- 1 CS342: OS Lab
- 2 Department of CSE,
- 3 IIT, Guwahati, Assam 781 039

Exercise 04

4

- 5 OS Lessons: Interrupts, System calls, Exit Status, Return Value
- 6 Rating: Moderate

7

- 8 Please do not start on this exercise until you have successfully completed Exercise 03.
- 9 Attempting to progress without a good understanding of the solutions needed in the exercise is
- 10 likely to be expensive on your time and efforts.
- 11 Task for the Exercise:
- 12 System calls are user program's requests to the kernel to perform some service. User programs
- are usually prevented from performing these activities directly because their actions may affect
- the other processes (user programs and even the kernel) running on the system. The kernel
- provides the coordinating authority to oversee these activities.
- 16 A consequence of this constrain is that the kernel must work in a robust isolation from the user
- program that has demanded the service. Thus, kernel and user stacks are separate entities.
- 18 At the same time, the kernel needs to receive system-call requests and their arguments to
- 19 provide the requested services. Further, the kernel needs a way to communicate the results
- 20 and service status information back to the user programs (or processes). So we need
- 21 communication channels between the kernel and the user virtual address spaces. To better
- 22 understand the issues at hand, these sections in PintDoc are definitely your essential readings:
- Section 3.1.5 Accessing User Memory,
- Section 3.4.2 System Calls FAQ,
- Section 3.5.2 System Call Details,
- Section 3.3.2 Process Termination Messages,
- Appendix A.4 Interrupt Handling,
- Appendix A.4.1 Interrupt Infrastructure,
- Appendix A4.2 Internal Interrupt Handling
- 30 It is important that you take advantage of the program pattern that PintOS code (See function
- 31 run actions () in file threads/init.c) uses to call functions. The pattern maintains an
- 32 array of pointers to functions. The system call number is used as index in the array to jump to
- the appropriate function implementing the system call. This is not just efficient, it is less

- 34 vulnerable to errors and eases the task of adding new functions later. The pattern also keeps
- 35 the implementation of each system call in a separate function. Function
- userprog/syscall.creferred to in Section 3.3.4 System Calls becomes a convenient
- 37 arrival and departure point for all system calls.
- 38 Your first and very easy task is to create the skeleton functions for each of the system call
- 39 mentioned in User Program project and provide a way to call the right function for each system
- 40 call number for which a request arrives at function syscall handler () in file
- 41 userprog/syscall.c. Each of these functions can initially be set to mirror the older
- 42 behavior of function syscall handler().
- 43 Goal for the exercise is to implement and have (at least) the following system calls (see section
- 44 3.3.4 System Calls) running: halt(), exit(), and write() on stdout (fd = 1). This will
- 45 give you at least 10 working test cases on make check command.
- The write system call requires your implementation to return a value back to the user program.
- 47 The task needs an arrangement that would need a bit of your attention.
- 48 System call halt () is easy to implement once you work out a small correction you need to
- make in the specification given on page 29.
- 50 System call exit () requires whole of the advice given in Section 3.2 Suggested Order of
- 51 *Implementation* implemented and a bit more.
- 52 Our advice is to implement function process wait () in file process.c to work as
- 53 follows:
- While the thread associated with child\_tid is not in state THREAD\_DYING call
  thread\_yield() to avoid spin wait. However, you need a small but subtle change in
  the provided kernel code to have this part working. This change relates to an allocated
  resource and when it is safe to release the resource. For the present we may decide to
- keep it instead of deallocating the resource.
- In the last exercise of *User Program* project we will revisit the issue of the resource deallocation more earnestly. Unallocated (also called, leaked) resources degrade the Kernel's ability to host multiple threads/processes over time. Every allocated resource
- 62 need to be deallocated if the kernel need to sustain vitality indefinitely.
- 63 Many a times, PintDoc makes an important suggestion without stressing its importance. The
- second paragraph after description of system call close on page 32 is a good advice. It says
- 65 that the addresses provided to the system calls by the user program must be carefully checked.
- 66 PintDoc recommendation is to do so now. The sanitation has two parts. First, determine that

- 67 the address is a valid user virtual address. Second part is to ensure that the address does
- translate into a real physical address. If either condition is violated, the address is a likely source
- 69 of trouble for the kernel integrity and stability.
- 70 You must create useful functions in file syscall.c to test the validity of the addresses passed
- 71 as arguments in the system calls. The arguments to be tested for valid address and nature of
- 72 the test differs for each system call. It suffices at this stage to only exercise this test for the
- 73 system calls you have implemented in this exercise.
- 74 You may continue to implement other system calls related to files if you wish. Or you may wait
- 75 for the next exercise. We recommend that you leave system calls <code>exec()</code>, <code>wait()</code> for the last
- exercise. This also may apply to the task described in Section 3.3.5 *Denying Writes to*
- 77 Executables.

