

# Replication of Data Placement for Uncertain Scheduling

Manmohan Chaubey, Erik Saule  
Department of Computer Science  
University of North Carolina at Charlotte  
Charlotte, USA  
Email: mchaubey@uncc.edu, esaule@uncc.edu

**Abstract**—The abstract goes here. DO NOT USE SPECIAL CHARACTERS, SYMBOLS, OR MATH IN YOUR TITLE OR ABSTRACT.

**Index Terms**—component; formatting; style; styling;

## I. INTRODUCTION

Many real world scheduling problems related to task allocation in parallel machines are uncertain in nature. This fact makes the class of problem known as 'scheduling with uncertainty' or 'robust' scheduling an important and most studied problem in Scheduling. Often in scheduling models the exact value of parameters such as processing times of tasks, are not known initially, but they have a range outside which their values cannot lie. This paper draws qualitative analysis of the effect of replication of data placement for uncertain scheduling with inexact processing time of a task. Thus our research brings two area of scheduling problem together i.e. task uncertainty and data placement. The scheduling problem related to data placement and task allocation is common in heterogeneous systems and often dealt with common approach. The objective of our research is to propose an optimization approach that takes into account effective data placement using replication to schedule tasks in the environment where processing time of tasks are not known exactly but they can be estimated based on some pre estimated values.

Data placement technique can be useful in the scenario of uncertain processing times of tasks. Effective data placement using replication strategy allows better load balancing and hence reduces turnaround job time. The paper is important in the sense that it proposes different models depending upon different scenarios and compares them based on approximation ratio and replication they allow. Replication strategy that allows to place data in a wisely manner offers a faster access to files required by jobs, hence increases the job execution's performance. Replication helps in load balancing but it have certain

cost attached with it as it usually increases resource usage[1]. The paper deals with this problem and chooses the scenario in which replication is beneficial.

There is very less literature available for scheduling with estimated processing time of a task. Most of the literature till now focuses on job size estimation with small error. Very less work is done which focuses on copying with less restrictive estimation for task size and which analyzes the effect of estimation on scheduling quantitatively. Wierman and Nuyens [4] introduce  $\xi - SMART$  as classification to understand size based policies and draw analytical co-relation between response time and estimated job size in single server problem. [5] provides insight into scheduling behavior when estimation error is present and proposes FSPE+PS based policy to cope with this problem.

Size estimation based policies are widely used in practicality in the area of MapReduce and Hadoop.[6][7] proposes schedulers which provides robustness in scheduling against uncertain Job Size. In web servers [7], [NOTE: Have to include more references]

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows: we describe system model and notations in section 2. Sections 3-5 describes different problem models- 1)No replications, 2)replication is done everywhere and 3)replication is done within a group. The respective sections describe derivation of competitive ratios for each of these models. Section 6 summarises the 3 models and based on experimental results.

## II. PROBLEM DEFINITION

We have set  $J$  of  $n$  jobs which need to be scheduled to set  $M$  of  $m$  machines such that makespan,  $C_{max}$  is minimized.  $C_{max}^*$  denotes optimal makespan of schedule. We are considering the problems where the scheduler do not know the processing time of a task exactly before it completes, but we have some estimation of the processing time before the task is

assigned to a processor. We know that the actual processing time of a task  $i$  is between  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  and  $\alpha$  times of its estimated processing time.  $p_i$  denotes the actual processing time and  $\tilde{p}_i$  denotes estimated processing time for the task  $i$ . We have this estimate:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \leq \frac{p_i}{\tilde{p}_i} \leq \alpha \quad (1)$$

We consider various problem models incorporating the above mentioned scenario of uncertain processing times of tasks with an estimate. The scheduling is done in two phases for each of the problem model. In first phase we allocate tasks to certain processors depending upon problem models. Phase 1 chooses where data to be replicated using estimated processing time  $\tilde{p}_i$ , for each of the task  $i$ . In second phase we choose actual schedule with semi-clairvoyant algorithm which uses only approximate knowledge of initial processing time, and after scheduling the task the actual  $p_i$  is known. With objective to find schedule which minimizes makespan, we investigate greedy algorithms for each of the problem models and prove their competitive ratios.

