	4, Excellent	3	2, Fair	1	0, Not good
Completeness	All questions are answered/addressed.	A small part of one question hasn't been answered or part of a single plot is missing.	A small part of one question hasn't been answered and part of a single plot is missing.	One or more full questions has not been answered and/or one plot has not been provided.	More than two questions are not answered and/or more than one plot is missing.
Accuracy	All the data/models/plots look good.	There are a few small issues with the data and plots.	Most of the data/models/plots look good.	The data and plots look unrecognizable.	No data or plots at all.
Professionalism	The LaTeX template was used well, there are very few issues with presentation or writing.	The LaTeX template was used with some mall type-setting issues.	The LaTeX template was used, but there are some big issues with presentation or writing.	LaTeX was used, but none of the math has been type-set at all.	The LaTeX was not used and/or the responses are inappropriate.
Reasoning	Reasoning and explanations are correct and written in a way that a fellow student could <i>easily</i> understand.	There are one or two small error in the reasoning and/or explanations. Overall, a fellow student could still follow along.	Reasoning is presented in a way such that an average student wouldn't understand the explanation, but no serious errors in work.	There are some serious errors in reasoning and explanations.	Reasoning is not explained at all.
Flow	The writing flows well. The introduction is captivating. There are very few grammar mistakes. Transitions between sections are seamless.		The writing flows reasonably well, but there are noticeable and/or distracting grammar mistakes. Sections seem slightly disjoint.		The writing is choppy, the introduction is dull, and sections seem disconnected. There are many grammar mistakes.
Coding Stuff	Enough comments have been added so that I can easily understand what each part of the code is doing, and it gives the same data from the project when I run it	There are quite a few comments in the code, but I still must do some digging to determine what each part does, and it gives the same data from the project when I run it.	There are some comments, but I can't really follow what's happening in the code and it gives the same data from the project when I run it.	There are a few comments, but they don't describe what's happening with the code or the code gives drastically different results from the project when I run it.	There are no comments at all or does not give the same data presented in the paper.