchers' decision making. *Educational Psychologist, 40*(1), 13–25.
- R Core Team. (2022). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <https://www.R-project.org/>