# HROBLEM SET 5

EXERCISE 1:

a) 
$$d_{t-1} = 70\%$$
.  $g_t = 3\%$ .  $c_t^d = 5\%$ .  $e_t = \Delta S_t = 20\%$ . In chease in debt?

valuation affect = already a growth rate

$$\frac{0.20 * (1,05)}{(1,03)} = \frac{0.21}{1,03} = 0,2038$$

0. 2038 x 0.70 = 0. 14266 =) D/GDP increases by 14.3 pp =) 84 %.

$$\Delta d_{\xi=0} \stackrel{\text{de}}{=} Tb_{\xi} = \frac{e^{\xi}}{1+g_{\xi}} \frac{1}{1+g_{\xi}} \frac{1}{1+$$

No, the Tbt of 11. is not emargh, it should be 1,35%.

$$0.01 = 0.7 \times 0.05 - g_t$$
 =)  $g_t = 0.0352$ .

It wears that GDP has to increase by 00352-0.03=00052;

c) 
$$g_{t=3}$$
?  $\rightarrow g_{t=1}$ ?. Assume no evaluation /devaluation effect and  $Tb_{t=0}$ 

70% =) 
$$\frac{0.05 - 0.01}{1.01} \times 0.70 = 0.027$$
 It becomes less sustainable when the stacking level is higher.

40% => 0.05 - 0.01 , 0.40 = 0.0158

$$100 /. =) 0.05 - 0.01 \times 1 = 0.0396$$

EXERCISE 2:

a) 
$$g^{N} = 0.02$$
 [Local extrenay]  
a)  $g^{N} = 0.02$   $\Delta d_{\xi} = 0$  blc debt stable  
Tb<sub>\xeta} = 0.03 - 0.02 x 0.40 = 0,0039 => 0.4 % of GDP</sub>

Investment = 2% of GDP over 5 years => The worsems by 2% every year. GDP increases after 6, years only (ie) Every year it is 2% worse than the stabilizing one formal in painta)

b) D/GPP at the emd of 5th year?

We approximate the debt dynamics as follows.

In our case we know that the Tbt worsens overy year by 2%. blc ~ S-I=TB. From which earl of To do we start? The one Somed in point a), i.e. the debt stabiliting one.

1 E+1 = (1+0.03-0.02)Q40 - [0.004-002] =042

DE+2 = (1.02 )42 - [0004-002] -QULB2:

Dt+3 = (1.01)04102 - [0001-02] -0.4605

DE+4 = (1.01)2606 - [000, -002] =04812.

0++5=(1.0104812:- @0a,-02] +0502 1.

It exceeds 50% blc of the interest

c)  $\triangle ol_{t+6} = 0$  =  $\frac{i-g}{1+g} \times \frac{0.502}{1+g} = \frac{\text{tham ene}}{\text{Tbt one}} = \frac{1}{1+g} \times \frac{1}{1+$ 

$$\frac{0.03 - 9}{1+9} = \frac{0.004}{0.502} = 9 = 0.002.$$

Adt = itdt-1 - grdt-1 - Tbt a) The / Adt= 0 Tb'\_t = (ik-9t)dt\_1 = ikdt\_1-ght dt\_1
Tbt' = ltdt-1-ght dt-1 b) dt = 50/. 9t + 3pp. Effect on 76x,? VIPF = - Par 9f-1 =- (gn = gn) st-1 = - (-0.03) x0,50  $= 0.08 \times 100 = 1.5\%$  or  $0.08 \times 100 = 3\%$ 9 = 9 - 0.5 TbtThe stableizing trade balance The = ledt-1- 3hdt-1 has to in crease if the szawth rate declines [ (de- (g-0.5Tb) de-The (1-05dt-1) = 4dt- gdt-1  $\Delta Tb_{t} = - \Delta 3t^{N}$   $1 - 0.5d_{t-1} \cdot d_{t-1} = \frac{-0.03}{1 - 0.5 \times 0.5} \times 0.5 = 0.02$ EXECUSE 4: (=5% Ld=2% ! Liquidity = your currency is so bool that if you have it you court set aid of it ble mobody would it UIP => (1+14) = (1+10) FE+1 Between from investing one and or downto are unit of abovestic bounds buys corrency in one 1/Et units of foreign bonds. And Ouit of daustic 1/Et pays (1+1)
Et pays (1+1) downwaited band that can be exchanged for sort of abusatic arrange Expected cont (by market(y))

Althorary

(1+ (+) = (1+ (+) (1+ E+1 (+) (1+ P+1)) ( 1+1 \* ) Et+1 crits of expression Expected depreciation (1,05) = (1,02)(1+ Et, et) =) 1,05 -1 = Et, et =) Et, et = 0,029 ~3/. If expected depreciation of the gout in less than 3%, then the gout will issue debt in foreign arriency.

EXERCISE 3:

c) For the NO-Ponzi to be respected it is important 1+i

a bound =) debt graves at a rose easier than RE if Pro + bound on

the debt for sustainability.

EXERGISE

St = F- 34 9f-1

if GA also TA to keep  $\Delta S_{t} = 0.8$  Difficult to implement ble the tox base (GDP) gets rwaller and rwaller, when G = GDP t or tax base stays the same GA but then you have

EXERCISE 4:

Debt issued in howestic currency - Signalling Effect = I, as a gout, expect an actually higher depreciation than the what (otherwise I noved have

issued in foreign currency)

Original An \_D Silvation in which continues also the formal of the precision in algorithm of the continuence of the debt is the continuence of the and corrected lie they have to pay a premion to be able to use their own currency.

#### Further clarification:

## **Exercise 4.b:**

If I issue domestic currency debt my cost is 5% (with certainty) independently of whether this cost comes from a risk premium or from expected depreciation or both or from anything else.

On the other hand, if I issue in foreign currency my expected cost is equal to 2% plus the rate of foreign currency appreciation that I expect. In other words, with domestic currency debt, I do not care whether the 5% comes from investors' expected depreciation or premium or anything; I always have pay 5%.

#### Exercise 5.c:

if rho>0 at a rate lower than R thus satisfying the No-ponzi gam condition.

If rho is lower than R/(1+R), then the debt increases indefinitely in real terms (the Trade balance also increases according to the rule) So the No-Ponzi condition is satisfied but the debt may get too large. The Trade balance rho(1+R)d increases indefinitely and may exceed output if the latter grows at a slower pace which is a problem given that

$$Tb = Y - C - G - I$$
.

## **Exercise 6:**

Given any stabilizing surplus X = T - G achieving such surplus can be easier or more challenging depending on the level of G because the level of G determines the tax rate to be set because X = tY - G where t is the tax rate.

If the costs of taxation increase more than proportionately with t (You can think of the cost of distortionary taxation or social costs) then raising the tax rate starting from an already high level due to high G is more costly and thus more difficult than doing it when the tax rate is low because G is low.

The point here is that debt stabilization and its success also depends on the weight of the government in the economy, on whether you increase taxes or reduce G. On the nature of G (as certain expenditures are easier to cut), etc. etc. The point is that it does not make sense just to look at X and at the level of debt. It depends on so many things that, say, indicating a threshold level of debt is stupid.