## Role in this phase:

- .Use GenAl tools to develop a predictive model for identifying high-risk customers.
- .Choose and justify the best approach—whether decision trees, logistic regression, or another technique.
- .Define a plan to evaluate model performance while ensuring fairness and explainability.

## 1. Key metrics for model evaluation

Each metric provides a different perspective on model effectiveness. It's important to use multiple metrics together rather than relying on a single score:

- **Accuracy** Measures the overall correctness of the model by dividing correct predictions by the total number of cases.
- **Precision (positive predictive value)** Evaluates how many of the customers predicted to be delinquent actually are.
- **Recall (sensitivity)** Measures how many actual delinquent customers were correctly identified by the model. High recall is important when missing a delinquent customer could result in financial loss.
- **F1 score** A weighted balance between precision and recall. It is useful when both false positives and false negatives are costly.
- AUC-ROC curve (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve) –
   Assesses how well the model distinguishes between delinquent and non delinquent customers. A score close to 1 means the model is highly effective at
   ranking risk levels, while a score near 0.5 suggests the model is no better than
   random guessing.
- **Confusion matrix** A visual breakdown of actual vs. predicted classifications. It helps diagnose specific types of errors and determine whether the model is favoring one outcome over another.

# 2. What to do if model performance is poor

If your model is not performing well, there are several ways to improve it:

- **Feature engineering** Adjust the dataset by adding or removing variables that may be impacting model predictions. For example, including customer tenure or past delinquency trends may enhance predictive power.
- **Rebalancing the dataset** If the dataset is highly skewed (e.g., 95% non-delinquent, 5% delinquent), oversampling delinquent cases or undersampling non-delinquent cases can improve results.
- **Trying different models** Some algorithms work better with certain data structures. If logistic regression is underperforming, a decision tree may provide better results.
- **Hyperparameter tuning** Fine-tuning model parameters, such as adjusting the threshold for delinquency classification, can improve precision and recall scores.

#### Bias

Bias occurs when a model **systematically favors or disadvantages certain groups**, often due to historical inequalities or imbalanced data.

#### Common causes of bias:

- **Historical bias** If past lending decisions were unfair, the model may replicate those patterns.
- **Selection bias** If the dataset does not represent all customer demographics equally, predictions may be inaccurate for some groups.
- **Proxy bias** Certain variables (e.g., ZIP code) may unintentionally act as proxies for protected characteristics like race or gender.

# **Explainability**

Explainability ensures that decision-makers can understand and justify a model's predictions.

- Decision trees and logistic regression are more interpretable and show clear decision paths.
- **Neural networks** are highly complex and function as "black boxes," making explainability difficult.
- Analysts use tools like SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations) to break down how different factors contribute to predictions.

#### **Fairness**

#### A fair model should:

- Avoid systematic disadvantages for certain demographic groups.
- Be tested for disparate impact to ensure fairness.
- Use diverse and representative training data to prevent reinforcing biases.

## Utilizing GenAl tools to generate model code and refine predictions

### 1. How GenAl assists in model development

- Generating a model framework A user can request a logistic regression model for predicting delinquency, and GenAl will provide an initial code structure.
   However, it is essential to review, test, and refine the code to ensure correctness and efficiency.
- **Feature selection assistance** GenAl can recommend **which variables** to include based on the dataset. *However, analysts must verify that these selections do not introduce bias or proxy discrimination*.
- **Hyperparameter tuning** Analysts can optimize model performance by asking for parameter adjustments. *While GenAI can suggest modifications*, *empirical testing and expert judgment are necessary to validate improvements*.

### 2. Refining and improving model predictions

After generating a model, it's crucial to **refine predictions** to ensure accuracy and fairness. GenAl tools can:

- Suggest modifications to improve precision and recall.
- Evaluate model outputs and identify overfitting or biases.
- Generate alternative models to compare performance.

## Step1:

Predictive Modeling Pipeline for Credit Delinquency Forecasting

- 1. Model Selection:
- 2. Logistic Regression as the primary model interpretable, efficient, and well-suited for binary classification (delinquent/non-delinquent)
- 3. Random Forest as an alternative captures non-linear relationships and feature interactions while providing feature importance
- 4. Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) for optimal performance handles imbalanced data well and often achieves high accuracy
- 5. Key Input Features (Top 5):
- 6. Missed\_Payments Strongest predictor; directly reflects payment behavior
- 7. Credit\_Utilization High utilization indicates financial stress
- 8. Debt to Income Ratio Measures financial leverage and repayment capacity
- 9. Credit\_Score Reflects creditworthiness and financial history
- 10. Account\_Tenure Longer relationships may indicate lower delinquency risk
- 11. Modeling Workflow:
- Data preprocessing: Handle missing values (already done), encode categorical variables, normalize numerical features
- Feature engineering: Create derived features like payment-to-income ratio, utilization trends
- Train/validation/test split: 70%/15%/15% stratified split to maintain class distribution
- Model training: Fit models on training set with cross-validation for hyperparameter tuning
- Model evaluation: Assess using precision, recall, F1-score, and AUC-ROC (particularly focusing on recall for delinquent cases)
- Model interpretation: Analyze feature importance and partial dependence plots
- Deployment preparation: Save model, create prediction pipeline, establish monitoring procedures
  - 12. Evaluation Metrics:
- Precision: Minimize false alarms (unnecessary interventions)
- Recall: Capture as many delinquent cases as possible
- F1-Score: Balance between precision and recall
- AUC-ROC: Overall model discriminative ability
  - 13. Implementation Considerations:
- Handle class imbalance with SMOTE or class weights
- Regular model retraining with new data
- Monitor for feature drift and model performance degradation

