

# Cricket Ball Detection & Tracking System

## Detailed Technical Report

### 1. Introduction

This project aims to build a **robust cricket ball detection and tracking system** using video input from a **single static camera**. The system detects the ball in each frame, computes its centroid, tracks it across time, handles missed detections, and produces both a **per-frame annotation file** and a **visualized trajectory video**.

The design emphasizes:

- Reproducibility
  - Clear failure handling
  - Industry-standard modelling choices
  - Practical robustness over theoretical complexity
- 

### 2. Modelling Decisions

#### 2.1 Detection Model Selection

**Model chosen:** YOLOv8 (single-class detection)

**Reasoning:**

- Cricket ball is a **small, fast-moving object**
- YOLOv8 provides:
  - Strong small-object detection
  - Real-time inference
  - Easy training and deployment
- Widely accepted in production CV pipelines

**Output used from detector:**

- Bounding box (x1, y1, x2, y2)
- Centroid computed as:

$$(x_c, y_c) = \left( \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}, \frac{y_1 + y_2}{2} \right)$$

---

## 2.2 Tracking & Temporal Smoothing

**Tracker used:** Kalman Filter (constant velocity model)

### Why Kalman Filter?

- YOLO detections are frame-independent and noisy
- Kalman filter:
  - Smooths jitter
  - Predicts motion during occlusion
  - Maintains trajectory continuity

**State vector:**

$$[x, y, v_x, v_y]$$

This allows the tracker to estimate both position and motion of the ball.

---

## 2.3 Why Not Deep Tracking Models?

- The problem constraints assume:
    - Single object
    - Static camera
  - Kalman filter is:
    - Simpler
    - More interpretable
    - Easier to debug
  - Deep trackers (DeepSORT, ByteTrack) were unnecessary overhead
- 

## 3. Fallback Logic & Robustness

The system is explicitly designed to **not hallucinate detections**.

### 3.1 Detection Fallback

Scenario	System Behavior
Ball detected	Use YOLO centroid → Kalman update
Ball not detected	No update, visibility = 0
Short occlusion	Kalman predicts internally
Long occlusion	Trajectory naturally stops

---

### 3.2 Visibility Flag Logic

Each frame outputs:

- visible = 1 → Ball confidently detected
- visible = 0 → Ball not visible / occluded

Coordinates for invisible frames:

x = -1

y = -1

This avoids introducing false ground truth.

---

## 4. Assumptions Made

The following assumptions were explicitly made and documented:

1. **Single ball present** at any time
2. **Static camera** (no pan/tilt/zoom)
3. **Reasonable lighting conditions**
4. Ball visible for at least short continuous segments
5. No extreme motion blur

These assumptions match real-world broadcast cricket analytics scenarios.

---

## 5. Example Outputs

### 5.1 Annotation File (CSV)

frame,x,y,visible



0,512.3,298.1,1

1,518.7,305.4,1

2,-1,-1,0

3,530.1,315.2,1

## 5.2 Processed Video

-  Red dot → current centroid
-  Blue line → trajectory history

The trajectory is cumulative and visually smooth due to Kalman filtering.

---

## 6. Issues Encountered & Fixes Applied

This section documents **real issues** and **how they were solved**.

---

### Issue 1: False Positives (Shoes, Gloves, White Objects)

#### Cause:

- Generic pretrained YOLO model
- Visually similar objects to cricket ball

#### Fixes Applied:

- Trained YOLO on **domain-specific cricket ball dataset**
- Increased confidence threshold (CONF\_THRESH)
- Reduced IOU tolerance

#### Result:

- Significant reduction in false detections
  - Cleaner trajectory
- 

### Issue 2: Trajectory Jitter

#### Cause:

- Frame-wise detection noise
- Small bounding box variations

**Fix:**

- Introduced Kalman filter smoothing
- Tuned measurement noise (R) and process noise (Q)

**Result:**

- Smooth, physically plausible trajectory
  - Stable centroid path
- 

**Issue 3: Missed Detections During Occlusion****Cause:**

- Ball temporarily hidden behind player or pitch

**Fix:**

- Kalman prediction maintained internal state
- Visibility flag set to 0 (no fake output)

**Result:**

- No false annotations
  - Trajectory continuity preserved visually
- 

**Issue 4: .mov File Incompatibility on Windows****Cause:**

- OpenCV codec limitations on Windows

**Fix:**

- Standardized input to .mp4 using FFmpeg
- Output always generated as .mp4

**Result:**

- Fully reproducible pipeline
  - No silent failures
- 

**Issue 5: Output File Naming Errors**

**Cause:**

- Dynamic filename accidentally included directory separators

**Fix:**

- Used `os.path.basename()` and `os.path.splitext()`
- Sanitized output filenames

**Result:**

- Correct CSV and video output paths
  - No filesystem errors
- 

## 7. Model Performance Improvements

Stage	Improvement
Initial run	Pretrained YOLO, many false positives
After training	Domain-specific ball detection
After tuning	Higher precision
After tracking	Smooth trajectory
Final system	Stable + reproducible

---

## 8. Hyperparameter Calibration

Parameter	Value	Reason
Confidence Threshold	0.3–0.4	Reduce false positives
Kalman R	Increased	Reduce jitter
Kalman Q	Low	Smooth motion assumption

---

## 9. Reproducibility

The system is fully reproducible using:

```
pip install -r requirements.txt
```

```
python code/main.py
```

Outputs:

- annotations/output\_<video>.csv
  - results/trajectory\_<video>.mp4
- 

## 10. Limitations & Future Work

- Multi-ball handling
  - Bounce detection
  - Spin estimation
  - 3D trajectory reconstruction
  - Multi-camera fusion
- 

## 11. Conclusion

This project demonstrates a **practical, production-aligned approach** to cricket ball tracking. By combining deep learning detection with classical filtering, the system achieves robustness, interpretability, and reproducibility — key requirements for real-world sports analytics systems.