Admission No- IITP 001316 Roll No - 2303 8es 155 Assignment - 3 Findout whether SI is conflict Serializable T, - R, (A), W, CA), R, (B), W, CB) To - R2(A) (D, (A), R, (B), W, (B) S, - K, (A), D, (A), R, (A), R, (B), B, R, B), D, (B) Soli- it schedule is a process to line-up transactions and execute them one by one. Let us put the given schedule in the transaction Rules to identify conflict Read > Read no conflicting Read > Write Write -> Read & conflicting $W_{1}(B)$ $R_{2}(B)$ $W_{2}(B)$ Write -> Write. Two operation can be declared as conflicting if 1) They belong to different transaction 2) They operate on the same data items 3) At-least one of them is write operation So, Conflicting Paix Non- Conflicting Pairs W2 (A), R, CA) Wy (A), W, (A) Ro (A), Lo (A) ROLAN, W, CAN R, (B), $\omega_2(A)$ $\omega_1(B)$, $R_2(B)$ $\omega_1(B)$, $\omega_1(B)$ R, (B), R2 (A) Roll, R, (A)

Name: - MANJIT SINGH DUMAN

Using these conflicting pair, lets draw the precendence graph. Ti (gde (T2) As, in this precendence graph we observed a cycle between T, 1/2 So, ther is no conflict Serializable. Q.2 Find out both the schedule are conflict Equivalent S, R, (A), W, (A), R, (B), W, (A), R, (B), W, (B), R, (A), W, (B) Sz Re(A), w, (A), Re(B), w, (B), R, (B), W, (B), R, (A), W, (A) Ans To declare the schedules, conflict Equivalent, the following conditions must be followed. @ Both schedule must form a cyclic Precedence graph 2 Schedule must follow same serializable order (3 One schedule can be transformed to another by swapping Precendence Graph w, (B):

In this presedence graph, we observed that there is a cycle, so schedule 'S,' is not conflicting schedule.

Sz Schedule Transactions Precendence Graph W, (A) RO (BL Based on the precendence graph & Schedule Can be declared as conflict serialable. Its precondence graph is not cyclic. Lets try to validate if we can tooms form Sz Schedule from S, Schedule by swapping non-conflicting operation. S, R2(A) W2(A) R2(B) W, (B) R, (B) W, B R, (A) W2(B) Swapping R, (A) W, (B) Non-Conflicting S_{12} $R_2(A)$ $\omega_2(A)$ $R_2(B)$ $\omega_1(B)$ $R_1(B)$ $\omega_2(B)$ ω So, of when we move again non-conflicting pair then we may get viguels, Thus a cyclic will form when we keep swynig. We can not transform S, into So by swaping, non-conflicting pair Hence S, 852 Schedule are "NOT CONFLICT EQUIVALENT. Oz Find if S. 852 are conflict Serializable Schedule. $S_1: R_1(x) R_1(y) R_2(x) R_2(y) W_2(y) W_1(x)$ $S_2: R_1(x) R_2(x) R_2(y) W_2(y) R_1(x) \omega_1(x)$ In Let us check the S, Schedule Transaction

