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TASK1

PROMPT

Write a python program that Refactor the following legacy code to use a cleaner, more **Pythonic list comprehension** while producing the same output.

CODE

numbers = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

squares = [n \*\* 2 for n in numbers]

print(squares)

OUTPUT

[1, 4, 9, 16, 25]

EXPLANATION

The **list comprehension** [n \*\* 2 for n in numbers] is the Pythonic replacement for the explicit for loop and append operation.

1. **for n in numbers**: This part iterates over each element in the numbers list, assigning the value of each element to the temporary variable n.
2. **n \*\* 2**: This is the expression evaluated for each item. It calculates the square of the current value of n.
3. **[ ... ]**: The square brackets indicate that the result of this operation should be collected into a new **list**.

This single line achieves the same result as the three lines of the legacy code (squares = [], the for loop header, and squares.append(...)), making the code more **concise** and **readable**.

TASK-2

PROMPT

Refactor the following Python code, which uses a loop for string concatenation, into a single, more efficient line using the **" ".join()** method. Keep the output identical to the expected result.

CODE

words = ["AI", "helps", "in", "refactoring", "code"]

sentence = ""

for word in words:

    sentence += word + " "

print(sentence.strip())

sentence\_refactored = " ".join(words)

print(sentence\_refactored)

OUTPUT

AI helps in refactoring code

AI helps in refactoring code

EXPLANATION

The **" ".join(words)** method is a single, highly optimized command that replaces the entire for loop, the empty string initialization, and the repeated string concatenation.

1. **Efficiency:** In the legacy code, the line sentence += word + " " creates a brand-new string object in memory in **every single iteration** of the loop. This repeated creation and copying is inefficient. The str.join() method, on the other hand, calculates the final required string size once and builds the complete string directly in memory, which is significantly faster, especially for long lists of words.
2. **Readability:** The code is reduced to one line and clearly states the intent: "Join these words using a space (" ") as the delimiter."
3. **Handling Spaces:** The legacy code required a final call to sentence.strip() to remove the extra space added after the last word. The str.join() method automatically handles this by only inserting the separator **between** the elements, resulting in a clean sentence without needing the extra strip() call.

TASK-3

PROMPT

Refactor the following legacy code, which uses an explicit if/else block to check for a dictionary key, to instead use the **dict.get() method** for a safer and more concise lookup. The default value should be "Not Found."

CODE

student\_scores = {"Alice": 85, "Bob": 90}

if "Charlie" in student\_scores:

    print(student\_scores["Charlie"])

else:

    print("Not Found")

print(student\_scores.get("Charlie", "Not Found"))

OUTPUT

Not Found

Not Found

EXPLANATION

The **dict.get(key, default\_value)** method is the preferred Pythonic way to retrieve a dictionary value when the key's existence is uncertain.

1. **Direct Retrieval:** The legacy code required four lines (if, in, print with bracket access, and else) to handle the missing key scenario.
2. **Conciseness and Safety:** The refactored code does this in a single, safe line. It attempts to look up the key "Charlie":
   * If the key is **found**, it returns the associated value (e.g., 85).
   * If the key is **not found**, it returns the specified **default value**, which is "Not Found".
3. **Avoiding Errors:** Using the unsafe method, student\_scores["Charlie"], would raise a KeyError if the key didn't exist, crashing the program. The .get() method prevents this error gracefully.

TASK-4

PROMPT

Refactor the following legacy code, which uses multiple **if-elif statements** to perform arithmetic operations, into a cleaner, more **scalable Pythonic approach using a dictionary** to map operation names to corresponding functions or lambda expressions.OMPT

CODE

operation = "multiply"

a, b = 5, 3

if operation == "add":

    result = a + b

elif operation == "subtract":

    result = a - b

elif operation == "multiply":

    result = a \* b

else:

    result = None

print(result)

operations = {

    "add": lambda x, y: x + y,

    "subtract": lambda x, y: x - y,

    "multiply": lambda x, y: x \* y,

}

result\_refactored = operations.get(operation, lambda x, y: None)(a, b)

print(result\_refactored)

OUTPUT

15

15

EXPLANATION

This refactoring replaces the sprawling if-elif-else block with a single **dictionary lookup**, dramatically improving code cleanliness and **scalability** (adding a new operation only requires adding one entry to the dictionary, not a new elif block).

1. **operation\_map Dictionary:** This dictionary stores the logic. Each **key** is the string identifier (e.g., "multiply"), and the corresponding **value** is a **lambda function** that performs the actual math (e.g., lambda x, y: x \* y).
2. **dict.get(operation, default\_func):** This is the core of the refactoring.
   * It retrieves the function associated with the operation string ("multiply").
   * The second argument, lambda x, y: None, acts as a safe **default** for the else: block in the original code. If a non-existent operation is requested, func will be set to this default function, ensuring a safe return of None.
3. **result = func(a, b):** Finally, the retrieved function (func) is **executed** by passing it the variables a (5) and b (3), which calculates the result (15).

TASK-5

PROMPT

Refactor the following legacy code, which uses an explicit **for loop and a break** statement to check for the presence of an item in a list, to use the more idiomatic and efficient **in keyword** for the search operation.

CODE

items = [10, 20, 30, 40, 50]

found = False

for i in items:

    if i == 30:

        found = True

        break

print("Found" if found else "Not Found")

print("Found" if 30 in items else "Not Found")

OUTPUT

Found

Found

EXPLANATION

The **in keyword** is the standard and most efficient way to check for element membership in any Python iterable (like lists, tuples, or sets).

1. **Efficiency and Readability:** The legacy code manually simulates a search by looping, checking, and setting a flag (found = True) before using break. The refactored code replaces this entire four-line block with the concise and readable expression: **found = target in items**.
2. **Boolean Result:** The expression target in items directly evaluates to a **boolean value** (True if the item is found, False otherwise), which can be directly assigned to the found variable.
3. **Simplicity:** This method is not only cleaner but is also often **optimized** internally by Python's interpreter, making it as fast or faster than a manually coded loop.
4. **Conditional Print:** The final print("Found" if found else "Not Found") line remains a good Pythonic practice, cleanly condensing the final if/else logic into a single expression.