Flow shear induced fluctuation suppression in finite aspect ratio shaped tokamak plasma

Cite as: Physics of Plasmas 2, 1648 (1995); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.871313
Submitted: 18 November 1994 • Accepted: 18 January 1995 • Published Online: 04 June 1998

T. S. Hahm and K. H. Burrell







ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Effects of $E \times B$ velocity shear and magnetic shear on turbulence and transport in magnetic confinement devices

Physics of Plasmas 4, 1499 (1997); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.872367

Influence of sheared poloidal rotation on edge turbulence

Physics of Fluids B: Plasma Physics 2, 1 (1990); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.859529

Comparisons and physics basis of tokamak transport models and turbulence simulations Physics of Plasmas **7**, 969 (2000); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.873896





Flow shear induced fluctuation suppression in finite aspect ratio shaped tokamak plasma

T. S. Hahm

Princeton University, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, P.O. Box 451, Princeton, New Jersey 08543

K. H. Burrell

General Atomics, P.O. Box 85608, San Diego, California 92186-9784

(Received 18 November 1994; accepted 18 January 1995)

The suppression of turbulence by the **E**×**B** flow shear and parallel flow shear is studied in an arbitrary shape finite aspect ratio tokamak plasma using the two point nonlinear analysis previously utilized in a high aspect ratio tokamak plasma [Phys. Plasmas 1, 2940 (1994)]. The result shows that only the **E**×**B** flow shear is responsible for the suppression of flute-like fluctuations. This suppression occurs regardless of the plasma rotation direction and is, therefore, relevant for the very high (VH) mode plasma core as well as for the high (H) mode plasma edge. Experimentally observed in—out asymmetry of fluctuation reduction behavior can be addressed in the context of flux expansion and magnetic field pitch variation on a given flux surface. The adverse effect of neutral particles on confinement improvement is also discussed in the context of the charge exchange induced parallel momentum damping. © 1995 American Institute of Physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the high (H)-mode has first been discovered in the Axisymmetric Divertor Experiment¹ (ASDEX), there has been considerable experimental and theoretical progress in H mode physics. To date, fluctuation suppression by flow shear remains as one of the best hypothesis to explain not only the confinement improvement which occurs at the plasma edge at the low (L) to high (H) transition, 2,3 but also radial broadening of the improved confinement zone into the plasma core which occurs during the very high (VH) mode phase.³ Since the most extensive H mode studies and VH mode studies accompanied by fluctuation measurements have been performed on strongly shaped tokamaks with divertor such as DIII-D,³ previous cylindrical theories^{4,5} and more recent theory⁶ in high aspect ratio near circular toroidal plasma cannot address all the important physics issues. In particular, for more meaningful quantitative comparison to experimental data, the flow shear induced fluctuation suppression criterion for noncircular shape, finite aspect ratio tokamak plasma is needed.

In this work, we extend the previous two-point nonlinear theory of flow shear induced fluctuation suppression in near circular, high aspect ratio toroidal plasma⁶ to arbitrary shape, finite aspect ratio toroidal plasma using orthogonal flux coordinates (ψ, θ, ϕ) . In particular, our formalism allows us to address the in-out asymmetry of fluctuation reduction behavior⁷ in the context of the shaping and finite aspect ratio associated effects such as flux expansion and poloidal variation of the local magnetic field pitch on a given flux surface.

Our results indicate that fluctuation suppression occurs when the decorrelation rate of the ambient turbulence $\Delta \omega_T$ is exceeded by the shearing rate ω_s due to the flow shear, given below:

$$\begin{split} \omega_s^2 &= \left| \frac{\Delta \psi_0}{\Delta \phi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi^2} \Phi_0(\psi) \right|^2 \\ &+ \left| \frac{\Delta \psi_0}{\Delta \eta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\frac{V_{\parallel}}{JB} \right) \right|^2 \left/ \left| 1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{V_{\parallel}}{JB} \right) \right| \Delta \omega_T \right|^2, \end{split}$$

