1   Through the eyes of the teacher

2   Mandy Klatt[1] & Gregor Kachel[1]

3   [1] University of Leipzig

4   Author Note

10   Abstract

11   Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor

12   invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et

13   accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata

14   sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing

15   elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat,

16   sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita

17   kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

18   *Keywords:* keywords

19   Word count: X

20   Through the eyes of the teacher

21   **State of research**

22   Teaching and classroom management are multidimensional settings in which teachers

23   have to respond immediately to events as they develop (Barnes, 2004). The different

24   interests and abilities of students must be managed in a way that maximizes the active

25   learning time of students and minimizes disruptions whilst teaching. Learning to develop

26   such classroom management skills and to teach effectively is a complicated and complex

27   process (Wolff, Jarodzka, & Boshuizen, 2017).

28   During teaching, teachers must be able to select from a variety of visual and acoustic

29   impressions to focus their attention on the essential and to distinguish between relevant

30   and irrelevant events. This ability is called professional vision and is a key component of

31   teacher expertise and successful teaching (Barth, 2017). Eye tracking technology has

32   become a reliable means to study teachers' visual focus of attention (Bogert, 2016; Pouta,

33   Lehtinen, & Palonen, 2020; Wolff et al., 2017)

34   Educational research has repeatedly shown that there are differences between

35   experienced and novice teachers in terms of perception and behavioral competencies

36   (Barth, 2017; Bogert, 2016; Wolff et al., 2017). For example, experts direct their attention

37   more often and more evenly to all students, whereas novices only direct their attention to

38   some students. The frequency and duration of fixations as eye movement are decisive

39   (Stuermer, Seidel, Mueller, Häusler, & Cortina, 2017). Mobile eye-tracking technology has

40   also shown that experienced teachers distribute their focus more efficiently to solve tasks

41   (Jarodzka, Scheiter, Gerjets, & Van Gog, 2010). Furthermore, in contrast to novices,

42   experts are able to focus their attention on the entire class and guide the class while giving

43   feedback to individual students and answering questions (Cortina, Miller, McKenzie, &

44   Epstein, 2015).

Figure 1. Illustration of comic material that made the second author of this paper chuckle a bit. For advice on how to insert images, please see the code chunk.

Research questions

The first study is a laboratory study that uses a quasi-experimental cross-sectional study design to investigate whether the experience of teachers has an influence on the perception of and response to disruptions. The disturbances are experimentally varied using a previously written script. Thus, the aim is to find out whether differences in the allocation of attention between expertise groups can be detected in this controlled context.

In order to answer this question, the hypothesis was formulated that teachers with more professional experience not only notice more disturbances but also notice them faster. In the hypothesis, therefore, it is necessary to check what has already been shown in the

54 research literature: In complex teaching situations, experts have a more structured and

55 elaborate professional knowledge than novices in order to perceive and interpret relevant

56 events and to act appropriately (Berliner, 2001; Lachner, Jarodzka, & Nückles, 2016).

Methods

58 We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all

59 manipulations, and all measures in the study.

Participants

61 For the sample recruitment of the subjects (N = 48, experts n = 24, novices n = 24),

62 schools in the city of Leipzig in Saxony were contacted. The institutions as well as the

63 subjects were informed in detail about the aim and intention of the dissertation project in

64 advance. Participation in the study was voluntary and only took place after written

65 consent has been given.

Table 1

Demographic Information and Teaching Experience

group	N	male	M age	min age	max age	SD age	M experience	min experience	max experience	S
expert	2	1	47.50	44	51	4.95	20.00	15.00	25.00	7
novice	6	2	25.67	20	33	4.89	0.68	0.00	1.50	0

66 The selection of the subjects was based on extreme groups, whereby professional

67 experience is the crucial criterion for the selection of experts or novices. Novices were

68 recruited as teachers who have been working in the teaching profession for no more than 3

69 years, whereas experts were considered to have professional experience of 10 years or more

70 (Messner & Reusser, 2000).

