

1.1

ALGORITHM Counting Inversions ($A[0..n-1]$)

// This algorithm counts the number of inversions in an array using a brute force approach
 // Input: An array $A[0..n-1]$ with n distinct numbers
 // Output: Number of inversions in array A

```
inversions ← 0
for i ← 0 to n-1 do
    for j ← i+1 to n-1 do
        if  $A[i] > A[j]$  then
            inversions ← inversions + 1
return inversions
```

Efficiency

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} 1 &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [(n-1) - (i+1) + 1] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n-1-i) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n-1) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i = (n-1) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i \\ &= (n-1)(n-1) + \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2} = (n-1) \left[(n-1) + \frac{n-2}{2} \right] = (n-1) \frac{n}{2} \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The efficiency class is $\Theta(n^2)$

1.2 ALGORITHM Mergesort ($A[0..n-1]$, $B[0..n-1]$, left, right)
 // Sorts array $A[0..n-1]$ by recursive mergesort
 // Input: An array $A[0..n-1]$ of orderable elements
 // Output: total number of inversions in Array

```

mid <- 0; count1 <- 0; count2 <- 0; count3 <- 0
if right > left then
    mid <- (right + left) / 2
    count1 <- count1 + Mergesort(A[0..n-1], B[0..n-1], left, mid)
    count2 <- count2 + Mergesort(A[0..n-1], B[0..n-1], mid + 1, right)
    count3 <- count3 + Merge(A[0..n-1], B[0..n-1], left, mid + 1, right)

return count1 + count2 + count3
    
```

ALGORITHM Merge($A[0..n-1]$, $B[0..n-1]$, left, mid, right)
 // Merges two sorted arrays into one sorted array
 // Input: Arrays $B[0..p-1]$ and $C[0..q-1]$ both sorted
 // Output: Number of inversions in Array

inversions <- 0; i < left; j < mid; k < left

while $i \leq mid - 1$ and $j \leq right$, do

if $A[i] \leq A[j]$, then

$B[k] \leftarrow A[i]$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

else

$B[k] \leftarrow A[j]$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

$j \leftarrow j + 1$

inversions <- inversions + (mid - i)

while $i \leq mid - 1$, do

$B[k] \leftarrow A[i]$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

while $j \leq right$, do

$B[k] \leftarrow A[j]$

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

$j \leftarrow j + 1$

for $i \leq left$ to $right$, do

$A[i] \leftarrow B[i]$

return inversions

Analysis of the best case

$$C(n) = 2C\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \frac{n}{2}, C(1) = 0$$

$n = 2^k$

$$C(n) = 2C\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \frac{n}{2} = 2[2C\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \frac{n}{4}] + \frac{n}{2}$$

$$= 2^2 C\left(\frac{n}{2^2}\right) + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2} = 2^2 C\left(\frac{n}{2^2}\right) + 2\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$$

$$C(n) = 2^2 C\left(\frac{n}{2^2}\right) + 2\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 2^2 [2C\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + \frac{n}{8}] + 2\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$$

$$= 2^3 C\left(\frac{n}{2^3}\right) + 3\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$$

$$C(n) = 2^i C\left(\frac{n}{2^i}\right) + i\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$$

Let $i = k$

$$C(n) = 2^k C\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) + k\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 2^k C\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) + k\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$$

$$= 2^k C(1) + k\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 2^k \times 0 + k\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$$

$$= k\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$$

$$n = 2^k : k = \log_2 n$$

$$C(n) = k\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} n \log_2 n \in \Theta(n \log n)$$

$$C_{\text{best}}(n) = 2C_{\text{best}}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \frac{n}{2}, C_{\text{best}}(1) = 0$$

$$f(n) = n/2 \in \Theta(n^1), a = 2, b = 2, d = 1$$

$$b^d = 2^1 = 2 = a$$

$$C_{\text{best}}(n) \in \Theta(n^1 \log n) = \Theta(n \log n)$$

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

where $f(n) \in \Theta(n^d)$, $d \geq 0$

Master Theorem

if $a < b^d$, $T(n) \in \Theta(n^d)$

if $a = b^d$, $T(n) \in \Theta(n^d \log n)$

if $a > b^d$, $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$

Comparisons

The brute force algorithm took about 3669ms whereas the recursive divide-and-conquer took about 15ms. This is due to the efficiency of each algorithm. Brute force was $\Theta(n^2)$ and recursive divide-and-conquer was $\Theta(n \log n)$ which is much more efficient for counting inversions. Hence why $\Theta(n \log n)$ was significantly faster than $\Theta(n^2)$ for 60 000 integers.