where $\Delta \psi_0 / RB_\theta$, $R\Delta \phi$, and $JB\Delta \eta$ are the correlation lengths of the ambient turbulence in the radial, toroidal, and B) directions, respectively. parallel (to $J = (\nabla \psi \times \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \theta)^{-1}$ is the Jacobian. From this we conclude that: (i) For flute-like fluctuations with $\Delta \eta \gg 1$, the shearing rate is determined by $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ flow only. Therefore, a simple formula $\omega_s = |(\Delta \psi_0 / \Delta \phi) \partial^2 \Phi_0(\psi) / \partial \psi^2|$ is applicable not only at the edge where the poloidal rotation is appreciable but also at the core where plasma rotates mainly in toroidal direction. For fixed $\Delta \phi$ and Δr_0 , the shearing rate is higher at the large major radius side due to flux expansion $(\Delta \psi_0 = RB_\theta \Delta r_0)$. (ii) For ballooning-like fluctuations $(\Delta \eta \sim \pi)$, $\partial (V_{\parallel}/JB)/\partial \psi$ term also contributes to the shearing rate. For fixed $\Delta \eta$, this term is also large at the large major radius side due to poloidal variation of the local magnetic field pitch. (iii) If the neoclassical theory expression for V_{\parallel} is used, the charge-exchange induced parallel momentum damping is predicted to reduce the shearing rate. This qualitatively agrees with the experimental observation that the presence of neutral particles has adverse effects on confinement improvement.8

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the two-point correlation function evolution equation in flux coordinates is derived and analyzed. Then the general criterion for flow shear suppression of fluctuation is presented. In Sec. III, the **E**×**B** flow shear suppression criterion for flute-like fluctuation is discussed in detail in relation to experimental observations. Finally, the role of parallel flow and neutral particles is discussed in Sec. IV.

II. TWO-POINT CORRELATION EVOLUTION IN FLUX COORDINATES

Following the previous work,⁴⁻⁶ we start from a one-field fluid model in which the fluctuating field δH is convected by the equilibrium flow $\mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{V}_E + V_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}$, and the fluctuating $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ flow $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_E$,

$$(\partial/\partial t + \mathbf{V}_0 \cdot \nabla + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_E \cdot \nabla) \, \delta H = S, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{V}_E = \mathbf{E}_r^{(0)} \times \mathbf{B}/B^2$, $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_E = \mathbf{B} \times \nabla \partial \Phi/B^2$, and S is the driving source of the turbulence. Linear dissipation and subdominant nonlinearities other than $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ nonlinearity are ignored for simplicity. In strongly shaped tokamaks, a natural representation of the small scale (high mode number) fluctuating field is provided by the ballooning formalism in flux coordinates,

$$\delta H(\psi, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{n} e^{in\phi} \sum_{m} e^{-im\theta} \int d\eta \ e^{iS} \delta H_{n}(\eta, \psi), \tag{2}$$

where ϕ and θ are the toroidal angle and poloidal angle, respectively, η is the ballooning coordinate, ψ is the poloidal flux, and $S \equiv n\{\phi - \int^{\theta} d\theta \ \nu + \int^{\psi} d\psi \ k(\psi)\}$ is the eikonal which describes the fast variation of δH across **B**. Note that $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla S = 0$ is satisfied. In this coordinate system, $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \phi \times \nabla \psi + I(\psi) \nabla \phi$, $B_{\phi} = I(\psi)/R$, and $B_{\theta} = |\nabla \psi|/R$.

The Jacobian is given by $J \equiv (\nabla \psi \times \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \theta)^{-1}$, and $\nu \equiv IJ/R^2$ is the local pitch of magnetic field which can vary on a flux surface; $q(\psi) \equiv (1/2\pi) \oint d\theta \ \nu$ is the magnetic safety factor. Since

$$\nabla = \mathbf{e}_{\psi} R B_{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \mathbf{e}_{\theta} \frac{1}{I B_{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \mathbf{e}_{\phi} \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$$

we have

$$\mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \nabla \delta H = \left\{ -\frac{\partial \Phi_{0}(\psi)}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \frac{V_{\parallel}}{JB} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right\} \delta H. \tag{3}$$

