5) Pare Integrals We have seen that one can construct DE for a basis of Fegunan Integrals and solve these order-by-order in E. At last, We want to discuss how to choose a "good" basis, such that the DE simplifies. 5.1 Uniform Transcendality Let us introduce the concept of "uniform transcendality" (ut) We call an integral with expansion  $I_{c} = \varepsilon^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{n} I_{c}^{(n)}$ with matixed integer and I a pure function of transcendate weight is defined by weight is defined by T(rational number) =0, T(T) =1, T(S(u))=4 T(rational function) = 0, T(log(x)) = 1, T(Lin(x)) = 1 and the product is defined by  $T(\mathcal{G}_1\mathcal{F}_2) = T(\mathcal{F}_n) + T(\mathcal{F}_2).$ Thus, a pare integral has the properties  $T(I_{\hat{c}}^{(\alpha)}) = n \left( \frac{1}{1} \left( \frac{2I_{\hat{c}}}{2X} \right) = n - 1 \right)$ 

$$T(I_{i}^{(a)}) = n \cdot T(\frac{\partial I_{i}}{\partial x}) = n - 1$$

Clearly, the integrals we have considered before are not pare? For a basis of at integrals the differential equation takes the

form

$$\frac{\partial \vec{I}}{\partial x_0} = \mathcal{E} A_i(\vec{x}) \vec{I}$$
"canonical DE"

 $arxiv: 1304.1806$ 

The integrability condition simplifies to

$$[Ai(Ai] = 0 \ (\frac{\partial Ai}{\partial xi} - \frac{\partial Ai}{\partial xi}) = 0$$

The latter condition can be read as lath-independence (TXA=0), which tells us that one can write A as a gradient kield

## 5.2 Pare one-loop Basis

At one-loop a general recipe exist to construct a cet basis. We choose the Master integrals according to

Topology	Dineascon	Prefactor
Tadpole	2-28	8
BebbLe	2-28	3
Triangle	4-28	22
Box	4-28	EZ
Pentagon	6-28	$\varepsilon^2$

These basis integrals still need to be maltiplied by a kinematic prefactor, that we will discuss in the following. However, for practical purposes one would like to use integrals in d=u-22. One can show that

 $d=2-2\epsilon$  = - (XX + XX) |  $d=4-2\epsilon$  | d=4-

eshere we have dropped a Rinematic defendent factor.

For our example we therefore define

$$J_3 = e^{p_E} (-s)^{2+C} E^2 I_3$$

In this basis the DE takes the form

$$B_{s} = TA_{s}T^{-1} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial s}T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{5} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/s \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{E}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & -s \\ \frac{2S}{S+E} & \frac{1}{S+E} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B_{s}^{(0)}$$

$$B_{t} = TA_{t}T^{-1} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial e}T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/E \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{E}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/E & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4}S^{2} & \frac{1}{4}S^{2} & \frac{1}{4}S^{2} \\ \frac{1}{4}(S+E) & \frac{1}{4}(S+E) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B_{t}^{(0)}$$

The DE is still not canonical but we can perform Transformation ] = Tz ]

$$T_2 = \exp(-\int ds \, B_s^{(o)}) \exp(-\int dt \, B_t^{(o)}) = \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix}$$
 which brings the DE in canonical form.

$$B_{s}^{i} = \mathcal{E}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/s & 0 \\ \frac{\epsilon}{s(s+\epsilon)} & \frac{1}{s+\epsilon} & \frac{1}{s+\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} \qquad i \qquad B_{e}^{i} = \mathcal{E}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/\epsilon & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{s+\epsilon} & \frac{s}{\epsilon(s+\epsilon)} & \frac{-s}{\epsilon(s+\epsilon)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Introducing again E=X5

$$\left(\frac{\partial \vec{J}}{\partial s}\right)_{x} = 0, \left(\frac{\partial \vec{J}}{\partial x}\right)_{s} = \varepsilon \left[\frac{1}{x}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+x} \right] \vec{J}'$$

As before I' decomples and explicit integration yields

$$J_{1} = 2 - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \epsilon^{2} - \frac{14}{3} \xi(3) \epsilon^{3} - \frac{47}{720} \pi^{4} \epsilon^{4} + \left[ \frac{7}{18} \pi^{2} \xi(3) - \frac{62}{5} \xi(5) \right] \epsilon^{5} + O(\epsilon^{6})$$

$$= 7 J_{1} \text{ is a ClT integral } \epsilon^{3}$$

For Jz we also Rind again

$$\frac{\partial J_2}{\partial x} = -\frac{\mathcal{E}}{x}J_2' = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_2' = \mathcal{B}x^{-\varepsilon} = \mathcal{F}_1'x^{-\varepsilon}$$

$$J_{2}' = 2 - 2 \log(x) \varepsilon + \left[ -\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} + \log^{2}(x) \right] \varepsilon^{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left[ \pi^{2} \log(x) - 2 \log^{2}(x) - 2 8 5(3) \right] \varepsilon^{3} + \dots$$

$$=) J_{2}' \text{ is a cut ontegral}$$

The biggest difference occurrs for the Box integral. When solving the DE again order-69-order in & it reads

$$\frac{\partial \vec{J}}{\partial x} = A_x \vec{J}^{(n-1)}$$

which compared to our first approach, has no homogenous part and can be simply in fegrated

$$\overline{J}^{(u)} = \overline{B}^{(u)} + \int \alpha x \, A_x \, \overline{J}^{(u)} = \overline{B}^{(u-1)}$$

For the Box -integral we explicitly have

We find

$$h = 0$$
;  $J_0 = B^{(6)}$ 

$$\frac{1}{N-1} \cdot J_{3}^{(4)} = B^{(4)} + \int dX \frac{1}{X} \left[ 2 - B^{(6)} \right] + \int dX \frac{1}{1+X} \left[ -4 + B^{(6)} \right] \\
= B^{(4)} + \int dX \frac{1}{X} \left[ 2 - B^{(4)} \right] + \int dX \frac{1}{1+X} \left[ -4 + B^{(6)} \right] \\
= B^{(4)} + \int dX \frac{1}{X} \left[ 2 - B^{(4)} \right] - 2 \log(X)$$

$$\frac{h=2}{J_3} = B^{(2)} + \int dx \frac{7}{x} \left[ -2 \log(x) - B^{(1)} + 2 \log(x) \right] + \int dx \frac{B^{(1)}}{1+x}$$

$$= R^{(2)}$$

and so on. We find

$$J_{3} = 4 - 2\log(x) \mathcal{E} - \frac{417^{2}}{3} \mathcal{E}^{2} + \left[ \frac{717^{2}}{6} \log(x) + \frac{1}{3} \log^{3}(x) - (17^{2} + \log^{3}(x)) \log(1-x) \right] - 2\log(x) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(-x) + 2\operatorname{Li}_{3}(-x) - \frac{34}{3} \mathcal{E}^{3} + \dots$$

which is at?