

*Computational Optimal Transport – Project report:*  
Optimal Transport and Entropic methods for solving  
variational Mean-Field Games

Wilson JALLET

November 3, 2019

## 1 General setting: variational mean-field games

A mean-field game [8, 9] is a strategic decision-making problem with a very large, continuously-distributed number of interacting agents inside a state space: the overall theory developed by Lasry and Lions can be used as a means to model large, computationally intractable games. In the continuous-time setting explored in [9], each agent evolves according to some dynamics and makes choices, but the response to his choices are affected by the states and choices of the numerous other agents – leading to a so-called *differential game* – through a *mean-field* effect.

Several ways of modeling agent cross-interaction exist. More recently, [3] have focused on games where agent interactions take a variational form, allowing to penalize phenomena such as congestion inside areas of the agent state space.

The (Nash) equilibrium agent-control dynamics can be summarized by the system of coupled nonlinear partial differential equations:

$$-\partial_t u - \frac{1}{2}\Delta u + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 = f[\rho_t] \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega \quad (1a)$$

$$\partial_t \rho_t - \frac{1}{2}\Delta \rho_t - \operatorname{div}(\rho_t \nabla u) = 0 \quad (1b)$$

$$\rho_0 \text{ given} \quad (1c)$$

$$u(T, \cdot) = g[\rho_T] \quad (1d)$$

where and  $t \mapsto \rho_t$  is a trajectory in the space of measures, and  $\Omega$  is the standard Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The applications  $f$  and  $g$  are supposed to be derivatives of some real-valued functionals  $F$  and  $G$ . For instance, if  $G(\mu) = \int_{\Omega} \Psi d\mu(x)$  then its derivative is  $g[\mu](x) = \Psi(x)$ .

The equations (1a)–(1b) form a coupled system of control (Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman) and diffusion (forward Kolmogorov) equations.

### 1.1 The variational problem

The first idea of [3] is to cast the MFG partial differential equations to a variational problem over an appropriate function space. Denote  $\mathbb{W}_2(\Omega) = (\mathcal{P}_2(\Omega), \mathcal{W}_2)$  the set of probability measures with finite second moment, equipped with the Wasserstein metric

$$\mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \nu)^2 = \inf_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int |x - y|^2 d\gamma \quad (2)$$

where  $\Pi(\mu, \nu) = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_2(\Omega \times \Omega) : P_{\#}^1 \gamma = \mu, P_{\#}^2 \gamma = \nu\}$  is the set of transport plans from  $\mu$  to  $\nu$ . Then,  $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{W}_2(\Omega))$  is the Wiener space of continuous  $\mathbb{W}_2$ -valued trajectories. Benamou, Carlier, and Santambrogio [3] show that the MFG be reformulated to the following variational problem:

$$\inf_{\rho, v} J(\rho, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |v_t|^2 d\rho_t(x) dt + \int_0^T F(\rho_t) dt + G(\rho_T) \quad (3a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \partial_t \rho_t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \rho_t + \text{div}(\rho_t v) = 0 \quad (3b)$$

$$\rho_0 \in \mathbb{W}_2(\Omega) \quad (3c)$$

where  $\rho = (\rho_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{W}_2(\Omega))$  is a trajectory in  $\mathbb{W}_2$  and  $v$  is a sufficiently regular function on  $[0, T] \times \Omega$  (most likely a Sobolev space).

Benamou et al. [4] also introduce the following partial problem:

$$\text{FP}_h(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\rho, v} \int_0^h \int_{\Omega} |v_t|^2 d\rho_t(x) dt \quad \text{s.t. } \partial_t \rho_t - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \rho_t + \text{div}(\rho_t v), \rho_0 = \mu, \rho_h = \nu \quad (4)$$

It can be used to connect approximations of the solution measure to our MFG problem at discrete times  $t_k = kh$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, N$ .