In this work, Φ_0 is assumed to be a flux function, meanwhile V_{\parallel}/JB is allowed to vary on a given flux surface in general. The expression for the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ nonlinear term in flux coordinate is given by Frieman and Chen. The two-point correlation evolution equation is then derived following the standard procedure of symmetrization with respect to (ψ_1, ϕ_1, η_1) and (ψ_2, ϕ_2, η_2) followed by ensemble average:

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \psi_{-} \left(\Omega'_{\perp \psi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{-}} + \Omega'_{\parallel \psi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{-}} \right) + \eta_{-} \Omega'_{\parallel \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{-}} - D^{\text{eff}}_{-} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}_{-}} \right\} \langle \delta H(1) \delta H(2) \rangle = S_{2}.$$
(4)

Here, the radial shear of the angular rotation frequency in perpendicular and parallel directions is given by

$$\Omega'_{\perp\psi} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi^2} \, \Phi_0(\psi) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\Omega'_{\parallel\psi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{V_{\parallel}}{IB} \right). \tag{6}$$

The poloidal variation of the parallel angular frequency is given by

$$\Omega'_{\parallel\theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(\frac{V_{\parallel}}{JB} \right). \tag{7}$$

In Eq. (4), S_2 is the source term for the two-point correlation function and the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ nonlinearity is approximated as a turbulent diffusion along the perpendicular direction. At small separation, the relative diffusion D_{-}^{eff} has the following asymptotic form:

$$D_{-}^{\text{eff}} = 2D^{\text{eff}} \left\{ \left(\frac{\psi_{-}}{\Delta \psi_{0}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\eta_{-}}{\Delta \eta} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\phi_{-}}{\Delta \phi} \right)^{2} \right\}, \tag{8}$$

where $D^{\text{eff}} = \Delta \omega_T \Delta \phi^2/4$ is proportional to the diffusion coefficient at large separation. The decorrelation dynamics due to the coupling of the flow shear and turbulent diffusion can be studied by taking various moments of the left-hand side (LHS) of Eq. (4);

$$\partial_t \langle \psi_-^2 \rangle = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\partial_{t}\langle\phi_{-}^{2}\rangle = 4D_{\text{eff}}\left\{\frac{\langle\eta_{-}^{2}\rangle}{\Delta\eta^{2}} + \frac{\langle\phi_{-}^{2}\rangle}{\Delta\phi^{2}} + \frac{\langle\psi_{-}^{2}\rangle}{\Delta\psi_{0}^{2}}\right\} + 2\Omega'_{\perp\psi}\langle\psi_{-}\phi_{-}\rangle, \tag{10}$$

$$\partial_t \langle \eta_-^2 \rangle = 2\Omega_{\parallel,b}' \langle \psi_- \eta_- \rangle + 2\Omega_{\parallel\theta}' \langle \eta_-^2 \rangle, \tag{11}$$

$$\partial_{t}\langle\psi_{-}\phi_{-}\rangle = \Omega'_{\perp,t}\langle\psi_{-}^{2}\rangle,\tag{12}$$

$$\partial_t \langle \psi_- \eta_- \rangle = \Omega'_{\parallel, b} \langle \psi_-^2 \rangle + \Omega'_{\parallel \theta} \langle \psi_- \eta_- \rangle, \tag{13}$$

and

$$\partial_{t}\langle \eta_{-}\phi_{-}\rangle = \Omega'_{\perp\psi}\langle \psi_{-}\eta_{-}\rangle + \Omega'_{\parallel\psi}\langle \psi_{-}\phi_{-}\rangle + \Omega'_{\parallel\theta}\langle \eta_{-}\phi_{-}\rangle. \tag{14}$$

Here.

$$\begin{split} \langle A(\eta_{-}, \phi_{-}, \psi_{-}) \rangle \\ &= \int d\eta'_{-} d\phi'_{-} d\psi'_{-} G(\eta_{-}, \phi_{-}, \psi_{-} | \eta'_{-}, \phi'_{-}, \psi'_{-}) \\ &\times A(\eta'_{-}, \phi'_{-}, \psi'_{-}), \end{split}$$

and G is the two point Green's function for the LHS of Eq. (4).

