

# Lecture Notes: Econometrics II

Based on lectures by [Marko Mlikota](#) in Spring semester, 2025

Draft updated on March 10, 2025

These lecture notes were taken in the course *Econometrics II* taught by [Marko Mlikota](#) at Graduate of International and Development Studies, Geneva as part of the International Economics program (Semester II, 2024).

Currently, these are just drafts of the lecture notes. There can be typos and mistakes anywhere. So, if you find anything that needs to be corrected or improved, please inform at [jingle.fu@graduateinstitute.ch](mailto:jingle.fu@graduateinstitute.ch).

<h2>Contents</h2>
-------------------

1.	Review of Econometrics I	1
1.1.	Basic assumptions . . . . .	1
1.2.	Endogeneity . . . . .	1
2.	Causal Inference	4
2.1.	Potential Outcomes Framework . . . . .	4
3.	Panel Data Analysis	6
3.1.	Incidental Parameters Problem . . . . .	6
3.1.1.	Consistency . . . . .	6
3.1.2.	Asymptotic Normality . . . . .	7
3.2.	Random Effects . . . . .	7
3.3.	Fixed Effects . . . . .	9
3.4.	Correlated Random Effects . . . . .	10
	Recommended Resources	12

Lecture 1.

## Review of Econometrics I

### 1.1 Basic assumptions

As we know,

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y \xrightarrow{P} \beta$$

if

1. Model is correctly specified:  $y_i = x_i'\beta + u_i$
2.  $X$  is full rank
3.  $\mathbb{E}[x_i u_i] = 0$ :  $x_i$  is exogenous.
4. Unbiased CIA:  $\mathbb{E}[u_i | x_i] = 0$

**Theorem 1.1.1** (Frisch-Waugh-Lovell (FWL) theorem).

Recall:  $\hat{Y} = X\hat{\beta} = X(X'X)^{-1}X'Y = P_X Y$ ,  $Y = \hat{Y} + \hat{U} \rightarrow \hat{U} = (I - P_X)Y = M_X Y$ .

Take  $Y = X_1\beta_1 + X_2\beta_2 + U = X\beta' + U$ , let  $P_1 = X_1(X_1'X_1)^{-1}X_1'$ ,  $M_1 = I - P_1$ .

And write  $M_1 Y = M_1 X_2 \beta_2 + M_1 U$ , then

$$\hat{\beta}_{2,OLS} = \hat{b}.$$

### 1.2 Endogeneity

Three reasons for endogeneity:

1. Measurement error:  $x_i$  is measured with error.

Assume the true Regression is:  $y_i = x_i^{*'}\beta + \varepsilon_i$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[x_i^* \varepsilon_i] = 0$ , we run:  $y_i = x_i'\beta + u_i$ ,  $x_i = x_i^* + v_i$ ,  $u_i = \varepsilon_i - v_i'\beta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[x_i u_i] &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[x_i \varepsilon_i]}_0 - \mathbb{E}[x_i v_i']\beta \\ &= -\mathbb{E}[(x_i^* + v_i)v_i']\beta \\ &= \underbrace{-\mathbb{E}[x_i^* v_i']}_0 \beta - \mathbb{E}[v_i v_i']\beta \\ &= -\mathbb{E}[v_i v_i']\beta \end{aligned}$$

2. Simultaneity (Reverse causality):  $x_i$  is endogenous.

$$y_i = x_i'\beta + u_i = x_{i1}^*\beta_1 + x_{i2}\beta_2 + u_i, \quad x_i = z_i'\gamma + y_i\delta + v_i.$$

3. Omitted variables:  $x_i$  is correlated with  $u_i$ .

The true regression is:  $y_i = x_i'\beta + w_i'\delta + \varepsilon_i$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[x_i \varepsilon_i] \neq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[w_i \varepsilon_i] = 0$ .

We run:  $y_i = x_i' \beta + u_i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[x_i u_i] &= \mathbb{E}[x_i (w_i' \delta + \varepsilon_i)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[x_i w_i'] \delta + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[x_i \varepsilon_i]}_0\end{aligned}$$

For our general regression model  $y_i = x_i' \beta + u_i$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[x_i u_i] \neq 0$ , thus  $\hat{\beta}_{OLS} \xrightarrow{P} \beta$  doesn't hold.