2.1

ALGORITHM BruteForce(Converx Hull) (P, Pcount)

// This algorithm computes the convex hull from a set of points in a brute force approach
// Input: set of points P and number of points
// Output: Set of points in the convex hull

```
for i <= 0 to Pcount, do
    for j <= 0 to Pcount where P[j] != P[i]
        Compute line segment for P[i] and P[j]
        for k <= 0 to Pcount where P[k] != P[i] and P[k] != P[j]
            if P[k] is on one side of line segment, then
                convexP[k] <= P[k]
            k <= k + 1
return convexP
```

Efficiency

$\Theta(n)$ complexity tests for each $\Theta(n^2)$ edges and for each of $n(n-1)/2$ pairs of distinct points, we may need to find the sign of $ax+by-c$ for each of the other $n-2$ points
 $\therefore \Theta(n^3)$

ALGORITHM Shortest Path (S1, S2, convexP, pCount)

// This algorithm calculates the shortest path between a set of points
// Input: Point S1, Point S2, convex hull, and # of points in the hull
// Output: shortest distance between points

```
first; last; // first is the index of S1 from the hull and last is S2
Startpoint <- first
index <- 0
while Startpoint != last, do
    shortest[index].x <- convexP[Startpoint].x
    shortest[index].y <- convexP[Startpoint].y
    temp <- (Startpoint + 1) % Pcount
    for i <= 0 to Pcount, do
        if orientation(shortest, startpoint, i, temp) = 1, then // clockwise
            temp <- i
        startpoint <- temp
        index <- index + 1
    shortest[index].x <- convexP[startpoint].x
    shortest[index].y <- convexP[startpoint].y
    index <- index + 1
```

```

first; last; // first is the index of S1 from the hull and last is S2
Startpoint2 <= first
index2 < 0
while Startpoint2 != last, do
    shortest[index2].x <= ConvexP[Startpoint2].x
    shortest[index2].y <= ConvexP[Startpoint2].y
    temp2 <= (Startpoint2 + 1) % Pcount
    for i < 0 to Pcount, do
        if orientation(shortest, startpoint2, i, temp2) = 2, then // Counter Clockwise
            temp2 <= i
        Startpoint2 <= temp2
        index2 <= index2 + 1
        shortest[index2].x <= ConvexP[Startpoint2].x
        shortest[index2].y <= ConvexP[Startpoint2].y
        index2 <= index2 + 1

distance1 <= 0
for i < 0 to index - 1, do
    distance1 <= distance1 + sqrt((shortest[i].x - shortest[i + 1].x)2 + (shortest[i].y - shortest[i + 1].y)2)
distance2 <= 0
for i < 0 to index2 - 1, do
    distance2 <= distance2 + sqrt((shortest[i].x - shortest[i + 1].x)2 + (shortest[i].y - shortest[i + 1].y)2)
if distance1 > distance2, then
    return distance2
else return distance1

```

ALGORITHM **orientation** (ConvexP, p, q, r)
// This algorithm finds the orientation of ordered triplet (p, q, r)
// Input: Convex hull points, p, q, r
// Output: 0 → p, q, r are collinear; 1 → clockwise, 2 → counter clockwise

$$\text{Value} \leftarrow (\text{ConvexP}[q].y - \text{ConvexP}[p].y) \cdot (\text{ConvexP}[r].x - \text{ConvexP}[q].x) - (\text{ConvexP}[q].x - \text{ConvexP}[p].x) \cdot (\text{ConvexP}[r].y - \text{ConvexP}[q].y)$$

```

if value = 0, then
    return 0
else if value > 0, then
    return 1 // clockwise
else return 2 // counter clockwise

```

Efficiency

for every point we look at all other points to determine the next.
This means that it is $\Theta(n \cdot h)$ where n is the number of input points and h is the number of hull points.
Worst case would be $\Theta(n^2)$ as all points could be on the hull where $n=h$

2.2 ALGORITHM QuickHull(S)

// This algorithm finds the convex hull from the set S of n points

// Input: Set S of points

// Output: Convex Hull

ConvexHull \leftarrow left and right pointers // guaranteed in the hull

Line LR divides the remaining $n - 2$ points into S_1 and S_2

$S_1 \leftarrow$ right side of line LH

$S_2 \leftarrow$ right side of line RL

result \leftarrow FindHull(S_1, L, R)

result \leftarrow FindHull(S_2, R, L)

return result

ALGORITHM FindHull(S_p, P, Q)

// This algorithm finds points on the hull from S_p points that are on the right side of line P to Q

// Input: Set of points S_p, P, Q

// Output: Points on the hull

C \leftarrow farthest point from segment PQ

ConvexHull \leftarrow C between P and Q

Three points P, Q, and C partition the remaining points of S_p into S_0, S_1, S_2 where S_0 are points inside triangle PCQ

$S_1 \leftarrow$ right side points of line P to C

$S_2 \leftarrow$ right side points of line C to Q

FindHull(S_1, P, C)

FindHull(S_2, C, Q)

NOTE *

- used the same shortest path algorithm for quickhull

Efficiency

$$C_{\text{best}}(n) = 2C_{\text{best}}(n/2) + n \text{ for } n > 1, C_{\text{best}}(1) = 0$$

$$f(n) = n \in \Theta(n^1), a = 2, b = 2, d = 1$$

$$b^d = 2^1 = 2 = a$$

$$C_{\text{best}}(n) \in \Theta(n^d \log n) = \Theta(n \log n)$$

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$$

where $f(n) \in \Theta(n^d), d \geq 0$

Master Theorem

if $a < b^d$, $T(n) \in \Theta(n^d)$

if $a = b^d$, $T(n) \in \Theta(n^d \log n)$

if $a > b^d$, $T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$

The brute force hull took about 25 seconds to compute whereas the quickhull algorithm took 14ms which is because of the $\Theta(n^3)$ vs $\Theta(n \log n)$ time complexity difference