We have used Graham's List Scheduling (LS) and Largest Processing Time (LPT) algorithms to derive approximation ratios in different scenarios. The LS algorithm takes tasks one at a time and assign it to the processor having least load at that time. LS is 2-approximation algorithm and is widely used in online scheduling problems. The LPT sorts tasks in decreasing order of processing time and assign them one at a time in this order to the processor with the smallest current load. The LPT algorithm have worst case performance ratio as  $4/3 - 1/(3m)$  in offline setting. Depending upon which among these two algorithms suits more for a problem model we have used these algorithms accordingly.

### III. MODEL 1: NO REPLICATION

In this problem model we have considered situation where each task is restricted to be scheduled on only one machine. We have a set  $J$  of  $n$  jobs, and a set  $M$  of  $m$  machines. The processing time of job  $j$  is  $p_j$  on machine  $i$ . Let  $f : J \leftarrow M$  be a function that assigns each job to exactly one machine. Let us denote  $E_i$  as the set tasks which is assigned to a machine  $i$ . The restriction that each task can be scheduled to only one machine restrict the problem construction to phase one only. There is no replication of tasks in this model.

We have considered List scheduling and Longest

processing time algorithms and have assigned each task on machine on which they are restricted to. Based on the estimated processing times of tasks loaded on each machine we will derive makespan.

#### A. Lower Bound

**Lemma 1.1:** There is no online algorithm having competitive ratio better than  $\alpha^2$ .

**Proof:** We use adversary technique to prove that there is no online algorithm having competitive ratio better than  $\alpha^2$

Let total tasks be  $\lambda M$  with  $\tilde{p}_i = 1$ . After scheduling let the most loaded machine  $j$  have  $B$  tasks. So,  $B \geq \lambda$ . Adversary technique increases the task on  $j$  by  $\alpha$  and decreases the other task by  $\alpha$ . So,  $C_{max}$  becomes  $\alpha B$  and  $C_{max}^* \geq \frac{\alpha B + \frac{1}{\alpha}(\lambda M - B)}{M}$ . We have,

$$\frac{C_{max}}{C_{max}^*} \leq \frac{\alpha^2 B M}{\alpha^2 B + \lambda M - B} = \frac{\alpha^2 M}{\alpha^2 + \frac{\lambda M}{B} - 1}$$

So, the value of above expression is directly proportional to  $B$ . For  $B = \lambda$  the value of expression would be minimum.

#### B. Using LPT

In this problem model we are assigning tasks to processors using LPT in offline mode using non-increasing order of estimated processing times of tasks.

$$\tilde{C}_{max} \leq \lceil \frac{\sum \tilde{p}_j + (m-1)\tilde{p}_l}{m} \rceil \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{C}_{max}$  is makespan considering estimated processing times of tasks. Also actual processing time  $C_{max}$  must be smaller the  $\alpha \tilde{C}_{max}$ , we have

$$C_{max} \leq \alpha \tilde{C}_{max} \leq \alpha \lceil \frac{\sum \tilde{p}_j + (m-1)\tilde{p}_l}{m} \rceil \quad (3)$$

Considering worst case situation the  $\tilde{C}_{max}$  will increase to  $\alpha$  times and load on rest of the processors will shrink  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  times. So total actual processing time will be given by following equation. The argument behind this is that greater the value of ratio  $\frac{C_{max}}{\sum p_j}$ , the algorithm will give bad approximation. So increasing the load on machine which have  $C_{max}$  to maximum value and decreasing rests of the load on other processors will reach worst case scenario. So total actual processing time will be given by following equation.

$$\sum p_j = \frac{\tilde{p}_j - \tilde{C}_{max}}{\alpha} + \alpha \tilde{C}_{max} \quad (4)$$