This point of view [3] is called *Eulerian*: we minimize over both the velocity  $v$  and the time-trajectory of the agents' density  $\rho$ . It is not very practical because of the structure of the constraint (a Fokker-Planck equation). Instead, we could minimize over measures in the space of individual agents' trajectories, which is the base of the *Lagrangian* formulation [2, 3] proposed by Benamou, Carlier, and Santambrogio and that we explore in the sequel.

## 2 Lagrangian dual formulation

### 2.1 Wiener space and measure

This new point of view involves a change in function spaces. We denote  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}([0, T], \Omega)$  the Wiener space of (agents') trajectories  $[0, T] \rightarrow \Omega$ . Following [3, 2], we equip it with the Wiener measure (the law of a Wiener process with any starting point  $x$ )

$$R = \int_{\Omega} \delta_{x+W} dx$$

where  $W$  is a standard Wiener process in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . It is an analogue in the space  $\mathcal{X}$  to the usual finite-dimensional Lebesgue measure<sup>1</sup>.

Measures  $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  can also be seen as trajectories  $(Q_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{P}(\Omega))$ , with

$$Q_t = e_{t\#} Q \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$$

the push-forward of  $Q$  by the evaluation map  $e_t: \xi \in \mathcal{X} \mapsto \xi(t)$ . This naturally defines an injection  $i: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{P}(\Omega))$ . We also introduce the more general marginals  $Q_{t_1, \dots, t_n} = (e_{t_1}, \dots, e_{t_n})_{\#} Q$  for  $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T$ .

<sup>1</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Infinite-dimensional\\_Lebesgue\\_measure](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Infinite-dimensional_Lebesgue_measure)

**Marginals of the Wiener measure  $R$ .** We introduce the heat kernel  $G_t(u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|u|^2}{2t}\right)$ . In particular,  $R_t$  is the Lebesgue measure  $\mathcal{L}^d$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and

$$R_{s,t}(dx, dy) = G_{t-s}(x - y) dx dy. \quad (5)$$

Benamou, Carlier, and Santambrogio [3] and Benamou and Carlier [2] then re-cast the Eulerian variational game (3) into a so-called Lagrangian optimization problem over the set of Borel probability measures (more specifically that associated with the Sobolev subspace  $H^1$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ ). This new problem is solved in [3] using a finite element method, which is computationally expensive.

## 2.2 The entropic Lagrangian approach

Instead, Benamou et al. [4] propose using an entropy minimization approach to allow for a more computationally efficient method adapted from the Sinkhorn algorithm [6] developed by Cuturi.

This method, just like the Sinkhorn for OT between histograms (discrete measures), introduces some sort of entropic regularization [4], but this time on the measure over the trajectory space  $\mathcal{X}$ . The resulting numerical algorithm becomes a regularization of the Lagrangian from [3, 2].

For all measures  $Q$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  admitting a density with respect to  $R$ , we define the relative entropy

$$H(Q|R) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \ln\left(\frac{dQ}{dR}\right) dQ(\xi) \quad (6)$$

The entropic Lagrangian variational problem is

$$\inf_{Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} H(Q|R) + \int_0^T F(Q_t) dt + G(Q_T), \text{ s.t. } Q_0 = \rho_0 \quad (7)$$

**Partial transport problem** Benamou et al. provide another partial transport problem:

$$S_h(\mu, \nu) = \inf \{H(Q|R) : Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}([0, h], \Omega)), Q_0 = \mu, Q_h = \nu\} \quad (8)$$

This problem can be seen as a continuous OT problem between the two measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . Benamou et al. [4] show that it is linked to the partial Eulerian problem (4) as

$$S_h(\mu, \nu) = \text{FP}_h(\mu, \nu) + \text{Ent } \mu.$$

The dimensionality of problem (8) can be greatly simplified; according to [4] we can rewrite it as a static OT problem

$$S_h(\mu, \nu) = \inf \{H(\gamma|R_{0,h}) : \gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)\}. \quad (9)$$

## 3 Numerical algorithm

Let  $N$  be the number of discrete steps for the time discretization of the problem, and  $h = T/N$  the time step.