Integration of Eqs. (9)–(14) yields a solution which has the following asymptotic form for $\Delta \omega_T t > 1$:

$$\frac{\langle \phi_{-}^{2} \rangle(t)}{\Delta \phi^{2}} = \left[\frac{\psi_{-}^{2}}{\Delta \psi_{0}^{2}} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\Omega_{\perp \psi}^{\prime}}{\Delta \phi} \frac{\Delta \psi_{0}}{\Delta \omega_{T}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\Omega_{\parallel \psi}^{\prime} \Delta \psi_{0}}{\Delta \eta \Delta \omega_{T}} \right)^{2} \right. \\
\left. \times \left(1 - \frac{\Omega_{\parallel \theta}^{\prime}}{\Delta \omega_{T}} \right)^{2} \right\} + \frac{1}{\Delta \phi^{2}} \left(\phi_{-} + \frac{\Omega_{\perp \psi}^{\prime}}{\Delta \Omega_{T}^{\prime}} \psi_{-} \right)^{2} \\
+ \frac{1}{(1 - 2\Omega_{\parallel \theta}^{\prime} / \Delta \omega_{T}) \Delta \eta^{2}} \\
\times \left(\eta_{-} + \frac{\Omega_{\parallel \psi}^{\prime}}{\Delta \omega_{T} - \Omega_{\parallel \theta}^{\prime}} \psi_{-} \right)^{2} \right] e^{\Delta \omega_{T}^{i}}. \tag{15}$$

Here, $\Omega'_{\parallel\theta} \ll \Delta\omega_T$, implying weak poloidal variation of the parallel angular rotation is assumed. Equation (15) yields the eddy lifetime and is a function of the initial separation between two nearby points,

$$\tau_{\text{eddy}} \approx \Delta \omega_T^{-1} \ln([\cdots]^{-1}), \tag{16}$$

where $[\cdots]$ is the expression multiplying $e^{\Delta \omega_T t}$ on the right-hand side (RHS) of Eq. (15). We recall that Eq. (8) implies $[\cdots] < 1$. The radial correlation length $\Delta r_t \equiv \Delta \psi / RB_\theta$, is reduced by the flow shear relative to its value $\Delta r_0 \equiv \Delta \psi_0 / RB_\theta$, determined by ambient turbulence alone:

$$\left(\frac{\Delta\psi_0}{\Delta\psi}\right)^2 = 1 + \frac{\omega_s^2}{\Delta\omega_T^2}.\tag{17}$$

Therefore, we expect that fluctuation suppression occurs when the decorrelation rate of the ambient turbulence $\Delta \omega_T$ is exceeded by the shearing rate, ω_s :

$$\omega_{s}^{2} = \left(\frac{\Delta\psi_{0}}{\Delta\phi}\right)^{2} \left|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\psi^{2}} \Phi_{0}(\psi)\right|^{2} + \left(\frac{\Delta\psi_{0}}{\Delta\eta}\right)^{2} \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi} \left(\frac{V_{\parallel}}{JB}\right)\right|^{2} / \left|1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(\frac{V_{\parallel}}{JB}\right)\right| / \Delta\omega_{T}^{2}.$$
(18)

III. E×B FLOW SHEAR SUPPRESSION OF FLUTE-LIKE FLUCTUATIONS

For flute-like fluctuations with $\Delta \eta \gg 1$, only the first term $(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})$ flow shear) on the RHS of Eq. (18) is important. It is important to note that this is true regardless of the plasma rotation direction. In particular, even at core region of VH mode where plasma rotates in the toroidal direction, it is $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ flow shear not the parallel flow shear which contributes to the fluctuation suppression. We note that a heuristic approach based only upon modification of the linear propagator¹² has reached a conclusion different from our two-point nonlinear theory. Despite its simplicity, the first term on the RHS of Eq. (18) still contains quantities which are not directly measured experimentally to date. This fact leads to a number of different possibilities in discussing the implications of Eq. (18) for the in-out asymmetry of fluctuation reduction behavior.7 At present, there exists little experimental evidence to determine which version is better. (i) If the ambient flute-like turbulence is such that $\Delta \psi_0$, and $\Delta \phi$ are constant on a given flux surface, the **E**×**B** shearing rate

$$\omega_{sE} = \left(\frac{\Delta \psi_0}{\Delta \phi}\right) \left|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi^2} \Phi_0(\psi)\right|,$$

