

## Financial Health Data Report

This Data report summarizes the objectives, methodology, and key results of the financial data analysis project. The overall goal of the project is to develop a **data analysis and scoring system** to evaluate a company's financial health.

---

### 1. Business Understanding

#### Project Objectives and Scope

The project addresses the challenge that many financial professionals rely on intuition or outdated reports, which can lead to poor investment or lending decisions.

- **Main Objective:** To build a data analysis and scoring system that evaluates a company's financial health using real-world financial data.
  - **Specific Goals:**
    1. Collect and preprocess financial data from the **Yahoo Finance API**.
    2. Analyze key financial metrics (e.g., revenue growth, debt-to-equity ratio).
    3. Build a **financial health scoring model**.
    4. Visualize financial insights for easier interpretation.
  - **Success Criteria:** The system must produce **realistic health scores** based on financial fundamentals and output clear, explainable results for all users.
- 

### 2. Data Understanding

#### A. Data Sources

- **Source:** Real financial datasets were fetched directly from the **Yahoo Finance API** using the yfinance library.
  - **Ticker Universe:** The analysis focused on a final universe of **503 tickers**. This selection achieved **100.0% coverage** of the total market capitalization of the identified full universe of companies.
  - **Data Types:** The extracted financial statements include Income Statements, Balance Sheets, and Cash Flow Statements.
-

### 3: Data Preparation

This phase focused on cleaning, standardizing, and merging the raw data into feature-ready tables.

- **Name Resolution:** A fuzzy-matching mechanism (`resolve_item_names()`) was implemented to consistently map desired financial line items to actual, often inconsistent, row names provided by Yahoo Finance.
- **Standardization:** All numeric values were scaled (divided by `1e9`) to represent figures in **billions** for consistency.
- **Missing Data Handling:** All missing numeric values (`Nan`) in the final clean dataframes were replaced with `0` to ensure safe arithmetic during ratio calculations.
- **Integration:** Income, Balance Sheet, and Cash Flow master tables were concatenated and merged based on Ticker and Report Date.

### 4. Modeling

#### Financial Ratios

The analysis computed key financial ratios across four main categories (Profitability, Liquidity, Leverage, and Cash Flow):

- **Profitability Ratios:** Gross Margin, Operating Margin, Net Margin.
- **Liquidity Ratios:** Current Ratio, Quick Ratio (acid-test).
- **Leverage Ratios:** Debt-to-Equity Ratio, Total Debt/Total Assets.
- **Cash Flow Ratios:** Free Cash Flow (FCF), FCF Yield, and CapEx Ratio

#### Financial Risk Scoring (Altman Z-Score)

The core risk assessment was performed using the **Altman Z-Score**, which is designed to predict the probability of a company entering financial distress.

##### A. Z-Score Classification

The Z-Score results were categorized into three risk zones:

Classification	Z-Score Range	Interpretation
Safe	> 2.99	Low risk of financial distress
Grey	1.81 to 2.99	Moderate/Watch list risk

Classification	Z-Score Range	Interpretation
<b>Distress</b>	< 1.81	High probability of distress

#### B. Final Risk Distribution

Based on the analysis of **503 records**, the risk distribution across the universe is as follows:

Risk Category	Ticker Count
<b>Distress</b>	204
<b>Grey</b>	160
<b>Safe</b>	139