We take  $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , which is a good IV if:

1. Relevance:  $\mathbb{E}[z_i x_i] \neq 0$ ;
2. Exogeneity:  $\mathbb{E}[z_i u_i] = 0$ .

Then, we have the 2SLS method:

**Definition 1.2.1** (2SLS Method).

1. Estimate:  $x_i = z_i' \gamma + e_i \Rightarrow \hat{\gamma} = (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'X \Rightarrow \hat{X} = Z' \hat{\gamma} = P_Z X$ ;
2. Estimate:  $y_i = \hat{x}_i' \beta + u_i^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} &= (\hat{X}' \hat{X})^{-1} \hat{X}' Y \\ &= ((P_Z X)' P_Z X)^{-1} (P_Z X)' Y \\ &= (X' P_Z X)^{-1} X' P_Z Y \\ &= (X' Z (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' X)^{-1} X' Z (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' Y \\ &= \beta + (X' Z (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' X)^{-1} X' Z (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' u \\ &\xrightarrow{P} \beta + Q_{xz}^{-1} \mathbb{E}[x_i z_i'] \mathbb{E}[z_i z_i'] \mathbb{E}[z_i u_i] \\ &= \beta.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}[\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} | X, Z] &= \mathbb{V}[(X' P_Z X)^{-1} X' P_Z U | X, Z] \\ &= (X' P_Z X)^{-1} \mathbb{V}[X' P_Z U | X, Z] (X' P_Z X)^{-1} \\ &= (X' P_Z X)^{-1} X' P_Z \mathbb{V}[U] P_Z X (X' P_Z X)^{-1} \\ &= (X' P_Z X)^{-1} \sigma^2\end{aligned}$$

As we know  $\mathbb{V}[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}] = (X' X)^{-1} \sigma^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}[\hat{\beta}_{OLS}]^{-1} - \mathbb{V}[\hat{\beta}_{2SLS}]^{-1} &= (\sigma^2)^{-1} X' X - (\sigma^2)^{-1} X' P_Z X \\ &= (\sigma^2)^{-1} X' (I - P_Z) X \\ &= (\sigma^2)^{-1} X' M_Z X \\ &= \sigma^{-2} \underbrace{(M_Z X)'}_{\hat{E}} M_Z X \\ &= \sigma^{-2} SSR_{1SLS}.\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.2.1** (Anderson-Rubin Method).

$$y_i = x_i' \beta_0 + u_i, \mathbb{E}[z_i u_i] = 0, y_i - x_i' \beta = \delta z_i + v_i. \Rightarrow \hat{\delta}(\beta) = (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' (Y - X \beta) \rightarrow \hat{\delta}(\beta_0) =$$

$(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'U$ . For many  $\beta$ s, test:  $H_0 : \delta(\beta) = 0$ , e.g. using t-test.

$$T_t = \frac{\hat{\delta}(\beta)}{se(\hat{\delta}(\beta))} \sim \mathbf{N}(0, 1)$$

The 90% CI for  $\beta$  is the set of  $\beta$ s at which  $\delta(\beta) = 0$  cannot be rejected at 90% confidence level.

## Causal Inference

### 2.1 Potential Outcomes Framework

**Definition 2.1.1** (Stable Unit Treatment Value Assumption (SUTVA)).

$$y_i = \begin{cases} y_{0i} & d_i = 0 \\ y_{1i} & d_i = 1 \end{cases}$$

Causal effect of  $d_i$  on  $y_i$  for individual  $i$ :  $y_{1i} - y_{0i}$ .

$$y_i = d_i y_{1i} + (1 - d_i) y_{0i}$$

SUTVA(2.1.1) ensures that the individual treatment effect is well defined.

For a population, we know that  $\mathbb{E}[d_i], \mathbb{E}[y_i], \mathbb{E}[y_{0i}], \mathbb{E}[y_{1i}]$  exist, we can define the treatment conditional expectations:

$$\mathbb{E}[y_i | d_i = 1], \mathbb{E}[y_{0i} | d_i = 1], \mathbb{E}[y_{1i} | d_i = 1] = \mathbb{E}[y_i | d_i = 1]$$

that denote the averages of the outcome  $y_i$ .

Analogously, we can define the control conditional expectations:

$$\mathbb{E}[y_i | d_i = 0], \mathbb{E}[y_{0i} | d_i = 0] = \mathbb{E}[y_i | d_i = 0], \mathbb{E}[y_{1i} | d_i = 0]$$

for the non-treated subpopulation.