Also the actual optimal processing time have following constraint

$$C_{max}^* \geq \frac{\sum p_j}{m}$$

After putting the value of  $\sum p_j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} mC_{max}^* &\geq \frac{\tilde{p}_j - \tilde{C}_{max}}{\alpha} + \alpha\tilde{C}_{max} \\ \Rightarrow mC_{max}^* &\geq \frac{\tilde{p}_j - [\frac{\sum \tilde{p}_j + (m-1)\tilde{p}_l}{m}]}{\alpha} + C_{max} \end{aligned}$$

$$mC_{max}^* \geq \frac{m-1}{\alpha m} [\sum \tilde{p}_j - \tilde{p}_l] + C_{max}$$

By the property of LPT  $\sum \tilde{p}_j - \tilde{p}_l \geq m(\tilde{C}_{max} - \tilde{p}_l)$ , putting this we have,

$$\begin{aligned} mC_{max}^* &\geq \frac{m-1}{\alpha} [\tilde{C}_{max} - \tilde{p}_l] + C_{max} \\ \Rightarrow mC_{max}^* &\geq \frac{m-1}{\alpha} [\tilde{C}_{max} - \frac{\tilde{C}_{max}}{2}] + C_{max} \\ \Rightarrow mC_{max}^* &\geq \frac{m-1}{2\alpha} [\frac{C_{max}}{\alpha}] + C_{max} \\ \Rightarrow mC_{max}^* &\geq [\frac{m-1}{2\alpha^2} + 1]C_{max} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{C_{max}}{C_{max}^*} &\leq \frac{2\alpha^2 m}{2\alpha^2 + m - 1} \end{aligned}$$

#### IV. MODEL 2: REPLICATION IS DONE EVERYWHERE

In this problem model we consider no restriction on task assignment. There is no initial first phase to select where replication need to be done. All tasks are allowed to replicate everywhere There are  $n$  tasks which need to be assigned to  $m$  processors. We have applied simple Largest Processing Time Algorithms (LPT) using estimated processing times of tasks in non-increasing order as input.

**Theorem 2.1:**  $C_{max}^* \geq \frac{2}{\alpha^2} p_l$  for when there are at least two tasks in the machine to which  $l$  is scheduled.

**Proof:** Suppose  $l$  be the last task with estimated processing time  $\tilde{p}_l$ . Suppose there are at least two tasks in the machine in which  $l$  is assigned including  $l$ . Let  $C_{max}$  be the makespan of the schedule and  $C_{max}^*$  be the optimal makespan.

As there is at least one task  $j$  before  $l$  in the machine to which  $l$  is assigned, we have

$$C_{max}^* \geq p_l + p_j$$

As actual processing time of a task must be greater than  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  times of its estimated value, we have

$$C_{max}^* \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \tilde{p}_l + \frac{1}{\alpha} \tilde{p}_j$$

As  $j$  is scheduled before  $l$  using LPT on estimated values of processing times,  $\tilde{p}_j \geq \tilde{p}_l$  holds true for tasks  $l$  and  $j$ . Using this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{max}^* &\geq \frac{2}{\alpha} \tilde{p}_l \\ \Rightarrow C_{max}^* &\geq \frac{2}{\alpha^2} p_l \end{aligned}$$

So, for at least two tasks in machine, We have the following condition

$$C_{max}^* \geq \frac{2}{\alpha^2} p_l \quad (5)$$

**Theorem 2.2:**  $\frac{C_{max}}{C_{max}^*} \leq 1 + (\frac{m-1}{m}) \frac{\alpha^2}{2}$

**proof:** The optimal makespan,  $C_{max}^*$  must be atleast equal to average load on the  $m$  machines, We have

$$C_{max}^* \geq \frac{\sum p_j}{m} \quad (6)$$

By the property of LPT the load on each machine  $i$  is greater than the load on machine which reach  $C_{max}$  before the last task  $l$  is scheduled. So for each machine,  $C_{max} \leq \sum_{j \in E_i} p_j + p_l$  holds true. Adding for all the machines, We have

$$\begin{aligned} mC_{max} &\leq \sum p_j + (m-1)p_l \\ C_{max} &\leq \frac{\sum p_j}{m} + \frac{(m-1)}{m} p_l \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Using (8) and (9), We have

$$\frac{C_{max}}{C_{max}^*} \leq 1 + \frac{m-1}{m} (\frac{p_l}{C_{max}^*})$$

As  $C_{max}^* \geq \frac{2}{\alpha^2} p_l$ , We have

$$\frac{C_{max}}{C_{max}^*} \leq 1 + (\frac{m-1}{m}) \frac{\alpha^2}{2}$$

Hence the theorem follows.