Procedure

For this study, lesson units of n = 24 experts and n = 24 novices were recorded by the mobile laboratory of the Department of Empirical School and Classroom Research at the University of Leipzig. The two extreme groups were each divided into groups of four, with one group being invited on each of six different dates. All participants were asked to hold a 15-minute lesson. The duration of each appointment was approximately 2h30min: per extreme group 4 x 15min briefing, 15min lesson units, 10min technical preparation and follow-up and 5min buffer/break.

One person from the group of 4 acted as a teacher, the other three subjects acted as the class. The subjects, who represented the class, were given behavioral instructions in a pre-written script to simulate typical events and disturbances in the classroom (e.g. putting their heads on the table, chatting, looking at their mobile phones, etc.).

The lesson disturbances were displayed as instructions during the lesson for all "students" but not the teacher. In order to avoid learning effects, the disruptions in each lesson were distributed pseudo-randomly over the short teaching phase. In addition, the order of the data collection was taken into account in the analyses and variance caused by order was controlled.

By using mobile eye-trackers, the gaze and behavior of the experts and novices was recorded during the lesson. In addition, what the participating teachers said was recorded with a portable microphone. Other sounds and voices were recorded with an audio recorder installed in the middle of the laboratory. Movements, facial expressions and gestures of the subjects were recorded by four cameras from different angles. One camera was installed to film the class from the side. Two more cameras were installed on the blackboard and at the end of the laboratory to film the teacher and class from the front and back. In addition, it the fourth camera was installed in such a way that only facial expressions and gestures of the teacher were recorded, which enables a semi-automated analysis of the movement

97 sequences.

98 The lessons recorded on video were coded in a post-hoc procedure with a coding

99 software by previously trained raters. The statistical data have been analyzed by using the

100 program RStudio [Link: https://rstudio.com/].

Measures

101

102 **Questionnaire Data.** *Describe how we collect questionnaire data (paper or*

103 *online). Add some basic information about the structure of the questionnaire.* Lorem ipsum

104 dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut

105 labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo

106 duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem

107 ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam

108 nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam

109 voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd

110 gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

111 **Eye-tracking equipment.** A binocular Tobii Pro Glasses 2 eye-tracker consisting

112 of a wearable head unit and a recording unit was used to record the eye movements. The

113 head unit is a measuring device with different sensitive sensors. A high-definition scene

114 camera captures a full HD video and an integrated microphone records the surrounding

115 sounds. Infrared light illuminators support the eye tracking sensors which record the eye

116 orientation. The videos were recorded with a sampling rate of 50 Hz and a video resolution

117 with 1920 x 1080 at 25 frames per second. The scene camera has a field of view of 90 deg.

118 in 16:9 format (82 deg. horizontal and 52 deg. vertical) and has a frame dimension of 179 x

119 159 x 57mm (width x depth x height). The Tobii Pro Glasses Controller software was used

120 to record and calibrate the eye movements.

121 The Tobii Pro Glasses 2 software allows for non-screen based recordings of a

¹²² participants' attention while moving in real-world settings. The recordings of the glasses

¹²³ contain both HD-video from the subject's perspective as well as the respective gaze data

¹²⁴ mapped onto the video. In order to map multiple recordings to AOIs, it is necessary to

¹²⁵ import the eye-tracking recordings into the Tobii Pro Analyzer software. Also, it is

¹²⁶ necessary to create a reference image of the scene in which one wishes to plot the gaze data

¹²⁷ (i.e. snapshot). Once the snapshot is imported, the gaze recordings of multiple recordings

¹²⁸ can be mapped to the reference image and analyzed in aggregated form. Tobii Pro does

¹²⁹ not allow to do AOI based analyses within Pro Lab. Also, the dependency on snapshot

¹³⁰ reference images makes this approach impractical when working in different settings,

¹³¹ i.e. different classrooms with various participants. Finally, mapping gaze to people or any

¹³² moving objects complicated the analyses further.