Then, we can define the Average Treatment Effect (ATE), the Average Treatment Effect for the Treatment-Group (ATT) and the Average Treatment Effect for the Control-Group (ATC) as distinct objects:

$$\text{ATE} = \mathbb{E}[y_{1i} - y_{0i}]$$

$$\text{ATT} = \mathbb{E}[y_{1i} - y_{0i} | d_i = 1]$$

$$\text{ATC} = \mathbb{E}[y_{1i} - y_{0i} | d_i = 0]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[z] = \mathbb{E}[z | d = 1] \mathbb{P}[d = 1] + \mathbb{E}[z | d = 0] \mathbb{P}[d = 0] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[z | d]].$$

For sample,  $\{d_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^n = \{d_i, y_{d_i, i}\}_{i=1}^n$ , because  $y_i = y_{1i} d_i + y_{0i} (1 - d_i)$ .

$N = \{i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $N_1 = \{i \in N : d_i = 1\} \leftarrow n_1 = |N_1|$ ,  $N_0 = \{i : d_i = 0\} \leftarrow n_0 = |N_0|$ .

$$\frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i \in N_1} y_i = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i \in N_1} y_{1i} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[y_{1i} | d_i = 1] = \mathbb{E}[y_i | d_i = 1]$$

$$\frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{i \in N_0} y_i = \frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{i \in N_0} y_{0i} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[y_{0i} | d_i = 0] = \mathbb{E}[y_i | d_i = 0]$$

$$\frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i \in N_1} y_i - \frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{i \in N_0} y_i \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[y_{1i} | d_i = 1] - \mathbb{E}[y_{0i} | d_i = 0] = \text{ATE} = \text{ATT} = \text{ATC}.$$

We define the difference of treated and non-treated as: *Naive Difference*.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ND} &= \mathbb{E}[y_{1i}|d_i = 1] - \mathbb{E}[y_{0i}|d_i = 0] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[y_{1i}|d_i = 1] - \mathbb{E}[y_{0i}|d_i = 1] + \mathbb{E}[y_{0i}|d_i = 1] - \mathbb{E}[y_{0i}|d_i = 0] \\ &= \text{ATT} + \mathbb{E}[y_{0i}|d_i = 1] - \mathbb{E}[y_{0i}|d_i = 0] \end{aligned}$$

For LRM,  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 d_i + u_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ND} &= \mathbb{E}[y_i|d_i = 1] - \mathbb{E}[y_i|d_i = 0] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\beta_0 + \beta_1 + u_i|d_i = 1] - \mathbb{E}[\beta_0 + u_i|d_i = 0] \\ &= \beta_1 + \mathbb{E}[u_i|d_i = 1] - \mathbb{E}[u_i|d_i = 0] \end{aligned}$$

$$\{Y_d\} \perp\!\!\!\perp D \mid X \Rightarrow \{Y_d\} \perp\!\!\!\perp D \mid \pi(X), \quad D \perp\!\!\!\perp X \mid \pi(X)$$



Lecture 3.

## Panel Data Analysis

### 3.1 Incidental Parameters Problem

#### 3.1.1 Consistency

 $i = 1 : n, t = 1 : T, z_{it}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} y_{it} &= \alpha + x'_{it}\beta + u_{it} \\ &= \tilde{x}'_{it}\tilde{\beta} + u_{it} \\ \tilde{x}_{it} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_{it} \end{bmatrix} \\ \tilde{\beta} &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= \tilde{x}_i \tilde{\beta} + u_i \\ T \times 1 & \quad T \times K \quad K \times 1 \quad T \times 1 \\ \min_{\tilde{\beta}} \sum_i \sum_t u_{it}^2 &= \min_{\tilde{\beta}} \sum_i u'_i u_i = \min_{\tilde{\beta}} (y_i - \tilde{x}_i \tilde{\beta})' (y_i - \tilde{x}_i \tilde{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

The FOC of this equation is:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i -\tilde{x}'_i (y_i - \tilde{x}_i \tilde{\beta}) &= 0 \\ \left( \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i \right) \tilde{\beta} &= \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i y_i \\ \hat{\tilde{\beta}} &= \left( \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i \right)^{-1} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i y_i \\ &= \left( \sum_i \sum_t \tilde{x}_{it} \tilde{x}'_{it} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_i \sum_t \tilde{x}_{it} y_{it} \right) \\ &= \tilde{\beta} + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_t \tilde{x}_{it} \tilde{x}'_{it} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_i \sum_t \tilde{x}_{it} u_{it} \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{p} \tilde{\beta} + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_t \tilde{x}_{it} \tilde{x}'_{it} \right]^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_t \tilde{x}_{it} u_{it} \right] \\ &= \tilde{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

