SSY281 Model Predictive Control

Assignment 6

MPT and Persistent Feasibility

Due March 4 at 23:59

Systems & Control

Department of Electrical Engineering

Chalmers University of Technology

Feb 2020

Instructions

This assignment is **individual** and must be solved according to the following rules and instructions:

• Written report:

- For those questions where a text (motivations, explanations, observations from simulations) rather than a numerical value is asked, the answers should be concisely written in the report.
- Figures included in the report should have legends, and axes should be labeled.
- The report should be uploaded before the deadline in Canvas.
- Name the report as A6₋X.pdf, where X is your *group* number.

• Code:

- Your code should be written in the Matlab template provided with this assignment following the instructions therein.
- Name the Matlab script as A6_Xm, Pre_X.m, Reach_X.m, ShortestN_X.m, and RHCXf_X.m where X is your group number.
- Strictly follow the instructions in the Matlab template.

• Grading:

- This assignment if worth **15 points** in total.

1 MPT

After installing the Multi-Parametric Toolbox 3 (MPT) in Matlab, run mpt_demo_sets1 and mpt_demo2 in MATLAB to study the two demos of the MPT package.

Question 1 (Points: 1). Use the commands in MPT to find the V- and the H-representation of the following polyhedron, plot them and explain the differences in the report.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: do not consider the tail in the V representation case, i.e., only consider the corner points to define the polyhedron.

Question 2 (Points: 1). Use the commands in MPT to compute the Minkowiski sum and the Pontryagin difference of the following polytopes (i.e. P+Q and P-Q) and plot them.

$$P = \{x : A_1 x \le b_1, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$$

$$Q = \{x : A_2 x \le b_2, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$$

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ b_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ b_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2 Persistent Feasibility

Question 3 (Points: 3). Consider

$$x^{+} = Ax, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.4 \\ -0.4 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix},$$
 (1)

and show that set S, defined as follows, is positively invariant for this system.

$$S := \{x : A_{in}x \le b_{in}, x \in \mathbb{R}^2\},\$$

$$A_{in} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, b_{in} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Question 4 (Points: 3). Consider

$$x^{+} = Ax + Bu, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.4 \\ -0.4 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
 (2)

with $-1 \le u \le 1$.

Fill in function $Reach_X.m$ which calculates on step reachable set from S, which is defined in Question 3. Depict S and the reachable set you have calculated in the same plot.

Hint. You can use alpha in your plot option to adjust transparency of the polyhedrons in the figure.

Note. In this question you cannot use the reachableSet command.

Question 5 (Points: 3). Fill in function Pre_X.m which calculates one step "Pre" of S for system (2). Depict S and the "Pre" set you have calculated in the same plot.

Hint. You can use projection command in MPT.

Question 6 (Points: 4). Consider

$$x^{+} = Ax + Bu, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.4 \\ -0.4 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
 (3)

and design a RH controller with $P_f = \mathbf{0}, Q = I_{2\times 2}, R = 1$, and $\mathcal{X}_f = \mathbf{0}_{2\times 1}$. Let $x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$ be the initial condition and consider the following constraints.

$$|x_i(k)| \le 3, |u(k)| \le 0.1 \quad \forall k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}, \ \forall i \in \{1, 2\}$$

- 1. Find the shortest prediction horizon N such that the RH controller is feasible.
- 2. Set N=2 and choose \mathcal{X}_f as the maximal control invariant set for the system. Is the RH controller still feasible until convergence to the origin?

Hint. You can use invariantSet command in MPT.

- 3. Plot the set of feasible initial states for the controllers designed at the previous two points. How do you explain the difference? What is the size of the optimization problems (number of optimization variables and constraints) underlying the two RH controllers?
 - Hint. You can use reachableSet command in MPT or Pre_X.m to calculate feasible initial states.