Justification for Logistic Regression in Delinquency Prediction

- 1. Why Logistic Regression is Appropriate:
- Binary Classification Fit: Delinquency prediction is fundamentally a binary problem (delinquent/non-delinquent), which is the sweet spot for logistic regression
- Probabilistic Output: Provides probability scores rather than just classifications, enabling risk ranking of customers for prioritized interventions
- Linear Relationship Assumption: Financial indicators like credit score, debt-to-income ratio, and missed payments have approximately linear relationships with delinquency risk
- 2. Strengths for Geldium's Needs:
- High Interpretability: Each feature's coefficient directly indicates its impact on delinquency risk, crucial for explaining decisions to customers and regulators
- Regulatory Compliance: Meets regulatory requirements for explainable AI in financial services (e.g., GDPR, CCPA)
- Transparency: Easy to document and validate model behavior for internal audits and external examinations
- Computational Efficiency: Fast training and prediction times enable real-time risk scoring during customer interactions
- Stability: Less prone to overfitting with proper regularization, ensuring consistent performance on new data
- 3. Trade-offs and Considerations:

- Limited Non-linear Modeling: May miss complex interactions between features that tree-based models would capture
- Feature Engineering Dependency: Requires thoughtful feature engineering to capture non-linear relationships
- Assumption of Linearity: Assumes log-odds of delinquency change linearly with features, which may not always hold
- 4. Business Alignment:
- Risk Management: Probability outputs enable risk-based pricing and credit limit decisions
- Customer Experience: Interpretability allows customer service representatives to explain credit decisions
- Operational Efficiency: Simple model reduces IT complexity and maintenance costs
- Audit Trail: Clear coefficient values create an auditable trail of model decision factors
- 5. Deployment Advantages:
- Minimal Infrastructure: Doesn't require specialized hardware or complex deployment environments
- Easy Monitoring: Simple metrics and diagnostics facilitate ongoing performance monitoring
- Quick Updates: Model can be retrained quickly with new data as business conditions change
- Integration Friendly: Straightforward to integrate into existing credit decision systems

Step 3:

Model Evaluation Strategy for Delinquency Prediction

- 1. Accuracy Metrics:
- 2. AUC-ROC: Overall discriminative ability (Target: >0.75)
- 3. Precision: Proportion of predicted delinquents that are actually delinquent (Target: >0.60)
- 4. Recall/Sensitivity: Proportion of actual delinquents correctly identified (Target: >0.70)
- 5. F1-Score: Harmonic mean of precision and recall (Target: >0.65)
- 6. Specificity: Proportion of non-delinquents correctly identified (Target: >0.70)
- 7. Accuracy: Overall correct predictions (Target: >0.75)
- 8. Brier Score: Calibration of probability estimates (Target: <0.25)
- 9. Fairness and Bias Metrics:
- Equal Opportunity Difference: (TPR\_minority TPR\_majority) Measures if true positive rates differ across groups
- Demographic Parity Difference: (PPV\_minority PPV\_majority) Measures if positive prediction rates differ
- Equalized Odds Difference: Average of differences in FPR and TPR across groups
- Calibration Gap: Difference in predicted vs actual delinquency rates across groups
- Individual Fairness: Similar individuals should receive similar risk scores
  - 10. Group-Based Evaluation:
- Evaluate metrics across protected attributes: Age groups, Employment\_Status, Location
- Check for disparate impact: No group should have significantly different outcomes
- Analyze performance across Credit\_Card\_Type and Account\_Tenure segments
   11. Interpretation Guidelines:
- AUC < 0.70: Model needs significant improvement
- AUC 0.70-0.75: Model is acceptable but could be improved
- AUC > 0.75: Model has good discriminative ability
- Precision < 0.50: Too many false alarms, adjust threshold
- Recall < 0.60: Missing too many actual delinquents, adjust threshold
- F1-Score < 0.60: Imbalance between precision and recall
- Fairness metrics > 0.10: Potential bias requiring investigation
  - 12. Bias Detection Methods:
- Feature Importance Analysis: Check if protected attributes have undue influence
- Residual Analysis: Identify systematic errors across demographic groups
- Threshold Optimization: Ensure optimal thresholds for different groups
- Adversarial Testing: Test model with edge cases and boundary conditions
  - 13. Monitoring and Improvement Triggers:
- Performance degradation: >10% drop in key metrics over 3 months
- Data drift: >20% change in feature distributions

- Bias emergence: >0.15 increase in fairness metric disparities
- Business impact: Significant increase in customer complaints or regulatory inquiries 14. Reporting Requirements:
- Monthly performance dashboards with all key metrics
- Quarterly fairness assessment reports
- Annual third-party model validation
- Ad-hoc analysis for significant business changes