### 3.1.2 Asymptotic Normality

From the analysis of consistency, we know that:

$$\hat{\beta} = \left( \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i \right)^{-1} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i y_i$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}) &= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i u_i \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i]^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left( 0, \mathbb{E} \left[ (\tilde{x}'_i u_i) (\tilde{x}'_i u_i)' \right] \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left( 0, \mathbb{E} [\tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i]^{-1} \mathbb{E} [\tilde{x}'_i u_i u'_i \tilde{x}_i] \mathbb{E} [\tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i] \right) \end{aligned}$$

For  $y_{it} = \alpha_i + x'_{it}\beta + u_{it}$ ,

Under  $T = 1$ , we run  $y_i = \beta_0 + x'_i\beta + v_i$ , where  $v_u = u_i + \underbrace{\alpha_i - \beta_0}_{\tilde{\alpha}_i}$  and  $\mathbb{E}[v_i] = 0$ .

Under  $T > 1$ , we run:

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= x'_i\beta + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \mathbf{1}\{i = j\} + u_{it} \\ &= \tilde{x}'_{it}\tilde{\beta} + u_{it} \\ \tilde{x}_{it} &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{it} \\ \mathbf{1}\{i = 1\} \\ \mathbf{1}\{i = 2\} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{1}\{i = n\} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

## 3.2 Random Effects

We put  $\alpha_i$  in error terms:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{it} &= \alpha_1 + x'_{it}\beta + u_{it} \\ &= \underbrace{\beta_0 + x'_{it}\beta}_{\tilde{x}'_{it}\tilde{\beta}} + \underbrace{u_{it} + \alpha_i - \beta_0}_{\equiv v_{it}} \\ \rightarrow y_{it} &= \tilde{x}'_{it}\tilde{\beta} + v_{it} \\ \Leftrightarrow y_i &= \tilde{x}'_i\tilde{\beta} + v_i \\ \rightarrow \hat{\beta} &= \left( \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i \right)^{-1} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i y_i \end{aligned}$$

**Note (POLS).**

Homogenous spec:  $y_{it} = \alpha + x'_{it}\beta + u_{it} = \tilde{x}'_{it}\tilde{\beta} + u_{it}$ .  $\hat{\beta}$  is consistent if  $\mathbb{E}[v_{it}x_{it}] = 0, \forall t$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta} &= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i y_i \\
&= \tilde{\beta} + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i v_i \\
&\xrightarrow{p} \tilde{\beta} + \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i]^{-1} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}'_i v_i] \\
\text{where } \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}'_i v_i] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_t \tilde{x}'_{it} v_{it} \right] \\
&= \sum_t \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}'_{it} v_{it}] \\
&= \sum_t \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_{it}(u_{it} + \alpha_i - \beta_0)]
\end{aligned}$$

**Note.**

Under the random effect, you have to use the heteroskedasticity-robust methods. Because even if we assume  $u_{it}$  to be homoskedastic,  $v_{it}$  is not, as it includes also the unit-specific heterogeneity  $\alpha_i$ .