## V. MODEL 3: REPLICATION IN GROUPS

We are tackling the problem of restricted scheduling where tasks are scheduled to machines in two phases. The first phase is in offline mode and each task is pre-assigned to a particular group of processors. In the second phase the tasks are scheduled within the group they are assigned to in first phase. We have a set  $J$  of  $n$  jobs. The size of each group is equal and have  $m$  processors within each group. We have considered task allocation in a group such that each task can be assigned to only one group. In phase 2 each task is scheduled to a particular processor within the group it was allocated in phase 1. We propose List Scheduling algorithm in both the phases. In phase 1 using LS we pre-assign the tasks in different groups. In phase 2 we use online LS to schedule tasks to processors within each group.

### A. When number of groups is 2

**Theorem 3.1:** When number of groups is 2 the approximation ratio is  $1 + \frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}$

**Proof:** Let us consider two groups be  $G1$  and  $G2$  and after phase 1 the load on each of two processors be  $\sum_{i \in G1} \tilde{p}_i$  and  $\sum_{i \in G2} \tilde{p}_i$  respectively. Without loss of generality, We can assume makespan,  $C_{max}$  comes from  $G1$ . As the consequence of List Scheduling load difference between two groups cannot exceed estimated longest task,  $\max_{i \in T} \tilde{p}_i$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i \in G1} \tilde{p}_i - \sum_{i \in G2} \tilde{p}_i \right| \leq \max_{i \in T} \tilde{p}_i \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{i \in G2} \tilde{p}_i & \geq \sum_{i \in G1} \tilde{p}_i - \max_{i \in T} \tilde{p}_i \end{aligned}$$

As the actual processing time of a task is between  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  and  $\alpha$  times of its estimated value, so we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \alpha \sum_{i \in G2} p_i & \geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i \in G1} p_i - \alpha \max_{i \in T} p_i \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{i \in G2} p_i & \geq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \sum_{i \in G1} p_i - \max_{i \in T} p_i \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In phase 2, We apply List Scheduling in online mode. As makespan,  $C_{max}$  comes from  $G1$ . Using List Scheduling, We have

$$C_{max} \leq \frac{\sum_{i \in G1} p_i}{m} + \frac{m-1}{m} p_{max} \quad (9)$$

where  $p_{max}$  is the longest task in  $G1$

Also,  $C_{max}^*$  must be greater than the average of the loads on machines, We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{max}^* & \geq \frac{\sum_{i \in T} p_i}{2m} \\ \Leftrightarrow C_{max}^* & \geq \frac{\sum_{i \in G1} p_i}{2m} + \frac{\sum_{i \in G2} p_i}{2m} \end{aligned}$$

from (10), We derive

$$\begin{aligned} C_{max}^* & \geq \frac{\sum_{i \in G1} p_i}{2m} + \frac{1}{2m\alpha^2} \sum_{i \in G1} p_i - \frac{1}{2m} \max_{i \in T} p_i \\ \Rightarrow 2m\alpha^2 [C_{max}^* + \frac{\max_{i \in T} p_i}{2m}] & \geq \alpha^2 \sum_{i \in G1} p_i + \sum_{i \in G1} p_i = (1+\alpha^2) \sum_{i \in G1} p_i \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{i \in G1} p_i & \leq \frac{2m\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2} [C_{max}^* + \frac{\max_{i \in T} p_i}{2m}] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Using (11) and (12),

$$C_{max} \leq \frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2} [C_{max}^* + \frac{\max_{i \in T} p_i}{2m}] + \frac{m-1}{m} p_{max}$$

As  $C_{max}^* \geq \max_{i \in T} p_i \geq p_{max}$ , we have

$$C_{max} \leq \frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2} [C_{max}^* + \frac{C_{max}^*}{2m}] + \frac{m-1}{m} C_{max}^*$$

So we have approximation ratio,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_{max}}{C_{max}^*} & \leq \frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{m(1+\alpha^2)} + \frac{m-1}{m} \\ & \leq 1 + \frac{2\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2} \end{aligned}$$

### B. When number of groups is $k$

**Theorem 3.2:** When the number of groups is  $k$  the approximation ratio is  $\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2+k-1} [k + \frac{k-1}{m}] + \frac{m-1}{m}$

**Proof:** We have  $k$  groups of  $m$  machines. We have restriction that each task can be assigned to only one of these groups.

