## A. Design & User Experience

1. How did your peers respond to your site's design (layout, color, typography, or organization)?

My peers liked the layout and color palette, though it was noted I should use a font (i wasn't before) to match the page's atmosphere.

2. Did they find the design effective in communicating your message and engaging users?

Yes they did

## **B.** Functionality & Performance

3. What issues did your peers identify with features or interactivity on your site?

It was noted my login button just goes into the dashboard, but this is intentional since there is no login functionality to be the inbetween route of the 2 pages.

4. Did they note any pages or elements that loaded slowly or inconsistently across devices or browsers?

They didn't notice any slow or inconsistency across the pages even though the lighthouse performance evaluation was only about ~70.

## C. Accessibility & Usability

5. What feedback did you receive about accessibility (e.g., alt text, color contrast, keyboard navigation)?

The lighthouse evaluation found that the contrast of colors on my page was inefficient enough and should be adjusted.

6. What can you improve to make your site more inclusive and user-friendly?

I can adjust the colors to stay consistent as mentioned before and keep the color in a good contrast from the text .

## D. Action Plan

7. What did your peers like most about your site?

They liked the concept, design, and how easy it is to follow / navigate.

8. What two improvements will you make based on their feedback, and how will you implement them?

I will be adjusting the text of the login/signup button to not break into 2 lines when the page is shrunk as well as keep the color usage blue to the same consistent shade.