¹³³ **Data analysis**

¹³⁴   We used R (Version 4.0.2; R Core Team, 2019) and the R-packages *}dplyr*

¹³⁵ [@}R-dplyr], *forcats* (Version 0.5.0; Wickham, 2020a), *ggplot2* (Version 3.3.2; Wickham,

¹³⁶ 2016), *papaja* (Version 0.1.0.9997; Aust & Barth, 2020), *papayar* (Muschelli, 2016), *purrr*

¹³⁷ (Version 0.3.4; Henry & Wickham, 2020), *readr* (Version 1.3.1; Wickham, Hester, &

¹³⁸ Francois, 2018), *stringr* (Version 1.4.0; Wickham, 2019), *tibble* (Version 3.0.5; Müller &

¹³⁹ Wickham, 2021), *tidyr* (Version 1.1.2; Wickham, 2020b), and *tidyverse* (Version 1.3.0;

¹⁴⁰ Wickham et al., 2019) for all our analyses.

¹⁴¹   Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod

¹⁴² tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos

¹⁴³ et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata

¹⁴⁴ sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing

¹⁴⁵ elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat,

¹⁴⁶ sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita

¹⁴⁷ kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

Results

Questionnaire Data. *Start entering descriptives and plots here* Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

Discussion

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

References

Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2020). *papaja: Create APA manuscripts with R Markdown.* Retrieved from https://github.com/crsh/papaja

Barnes, D. (2004). The significance of teachers' frames for teaching. In *Teachers and teaching* (pp. 16–38). Routledge.

Barth, V. L. (2017). *Professionelle wahrnehmung von störungen im unterricht.* Springer.

Berliner, D. C. (2001). Learning about and learning from expert teachers. *International Journal of Educational Research, 35*(5), 463–482.

Bogert, N. J. van den. (2016). *On teachers' visual perception and interpretation of classroom events using eye tracking and collaborative tagging methodologies.* Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Cortina, K. S., Miller, K. F., McKenzie, R., & Epstein, A. (2015). Where low and high inference data converge: Validation of class assessment of mathematics instruction using mobile eye tracking with expert and novice teachers. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 13*(2), 389–403.

Henry, L., & Wickham, H. (2020). *Purrr: Functional programming tools.* Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=purrr

Jarodzka, H., Scheiter, K., Gerjets, P., & Van Gog, T. (2010). In the eyes of the beholder: How experts and novices interpret dynamic stimuli. *Learning and Instruction, 20*(2), 146–154.

Lachner, A., Jarodzka, H., & Nückles, M. (2016). What makes an expert teacher? Investigating teachers' professional vision and discourse abilities. *Instructional Science, 44*(3), 197–203.

Messner, H., & Reusser, K. (2000). Die berufliche entwicklung von lehrpersonen als lebenslanger prozess. *Beiträge Zur Lehrerinnen-Und Lehrerbildung, 18*(2), 157–171.

197 Muschelli, J. (2016). *Papayar: View medical research images using the papaya javascript*
198 *library.* Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=papayar

199 Müller, K., & Wickham, H. (2021). *Tibble: Simple data frames.* Retrieved from
200 https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tibble

201 Pouta, M., Lehtinen, E., & Palonen, T. (2020). Student teachers' and experienced teachers'
202 professional vision of students' understanding of the rational number concept.

203 R Core Team. (2019). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* Vienna,
204 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from
205 https://www.R-project.org/

206 Stuermer, K., Seidel, T., Mueller, K., Häusler, J., & Cortina, K. S. (2017). What is in the
207 eye of preservice teachers while instructing? An eye-tracking study about attention
208 processes in different teaching situations. *Zeitschrift Für Erziehungswissenschaft*,
209 *20*(1), 75–92.

210 Wickham, H. (2016). *Ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis.* Springer-Verlag New
211 York. Retrieved from https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org

212 Wickham, H. (2019). *Stringr: Simple, consistent wrappers for common string operations.*
213 Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stringr

214 Wickham, H. (2020a). *Forcats: Tools for working with categorical variables (factors).*
215 Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=forcats

216 Wickham, H. (2020b). *Tidyr: Tidy messy data.* Retrieved from
217 https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tidyr

218 Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D., François, R., . . .
219 Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*,
220 *4*(43), 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686

221 Wickham, H., Hester, J., & Francois, R. (2018). *Readr: Read rectangular text data.*

222   Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=readr

223   Wolff, C. E., Jarodzka, H., & Boshuizen, H. P. (2017). See and tell: Differences between

224   expert and novice teachers' interpretations of problematic classroom management

225   events. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 66*, 295–308.