Let us consider that  $C_{max}$  comes from group  $G1$ . Also, taking the property of List Scheduling that the load difference between any two groups cannot be greater than the largest task. So, for any group  $G_l \neq G1$ , We have

$$\left| \sum_{i \in G1} \tilde{p}_i - \sum_{i \in G_l} \tilde{p}_i \right| \leq \max_{i \in T} \tilde{p}_i \quad \text{for all, } l = 2, 3, \dots, k$$

Adding for all values of  $l$ , We have

$$|(k-1) \sum_{i \in G1} \tilde{p}_i - \sum_{l=2}^k \sum_{i \in Gl} \tilde{p}_i| \leq \frac{k-1}{m} C_{max}^* + \frac{m-1}{m} C_{max}^*$$

$$5 \Rightarrow \sum_{l=2}^k \sum_{i \in Gl} \tilde{p}_i \geq (k-1) [\sum_{i \in G1} \tilde{p}_i - \max_{i \in T} \tilde{p}_i]$$

As the actual processing time of tasks can vary within a factor  $\alpha$  and  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  of their estimated processing time, the following inequality holds

$$(k-1) \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i \in G1} p_i - \alpha \max_{i \in T} p_i \right] \geq \alpha \sum_{l=2}^k \sum_{i \in Gl} p_i$$

$$\sum_{l=2}^k \sum_{i \in Gl} p_i \geq (k-1) \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \sum_{i \in G1} p_i - \max_{i \in T} p_i \right] \quad (11)$$

In phase 2, We are applying LS on online mode. We assume that  $C_{max}$  comes from  $G1$ . Using LS property we can write,

$$C_{max} \leq \frac{\sum_{i \in G2} p_i}{m} + \frac{m-1}{m} p_{max} \quad (12)$$

Also,  $C_{max}^*$  must be greater than the average of the loads on machines.

$$C_{max}^* \geq \frac{\sum_{i \in T} p_i}{km}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\sum_{i \in G1} p_i + \sum_{l=2}^k \sum_{i \in Gl} p_i}{km} \geq C_{max}^*$$

from (13), we derive

$$\frac{\sum_{i \in G1} p_i + (k-1) \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \sum_{i \in G1} p_i - \max_{i \in T} p_i \right]}{km} \geq C_{max}^*$$

$$\Rightarrow km\alpha^2 C_{max}^* + \alpha^2 (k-1) \max_{i \in T} p_i \geq \alpha^2 \sum_{i \in G1} p_i + (k-1) \sum_{i \in G1} p_i$$

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + k - 1} [km C_{max}^* + (k-1) \max_{i \in T} p_i] \geq \sum_{i \in G1} p_i \quad (13)$$

Using (14) and (15), We have

$$C_{max} \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + k - 1} [k C_{max}^* + \frac{(k-1)}{m} \max_{i \in T} p_i] + \frac{m-1}{m} p_{max}$$

As  $C_{max}^* \geq \max_{i \in T} p_i \geq p_{max}$ , we have

$$C_{max} \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + k - 1} [k C_{max}^* +$$

So we have approximation ratio,

$$\frac{C_{max}}{C_{max}^*} \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + k - 1} \left[ k + \frac{k-1}{m} \right] + \frac{m-1}{m}$$

## VI. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here. this is more of the conclusionhhhhhhh

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank... more thanks here

## REFERENCES

- [1] Da Wang, Gauri Joshi, Gregory Wornell, *Efficient Task Replication for Fast Response Times in Parallel Computation*