We consider the following multi-marginal OT problem

$$\mathcal{S}(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_N) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_N)} H(\gamma|R^N) \quad (10)$$

where  $t_k = kh$ ,  $R^N = R_{t_0, \dots, t_N}$  and the marginals  $\mu_k \in \mathcal{P}_2(\Omega)$ . Then, define

$$\mathcal{U}(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_N) = h \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} F(\mu_k) + G(\mu_N).$$

Thus, the discretized entropy minimization problem can be written as

$$\inf \{ \mathcal{S}(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_N) + \mathcal{U}(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_N) : \mu_k \in \mathcal{P}_2(\Omega), \mu_0 = \rho_0 \}.$$

Expanding the inf-within-inf leads to the following convex optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega^{N+1})} & H(\gamma | R^N) + \iota_{\rho_0}(\mu_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} F(\mu_k) + G(\mu_N) \\ \text{s.t. } & \mu_k = P_{\#}^k \gamma \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $\iota_{\rho_0}(\mu) = +\infty$  if  $\mu \neq \rho_0$  and 0 otherwise is the convex indicatrix of the measure  $\rho_0$ . This is a generalized multimarginal optimal transport problem.

Benamou et al. [4] provide the corresponding dual problem involving the convex conjugates and potential functions, by using a multimarginal generalization of a result from Chizat et al. [5]:

$$\sup_u -\iota_{\rho_0}^*(-u_0) - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} F^*(-u_k) - G^*(-u_N) - \int_{\Omega^{N+1}} (\exp(\oplus_{k=0}^N u_k) - 1) dR^N \quad (12)$$

where the supremum is taken over  $u = (u_0, \dots, u_N) \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{N+1}$ .

Benamou et al. [4] introduce a Sinkhorn-like iterative algorithm to solve the above dual problem. We rewrite it more explicitly with slightly different notations inspired by [5]

#### Algorithm 1

Denote for  $k = 0, \dots, N$  and  $(a_j)_{j \neq k}$

$$\mathcal{I}_k((a_j)_{j \neq k})(z_k) = \int_{\Omega^N} \prod_{j \neq k} a_j(x_j) dR^N(x_{0:k-1}, z_k, x_{k+1:N})$$

the integral operator on the functions  $a_j, j \neq k$  with respect to  $R^N$  and variables  $x_j, j \neq k$ . For convenience we use the shorthand for the iterates

$$\mathcal{I}_k^{(n)} = \mathcal{I}_k \left( \left( e^{u_j^{(n+1)}} \right)_{j < k}, \left( e^{u_j^{(n)}} \right)_{j > k} \right)$$

for the  $n$ th iterate.

Then we compute the dual potentials iteratively:

$$\begin{cases} u_0^{(n+1)} = \operatorname{argmax}_{v \in L^\infty} -\iota_{\rho_0}^*(-v) - \int_{\Omega} e^{v(x_0)} \mathcal{I}_0^{(n)} dx_0 \\ u_k^{(n+1)} = \operatorname{argmax}_{v \in L^\infty} -hF^*(-v) - \int_{\Omega} e^{v(x_k)} \mathcal{I}_k^{(n)} dx_k, \quad 1 \leq k < N \\ u_N^{(n+1)} = \operatorname{argmax}_{v \in L^\infty} -G^*(-v) - \int_{\Omega} e^{v(x_N)} \mathcal{I}_N^{(n)} dx_N \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

until convergence.

By strong duality, the iterates  $u_k^{(n)}$  satisfy

$$a_k^{(n)} = \frac{\text{prox}_{F_k}^{\text{KL}}(\mathcal{I}_k^{(n)})}{\mathcal{I}_k^{(n)}} \quad (14)$$

where  $a_k^{(n)} = \exp(u_k^{(n)})$  and

$$\text{prox}_F^{\text{KL}}(z) = \underset{s \in L^1}{\text{argmin}} F(s) + \text{KL}(s|z).$$

#### Remark 1 (*Some convex conjugates*)

In practice, the convex conjugates of the cost functions are difficult to compute. For some of the examples in the paper, we have closed-form conjugates.