is also a constant on a given flux surface. This assumption of constant $\Delta\psi_0$ and $\Delta\phi$ is plausible from a purely theoretical point of view (in particular, if the linear eigenmode structure is maintained in nonlinear regime¹³) because the radial width of the linear eigenmode is determined by the spatial variation rate of flux functions in flux unit, for example, magnetic

shear, radial variation of the diamagnetic drift frequency, or the distance between neighboring rational surfaces. (ii) Another possibility comes from more practical considerations, i.e., the constraints set by the experimental arrangements. Since the density fluctuation measurement via microwave scattering is performed for a number of specific values of k_{θ} which is determined by the scattering geometry, and what is measured directly from diagnostics such as reflectometer, or beam emission spectroscopy is the radial correlation length Δr , rather than $\Delta \psi$, it is more useful to write ω_{sE} in terms of $\Delta \Theta$ and Δr . Since flute-like fluctuations align along **B**, we have $\Delta \phi = \nu \Delta \Theta$, where $\Delta \Theta$ is the poloidal correlation angle. Then, $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ shearing rate can be written as

$$\omega_{sE} = \left(\frac{RB_{\theta}\Delta r_0}{\nu\Delta\Theta}\right) \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\psi^2} \Phi_0(\psi) \right|. \tag{19}$$

Then, for fixed $\Delta\Theta$ and Δr_0 , ω_{sE} varies like $RB_{\theta}/\nu \approx (RB_{\theta})^2/rB_{\phi} \propto R^3$, and the shearing rate is significantly higher at the large major radius side. We recall that the origin of the major radius dependence is flux expansion $(\Delta\psi = RB_{\theta}\Delta r)$ and the variation of the local magnetic pitch ν . Future density fluctuation measurements at the small major radius side an shed more light into understanding the in-out asymmetry of fluctuation reduction behavior. It is remarkable that Eq. (19) (with $\nu \approx rB_{\phi}/RB_{\theta}$ and $\Delta\theta = 1/\bar{k}_{\theta}r_0$) has been deduced earlier from the previous cylindrical theory by a proper generalization of the radial derivative to flux coordinates and requiring Φ_0 to be a flux function. In Ref. 2, an approximation

$$\left| \frac{\partial E_r}{\partial r} \right|^2 = \left| RB_{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} RB_{\theta} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial \psi} \right|^2 \approx (RB_{\theta})^2 \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi^2} \Phi_0 \right|^2$$

has been made to deduce Eq. (19) from the previous cylindrical result. Although this approximation is justified at the edge of H mode plasma (the topic in Ref. 2), the radial variation of RB_{H} must be taken into account for fluctuation suppression at the core which occurs at H to VH transition. Our toroidal theory shows that $|RB_{\theta}(\partial^2/\partial\psi^2)\Phi_0|$ rather than $|(\partial/\partial\psi)RB_{\theta}(\partial\Phi_0/\partial\psi)|$ is the correct expression even in the absence of an additional assumption of sharp E_r variation. Recent magnetic braking experiment on VH mode in DIII-D indeed shows that there is a clear reduction in the thermal diffusivity in the same region where the shear in E_r/RB_θ has changed. 15 Another subtle difference is that, while Ref. 2 implies that $RB \not \cup \nu$ on the RHS of Eq. (19) should be evaluated after the fluctuation suppression, the present toroidal theory indicates that RB_{θ}/ν should be the value before the suppression. Although this difference is not significant in most cases, it may lead to quantitative difference in the experiment¹⁶ elongation-ramp or the current-ramp experiment, 17

IV. ROLE OF PARALLEL FLOW SHEAR AND NEUTRAL PARTICLES

For ballooning-like fluctuations with $\Delta \eta \sim \pi$, the second term on the RHS of Eq. (18) is not negligible; the $\partial (V_{\parallel}/JB)/\partial \theta$ term in the denominator produces an up-down asymmetry of the fluctuation suppression criterion and van-

ishes at the midplane. To study the poloidal variation of $\partial(V_{\parallel}/JB)/\partial\psi$, we recall that V_{\parallel} cannot be arbitrary, and must satisfy the constraint, $\nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{V}) = 0$. If the density n is assumed to be a flux function, the parallel flow can be written as $V_{\parallel} = K(\psi)B + \omega(\psi)I(\psi)/B$. Then using $v = IJ/R^2$, we obtain

$$\frac{V_{\parallel}}{JB} = \frac{K(\psi)I(\psi)}{\nu R^2} + \frac{\omega(\psi)}{\nu} \left(\frac{B_{\phi}}{B}\right)^2. \tag{20}$$

