

Cricket Ball Detection & Tracking System

Detailed Technical Report

1. Introduction

This project aims to build a **robust cricket ball detection and tracking system** using video input from a **single static camera**. The system detects the ball in each frame, computes its centroid, tracks it across time, handles missed detections, and produces both a **per-frame annotation file** and a **visualized trajectory video**.

The design emphasizes:

- Reproducibility
 - Clear failure handling
 - Industry-standard modelling choices
 - Practical robustness over theoretical complexity
-

2. Modelling Decisions

2.1 Detection Model Selection

Model chosen: YOLOv8 (single-class detection)

Reasoning:

- Cricket ball is a **small, fast-moving object**
- YOLOv8 provides:
 - Strong small-object detection
 - Real-time inference
 - Easy training and deployment
- Widely accepted in production CV pipelines

Output used from detector:

- Bounding box (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)
- Centroid computed as:

$$(x_c, y_c) = \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}, \frac{y_1 + y_2}{2} \right)$$

2.2 Tracking & Temporal Smoothing

Tracker used: Kalman Filter (constant velocity model)

Why Kalman Filter?

- YOLO detections are frame-independent and noisy
- Kalman filter:
 - Smooths jitter
 - Predicts motion during occlusion
 - Maintains trajectory continuity

State vector:

$$[x, y, v_x, v_y]$$

This allows the tracker to estimate both position and motion of the ball.

2.3 Why Not Deep Tracking Models?

- The problem constraints assume:
 - Single object
 - Static camera
 - Kalman filter is:
 - Simpler
 - More interpretable
 - Easier to debug
 - Deep trackers (DeepSORT, ByteTrack) were unnecessary overhead
-

3. Fallback Logic & Robustness

The system is explicitly designed to **not hallucinate detections**.

3.1 Detection Fallback

| Scenario | System Behavior |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Ball detected | Use YOLO centroid → Kalman update |
| Ball not detected | No update, visibility = 0 |
| Short occlusion | Kalman predicts internally |
| Long occlusion | Trajectory naturally stops |

3.2 Visibility Flag Logic

Each frame outputs:

- visible = 1 → Ball confidently detected
- visible = 0 → Ball not visible / occluded

Coordinates for invisible frames:

x = -1

y = -1

This avoids introducing false ground truth.

4. Assumptions Made

The following assumptions were explicitly made and documented:

1. **Single ball present** at any time
2. **Static camera** (no pan/tilt/zoom)
3. **Reasonable lighting conditions**
4. Ball visible for at least short continuous segments
5. No extreme motion blur

These assumptions match real-world broadcast cricket analytics scenarios.

5. Example Outputs

5.1 Annotation File (CSV)

frame,x,y,visible

0,512.3,298.1,1

1,518.7,305.4,1

2,-1,-1,0

3,530.1,315.2,1

5.2 Processed Video

-  Red dot → current centroid
-  Blue line → trajectory history

The trajectory is cumulative and visually smooth due to Kalman filtering.

6. Issues Encountered & Fixes Applied

This section documents **real issues** and **how they were solved**.

Issue 1: False Positives (Shoes, Gloves, White Objects)

Cause:

- Generic pretrained YOLO model
- Visually similar objects to cricket ball

Fixes Applied:

- Trained YOLO on **domain-specific cricket ball dataset**
- Increased confidence threshold (CONF_THRESH)
- Reduced IOU tolerance

Result:

- Significant reduction in false detections
 - Cleaner trajectory
-

Issue 2: Trajectory Jitter

Cause:

- Frame-wise detection noise
- Small bounding box variations

Fix:

- Introduced Kalman filter smoothing
- Tuned measurement noise (R) and process noise (Q)

Result:

- Smooth, physically plausible trajectory
 - Stable centroid path
-

Issue 3: Missed Detections During Occlusion

Cause:

- Ball temporarily hidden behind player or pitch

Fix:

- Kalman prediction maintained internal state
- Visibility flag set to 0 (no fake output)

Result:

- No false annotations
 - Trajectory continuity preserved visually
-

Issue 4: .mov File Incompatibility on Windows

Cause:

- OpenCV codec limitations on Windows

Fix:

- Standardized input to .mp4 using FFmpeg
- Output always generated as .mp4

Result:

- Fully reproducible pipeline
 - No silent failures
-

Issue 5: Output File Naming Errors

Cause:

- Dynamic filename accidentally included directory separators

Fix:

- Used `os.path.basename()` and `os.path.splitext()`
- Sanitized output filenames

Result:

- Correct CSV and video output paths
 - No filesystem errors
-

7. Model Performance Improvements

| Stage | Improvement |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Initial run | Pretrained YOLO, many false positives |
| After training | Domain-specific ball detection |
| After tuning | Higher precision |
| After tracking | Smooth trajectory |
| Final system | Stable + reproducible |

8. Hyperparameter Calibration

| Parameter | Value | Reason |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Confidence Threshold | 0.3–0.4 | Reduce false positives |
| Kalman R | Increased | Reduce jitter |
| Kalman Q | Low | Smooth motion assumption |

9. Reproducibility

The system is fully reproducible using:

```
pip install -r requirements.txt
```

```
python code/main.py
```

Outputs:

- annotations/output_<video>.csv
 - results/trajectory_<video>.mp4
-

10. Limitations & Future Work

- Multi-ball handling
 - Bounce detection
 - Spin estimation
 - 3D trajectory reconstruction
 - Multi-camera fusion
-

11. Conclusion

This project demonstrates a **practical, production-aligned approach** to cricket ball tracking. By combining deep learning detection with classical filtering, the system achieves robustness, interpretability, and reproducibility — key requirements for real-world sports analytics systems.