83

## 78 Our experiences with make check:

- 79 Once again, we have tried to revert our implementation to the stage at the completion of this
- 80 exercise to give you an indication of what your program should be able to perform at this stage.
- You must expect some small variations between your achievements and the one listed here.
- 82 However, we are in less than 50 failed tests range.

```
84
    [vmm@progsrv build] $ make check
    pass tests/userprog/args-none
85
    pass tests/userprog/args-single
86
87
    pass tests/userprog/args-multiple
    pass tests/userprog/args-many
88
89
    pass tests/userprog/args-dbl-space
    pass tests/userprog/sc-bad-sp
90
    pass tests/userprog/sc-bad-arg
91
92
    pass tests/userprog/sc-boundary
93
    pass tests/userprog/sc-boundary-2
94
    pass tests/userprog/halt
95
    pass tests/userprog/exit
    FAIL tests/userprog/create-normal
96
97
    pass tests/userprog/create-empty
    FAIL tests/userprog/create-null
98
99
    FAIL tests/userprog/create-bad-ptr
```

- 102 FAIL tests/userprog/create-bound
- 103 FAIL tests/userprog/open-normal
- 104 FAIL tests/userprog/open-missing
- 105 FAIL tests/userprog/open-boundary

```
106
     FAIL tests/userprog/open-empty
107
     pass tests/userprog/open-null
108
     FAIL tests/userprog/open-bad-ptr
109
     FAIL tests/userprog/open-twice
     FAIL tests/userprog/close-normal
110
111
     FAIL tests/userprog/close-twice
112
     pass tests/userprog/close-stdin
113
     pass tests/userprog/close-stdout
     pass tests/userprog/close-bad-fd
114
115
     FAIL tests/userprog/read-normal
116
     FAIL tests/userprog/read-bad-ptr
     FAIL tests/userprog/read-boundary
117
118
     FAIL tests/userprog/read-zero
119
     pass tests/userprog/read-stdout
120
     pass tests/userprog/read-bad-fd
121
     FAIL tests/userprog/write-normal
122
     FAIL tests/userprog/write-bad-ptr
123
     FAIL tests/userprog/write-boundary
124
     FAIL tests/userprog/write-zero
125
     pass tests/userprog/write-stdin
126
     pass tests/userprog/write-bad-fd
127
     FAIL tests/userprog/exec-once
128
     FAIL tests/userprog/exec-arg
129
     FAIL tests/userprog/exec-multiple
     FAIL tests/userprog/exec-missing
130
131
     pass tests/userprog/exec-bad-ptr
132
     FAIL tests/userprog/wait-simple
133
     FAIL tests/userprog/wait-twice
134
     FAIL tests/userprog/wait-killed
135
     pass tests/userprog/wait-bad-pid
136
     FAIL tests/userprog/multi-recurse
     FAIL tests/userprog/multi-child-fd
137
138
     FAIL tests/userprog/rox-simple
139
     FAIL tests/userprog/rox-child
140
     FAIL tests/userprog/rox-multichild
     pass tests/userprog/bad-read
141
142
     pass tests/userprog/bad-write
143
     pass tests/userprog/bad-read2
144
     pass tests/userprog/bad-write2
145
     pass tests/userprog/bad-jump
146
     pass tests/userprog/bad-jump2
     FAIL tests/userprog/no-vm/multi-oom
147
148
     FAIL tests/filesys/base/lq-create
149
     FAIL tests/filesys/base/lq-full
150
     FAIL tests/filesys/base/lg-random
151
     FAIL tests/filesys/base/lq-seq-block
     FAIL tests/filesys/base/lq-seq-random
152
```

- FAIL tests/filesys/base/sm-create 153 FAIL tests/filesys/base/sm-full 154 FAIL tests/filesys/base/sm-random 155 FAIL tests/filesys/base/sm-seq-block 156 FAIL tests/filesys/base/sm-seq-random 157 158 FAIL tests/filesys/base/syn-read FAIL tests/filesys/base/syn-remove 159 FAIL tests/filesys/base/syn-write 160 161 47 of 76 tests failed. make: \*\*\* [check] Error 1 162 163 [vmm@progsrv build]\$ 164
- 165 <u>Contributing Authors:</u>
- 166 Vishv Malhotra, Gautam Barua, Rashmi Dutta Baruah