Typically the second term on the RHS dominates at tokamak core where plasma rotates mainly in toroidal direction. Meanwhile, the first term tends to be significant at the edge where the poloidal rotation is appreciable. In DIII-D, the local magnetic pitch ν is a lot smaller at the larger major radius side making the shearing rate ω_s larger compared to that at the smaller major radius side. The variation of $1/\nu R^2$ is relatively minor. The poloidal dependence of V_\parallel/JB can be more clearly manifested in the following expression:

$$\frac{V_{\parallel}}{JB} = \frac{\langle V_{\parallel}B\rangle}{JB^2} + K(\psi) \left(\frac{1}{J} - \frac{\langle B^2\rangle}{JB^2}\right),\tag{21}$$

where the bracket indicates the flux-surface average value.

It is well-known that wall conditioning is a key experimental requirement for obtaining enhanced confinement regime such as H mode. In this section, we show that the experimentally observed adverse effects of neutrals are qualitatively consistent with the flow shear suppression scenario. Although it is not entirely clear yet how accurately the neoclassical theory predicts plasma flow at the tokamak edge, we discuss the role of charge-exchange induced drag on parallel flow in modifying the shear suppression criterion in the context of the neoclassical theory prediction.

Neoclassical theory predicts that, at steady state,²⁰

$$K(\psi) = \frac{-\langle \nu_{cx} \rangle}{\nu_{\parallel} \langle B^2 \rangle + \langle \nu_{cx} B^2 \rangle} I(\psi) \omega(\psi), \tag{22}$$

where $\nu_{cx}=n_N\langle\sigma v\rangle_{cx}$ is the charge-exchange rate, and ν_\parallel is the magnetic pumping rate. From Eq. (22), we obtain

$$V_{\parallel} = \omega(\psi)I(\psi)\left(\frac{1}{B} - \frac{B\langle \nu_{cx} \rangle}{\nu_{\parallel}\langle B^2 \rangle + \langle \nu_{cx} B^2 \rangle}\right)$$

which clearly shows that the steady state value of V_{\parallel} is reduced by the charge-exchange induced drag. Consequently, the presence of neutrals makes the flow shear suppression of fluctuation less effective. Furthermore, there is theoretical possibility that ionization process can enhance the fluctuation level of dissipative drift wave turbulence. ^21,22 This effect can also enhance ambient turbulence decorrelation rate $\Delta \omega_T$, making the transition to enhanced confinement regime harder.

Finally, in the absence of experimental indications on the parallel correlation angle $\Delta \eta$, it will be illuminating to contrast the two limiting cases, $\Delta \eta \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta \eta \approx \pi$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank F. L. Hinton, Y. B. Kim, M. S. Chu, L. L. Lao, and G. M. Staebler for useful discussions. They are also grateful to W. M. Tang and V. Chan for encouragement.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073 and the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC03-89ER51114.

¹F. Wagner, G. Becker, K. Behringer, D. Campbell, A. Eberhagen, W. Engelhardt, G. Fussmann, O. Gehre, J. Gerhardt, G. v. Vierke, G. Haas, M. Huang, F. Karger, M. Keilhacker, O. Kluber, M. Kornherr, K. Lackner, G. Lisitano, G. G. Lister, H. M. Mayer, D. Meisel, E. R. Muller, H. Murmann, H. Niedermeyer, W. Poschenrieder, H. Rapp, H. Rohr, F. Schneider, G. Siller, E. Speth, A. Stbler, K. H. Steuer, G. Venus, O. Vollmer, and Z. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1408 (1982).