Denoted by  $\hat{\beta}_{RE-GLS}$ , the random effect GLS estimator is consistent and asymptotically normal.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{n} \left( \hat{\beta}_{RE-GLS} - \tilde{\beta} \right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, V) \\
&\text{where } V = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i]^{-1} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}'_i v_i v'_i \tilde{x}_i] \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[v_i v'_i | \tilde{x}_i]}_{\equiv \Omega} \right] \\
&\rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{RE-GLS} = \left( \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \Omega^{-1} \tilde{x}_i \right)^{-1} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \Omega^{-1} y_i \\
&\Omega^{-\frac{1}{2}} y_i = \Omega^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{\beta} + \Omega^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_i \\
&\Omega = \mathbb{E}[v_i v'_i | \tilde{x}_i] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \begin{bmatrix} v_{i1} \\ v_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ v_{iT} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{i1} & v_{i2} & \cdots & v_{iT} \end{bmatrix} | \tilde{x}_i \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[v_{i1}^2 | \tilde{x}_i] & \mathbb{E}[v_{i1} v_{i2} | \tilde{x}_i] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[v_{i1} v_{iT} | \tilde{x}_i] \\ \mathbb{E}[v_{i2} v_{i1} | \tilde{x}_i] & \mathbb{E}[v_{i2}^2 | \tilde{x}_i] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[v_{i2} v_{iT} | \tilde{x}_i] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[v_{iT} v_{i1} | \tilde{x}_i] & \mathbb{E}[v_{iT} v_{i2} | \tilde{x}_i] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[v_{iT}^2 | \tilde{x}_i] \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2 | \tilde{x}_i] + \mathbb{E}[u_{i1}^2 | \tilde{x}_i] & \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2 | \tilde{x}_i] + \mathbb{E}[u_{i1} u_{i2} | \tilde{x}_i] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2 | \tilde{x}_i] + \mathbb{E}[u_{i1} u_{iT} | \tilde{x}_i] \\ \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2 | \tilde{x}_i] + \mathbb{E}[u_{i2} u_{i1} | \tilde{x}_i] & \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2 | \tilde{x}_i] + \mathbb{E}[u_{i2}^2 | \tilde{x}_i] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2 | \tilde{x}_i] + \mathbb{E}[u_{i2} u_{iT} | \tilde{x}_i] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2 | \tilde{x}_i] + \mathbb{E}[u_{iT} u_{i1} | \tilde{x}_i] & \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2 | \tilde{x}_i] + \mathbb{E}[u_{iT} u_{i2} | \tilde{x}_i] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2 | \tilde{x}_i] + \mathbb{E}[u_{iT}^2 | \tilde{x}_i] \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2 | \tilde{x}_i] \mathbf{1} \right] + \mathbb{E}[u_i u'_i | \tilde{x}_i]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2] \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}' + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[u_{i1}^2|\tilde{x}_i] & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{E}[u_{i2}^2|\tilde{x}_i] & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[u_{iT}^2|\tilde{x}_i] \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sigma_{\alpha_i}^2 \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}' + \sigma_i^2 I \\
&= \sigma_{\alpha}^2 \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}' + \sigma^2 I \\
&\text{beacuse } \mathbb{V}[\tilde{\alpha}_i|\tilde{x}_i] = \sigma_{\alpha_i}^2 = \sigma_{\alpha}^2 \\
&\mathbb{V}[u_{it}|\tilde{x}_i] = \sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2, \forall i.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega &= \mathbb{E}[v_i v_i' | \tilde{x}_i] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(\alpha_i \mathbf{1} + u_i)(\alpha_i \mathbf{1} + u_i)' | \tilde{x}_i] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\alpha_i^2] \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}' + \mathbb{E}[u_i u_i' | \tilde{x}_i]
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.3 Fixed Effects

We transform equation to get rid of  $\alpha_i$ :  $y_{it} = \alpha_i + x'_{it}\beta + u_{it}$ .

Within  $\bar{y}_i = \alpha_i + \bar{x}'_i\beta + \bar{u}_i$ ,  $\bar{y}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t y_{it}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(y_{it} - \bar{y}_i) &= (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)' \beta + (u_{it} - \bar{u}_i) \\
\check{y}_{it} &= \check{x}'_{it} \beta + \check{u}_{it}
\end{aligned}$$

The first difference estimation method:

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{it} - y_{i,t-1} &= (x_{it} - x_{i,t-1})' \beta + (u_{it} - u_{i,t-1}) \\
\Delta y_{it} &= \Delta x'_{it} \beta + \Delta u_{it}, i = 1 \cdots n, t = 2 \cdots T.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote the time-averages method by  $\hat{\beta}_{FE-W}$ , the fixed effect estimator is consistent and asymptotically normal.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta}_{FE-W} &= \left( \sum_i \sum_t \check{x}_{it} \check{x}'_{it} \right)^{-1} \sum_i \sum_t \check{x}_{it} \check{y}_{it} \\
&= \beta + \left( \sum_i \sum_t \check{x}_{it} \check{x}'_{it} \right)^{-1} \sum_i \sum_t \check{x}_{it} \check{u}_{it} \\
&\xrightarrow{p} \beta + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_t \check{x}_{it} \check{x}'_{it} \right]^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_t \check{x}_{it} \check{u}_{it} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_t \check{x}_{it} \check{u}_{it} \right] = \sum_t \mathbb{E} [\check{x}_{it} \check{u}_{it}]$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} [\check{x}_{it} \check{u}_{it}] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( x_{it} - \frac{1}{T} \sum_t x_{it} \right) \left( u_{it} - \frac{1}{T} \sum_t u_{it} \right)' \right] \\
&= 0 \quad \text{if } u_{it} \perp\!\!\!\perp x_{is}, \forall t, s = 1, \dots, T.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote the first difference method by  $\hat{\beta}_{FE-FD}$ , the fixed effect estimator is consistent and asymptotically normal.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta}_{FE-FD} &= \left( \sum_i \sum_t \Delta x_{it} \Delta x'_{it} \right)^{-1} \sum_i \sum_t \Delta x_{it} \Delta y_{it} \\
&= \beta + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_t \Delta x_{it} \Delta x'_{it} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_t \Delta x_{it} \Delta u_{it} \\
&\xrightarrow{p} \beta + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_t \Delta x_{it} \Delta x'_{it} \right]^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_t \Delta x_{it} \Delta u_{it} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_t \Delta x_{it} \Delta u_{it} \right] = \sum_t \mathbb{E} [\Delta x_{it} \Delta u_{it}]$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} [\Delta x_{it} \Delta u_{it}] &= \mathbb{E} [(x_{it} - x_{i,t-1}) (u_{it} - u_{i,t-1})'] \\
&= 0 \quad \text{if } x_{it} \perp\!\!\!\perp (u_{it}, u_{i,t-1}), \forall t.
\end{aligned}$$

**Note.**

The FD method is not as strong as the within method, because it only requires that the variable is uncorrelated with the error term in the same period and the previous period.

If there is a correlation between the error term in current period and two periods ago, there is a problem of feedback loop, which we will imply the correlated random effect model.

### 3.4 Correlated Random Effects

## Appendix

## Recommended Resources

### Books

- [1] James H. Stock and Mark W. Watson. *Introduction to Econometrics*. 4th ed. New York: Pearson, 2003
- [2] Jeffrey M. Wooldridge. *Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach*. 7th ed. Cengage Learning, 2020
- [3] Bruce E. Hansen. *Econometrics*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 2022
- [4] Fumio Hayashi. *Econometrics*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 2000
- [5] Jeffrey M. Wooldridge. *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*. 2nd ed. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press, 2010
- [6] Joshua Chan et al. *Bayesian Econometric Methods*. 2nd ed. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2019
- [7] Badi H. Baltagi. *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data*. 6th ed. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2021
- [8] James D. Hamilton. *Time Series Analysis*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1994. ISBN: 9780691042893
- [9] Takeshi Amemiya. *Advanced Econometrics*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1985

### Others

- [10] Roger Bowden. “The Theory of Parametric Identification”. In: *Econometrica* 41.6 (1973), pp. 1069–1074. DOI: [10.2307/1914036](https://doi.org/10.2307/1914036)
- [11] Robert I. Jennrich. “Asymptotic Properties of Non-linear Least Squares Estimators”. In: *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 40.2 (1969), pp. 633–643. DOI: [10.1214/aoms/1177697731](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177697731)
- [12] Michael P. Keane. “A Note on Identification in the Multinomial Probit Model”. In: *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 10.2 (1992), pp. 193–200. DOI: [10.1080/07350015.1992.10509906](https://doi.org/10.1080/07350015.1992.10509906)
- [13] Thomas J. Rothenberg. “Identification in Parametric Models”. In: *Econometrica* 39.3 (1971), pp. 577–591. DOI: [10.2307/1913267](https://doi.org/10.2307/1913267)
- [14] George Tauchen. “Diagnostic Testing and Evaluation of Maximum Likelihood Models”. In: *Journal of Econometrics* 30 (1985), pp. 415–443. DOI: [10.1016/0304-4076\(85\)90149-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(85)90149-6)
- [15] Abraham Wald. “Note on the Consistency of the Maximum Likelihood Estimate”. In: *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 20.4 (1949), pp. 595–601. DOI: [10.1214/aoms/1177729952](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729952)
- [16] Halbert White. “Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Misspecified Models”. In: *Econometrica* 50.1 (1982), pp. 1–25. DOI: [10.2307/1912526](https://doi.org/10.2307/1912526)