- The conjugate of the convex indicatrix  $\iota_\nu$  of any given measure  $\nu$  is given by  $\iota_\nu^*(u) = \langle u, \nu \rangle$ .

- The hard congestion constraint  $C_{\bar{m}}(\rho) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \rho \leq \bar{m} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ , has convex conjugate

$$C_{\bar{m}}^*(u) = \sup_{\rho \leq \bar{m}} \langle \rho, u \rangle = \bar{m} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

- Obstacle constraints, given by

$$F(\rho) = \int_{\Omega} V(x) d\rho(x)$$

where  $V$  is the convex indicatrix of a set of obstacles  $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega$ . Its conjugate is given for  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  by

$$F^*(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u \leq 0 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus \mathcal{O} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### 3.1 Full discretization

For full numerical implementation, all measures are replaced by multi-dimensional arrays representing discrete histograms over a fixed grid of points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of dimensionality  $M = N_1 \times \dots \times N_d$ . Integration is exchanged with summation.

In the general case, the KL-projections in the Sinkhorn iterations can be solved using the Python library CVXPY<sup>2,3</sup>. Some can be computed explicitly.

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/cvxgrp/cvxpy>

<sup>3</sup>Steven Diamond and Stephen Boyd. “CVXPY: A Python-Embedded Modeling Language for Convex Optimization”. In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 17.83 (2016), pp. 1–5.

**Remark 2**

The KL-projection  $\mu^* = \text{prox}_{C_m}^{\text{KL}}(\beta)$  for the hard congestion function of a measure  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^M$  is given by

$$\mu_i^* = \min(\beta_i, \bar{m}_i) \quad (15)$$

for all points  $i$  in the grid.

## 4 Examples

### 4.1 Crowd congestion

## References

- [1] Yves Achdou, Fabio Camilli, and Italo Capuzzo-Dolcetta. “Mean Field Games: Convergence of a Finite Difference Method”. In: *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* 51 (2013), pp. 2585–2612. DOI: [10.1137/120882421](https://doi.org/10.1137/120882421). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01456506>.
- [2] Jean-David Benamou and Guillaume Carlier. “Augmented Lagrangian Methods for Transport Optimization, Mean Field Games and Degenerate Elliptic Equations”. In: *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 167 (Mar. 2015). DOI: [10.1007/s10957-015-0725-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10957-015-0725-9).
- [3] Jean-David Benamou, Guillaume Carlier, and Filippo Santambrogio. “Variational Mean Field Games”. working paper or preprint. Mar. 2016. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01295299>.
- [4] Jean-David Benamou et al. *An entropy minimization approach to second-order variational mean-field games*. 2018. arXiv: [1807.09078](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.09078) [math.OC].
- [5] Lenaïc Chizat et al. *Scaling Algorithms for Unbalanced Transport Problems*. 2016. arXiv: [1607.05816](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.05816) [math.OC].
- [6] Marco Cuturi. “Sinkhorn Distances: Lightspeed Computation of Optimal Transport”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 26*. Ed. by C. J. C. Burges et al. Curran Associates, Inc., 2013, pp. 2292–2300. URL: <http://papers.nips.cc/paper/4927-sinkhorn-distances-lightspeed-computation-of-optimal-transport.pdf>.
- [7] Steven Diamond and Stephen Boyd. “CVXPY: A Python-Embedded Modeling Language for Convex Optimization”. In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 17.83 (2016), pp. 1–5.
- [8] Jean-Michel Lasry and Pierre-Louis Lions. “Jeux à champ moyen. I – Le cas stationnaire”. In: *Comptes Rendus Mathématique* 343.9 (2006), pp. 619–625. ISSN: 1631-073X. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2006.09.019>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1631073X06003682>.
- [9] Jean-Michel Lasry and Pierre-Louis Lions. “Jeux à champ moyen. II – Horizon fini et contrôle optimal”. In: *Comptes Rendus Mathématique* 343.10 (2006), pp. 679–684. ISSN: 1631-073X. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2006.09.018>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1631073X06003670>.