²K. H. Burrell, T. N. Carlstrom, E. J. Doyle, D. Finkenthal, P. Gohil, R. J. Groebner, D. L. Hillis, J. Kim, H. Matsumoto, R. A. Moyer, T. H. Osborne, C. L. Rettig, W. A. Peebles, T. L. Rhodes, H. St. John, R. D. Stambaugh, M. R. Wade, and J. G. Watkins, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 34, 1859 (1992).

³K. H. Burrell, E. J. Doyle, P. Gohil, R. J. Groebner, J. Kim, R. J. La Haye, L. L. Lao, R. A. Moyer, T. H. Osborne, W. A. Peebles, C. L. Rettig, T. H. Rhodes, and D. M. Thomas, Phys. Plasmas 1, 1536 (1994).

⁴H. Biglari, P. H. Diamond, and P. W. Terry, Phys. Fluids B 2, 1 (1990).

⁵K. C. Shaing, E. C. Crume, Jr., and W. A. Houlberg, Phys. Fluids B 2, 1492 (1990).

⁶T. S. Hahm, Phys. Plasmas 1, 2940 (1994).

⁷T. L. Rhodes, R. J. Taylor, E. J. Doyle, N. C. Luhmann, Jr., and W. A. Peebles, Nucl. Fusion 33, 1787 (1993).

⁸F. Wagner, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 36, A319 (1994).

⁹E. A. Frieman and L. Chen, Phys. Fluids 25, 502 (1982).

¹⁰T. H. Dupree, Phys. Fluids **15**, 334 (1972).

¹¹P. W. Terry and P. H. Diamond, Phys. Fluids 28, 1419 (1985).

¹²K. C. Shaing, Phys. Plasmas 1, 219 (1994).

¹³T. S. Hahm and P. H. Diamond, Phys. Fluids 30, 133 (1987).

¹⁴C. L. Rettig and T. L. Rhodes (private communications, 1994).

¹⁵K. H. Burrell, M. E. Austin, T. N. Carlstrom, S. Coda, E. J. Doyle, P. Gohil, R. J. Groebner, J. Kim, R. J. La Haye, L. L. Lao, J. Lohr, R. A. Moyer, T. H. Osborne, W. A. Peebles, C. L. Rettig, T. L. Rhodes, and D. M. Thomas, in *Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research*, Seville, Spain, September 1994 (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, in press), Paper No. IAEA-CN-60/A-2-I-5.

¹⁶L. L. Lao, J. R. Ferron, T. S. Taylor, K. H. Burrell, V. S. Chan, M. S. Chu, J. C. Deboo, E. J. Doyle, C. M. Greenfield, R. J. Groebner, R. A. James, E. A. Lazarus, T. H. Osborne, H. St. John, E. J. Strait, S. J. Thompson, A. D. Turnbull, D. Wroblewski, H. Zohm, and the DIII-D Team, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3435 (1993).

¹⁷ K. Toi, K. Kawahata, S. Morita, T. Watari, R. Kumazawa, K. Ida, A. Ando, Y. Oka, M. Sakamoto, Y. Hamada, K. Adati, R. Ando, T. Aoki, S. Kidekuma, S. Krokura, O. Kaneko, A. Karita, T. Kawamoto, Y. Kawasumi, T. Kuroda, K. Masai, K. Narihara, Y. Ogawa, K. Ohkubo, S. Okajima, T. Osaki, M. Sasao, K. N. Sato, T. Seki, F. Shimpo, H. Takahashi, S. Tanahashi, Y. Taniguchi, and T. Tsuzuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1895 (1990).

¹⁸S. P. Hirshman and D. J. Sigmar, Nucl. Fusion 21, 1079 (1981).

¹⁹J. Kim, K. H. Burrell, P. Gohil, R. J. Groebner, Y. B. Kim, H. E. St. John, R. P. Seraydarian, and M. E. Wade, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2199 (1994).

²⁰F. L. Hinton (private communications, 1994).

²¹A. S. Ware, P. H. Diamond, H. Biglari, B. A. Carreras, L. A. Charlton, J.-N. Leboeuf, and A. J. Wootton, Phys. Fluids B 4, 877 (1992).

²²M. A. Beer and T. S. Hahm, Phys. Fluids B 4, 2567 (1992).