@WeRateDogs Twitter Archive Project-Wrangle Report

By Manuel Cabrera

For this project we used three data sets:

- Enhanced Twitter Archive.
- Tweet Image Prediction.
- Retweets and Likes (Twitter API).

Enhanced Twitter Archive – Gathering and Pre-Assessment

Gathering

This data set was given to us by Udacity in the form of a comma-separated value file, to gather the data, it was necessary to simply use pandas "read csv".

Assessment

These were the findings during the assessment of the data set:

- Replies seem to be part of a different observational unit
- Source seems to have unnecessary characters and information
- Retweets seem to be part of a different observational unit
- Variables "doggo", "floofer", "pupper" and "puppo" seem to be values of a single variable, "Internet Nickname".
- Tweet ID is categorized as an integer.
- "in_reply_to_status_id", "in_reply_to_user_id", "retweeted_status_id" and "retweeted_status_user_id" are categorized as floats
- "Timestamp" and "retweeted_status_timestamp" are a combination of variables in a single variable.
- Rating denominator is not constant, hence the actual rating needs to be calculated.
- Several dog names are "such", "the", "this", "unacceptable"...

Tweet Image Predictions – Gathering and Pre-Assessment

Gathering

This data set was generated by a team of Udacity data scientists however, to download the file, it was necessary to do so programmatically. For this, we used the *Requests* library and the URL: https://d17h27t6h515a5.cloudfront.net/topher/2017/August/599fd2ad_image-predictions/image-predictions.tsv

Assessment

These were the findings during the assessment of the data set:

- Tweet ID is categorised as an integer.
- This dataset should be combined with the main tweets table.

Retweets and Likes (Twitter API) – Gathering and Pre-Assessment Gathering

This data set was downloaded from Twitter's API and to do so it was necessary to apply for a developer account and request several keys. Once approved by Twitter, we extracted the data as a text file and translated it into a dataframe.

Assessment

These were the findings during the assessment of the data set:

- Tweet ID is categorised as an integer.
- This table should be combined with the main tweets table (and retweets).

Final Assessment

Once the initial assessments were completed, then these were categorised according to the type of issue (quality or tidiness)

Visual and Programmatic Assessment - Quality

- Tweet ID is categorised as an integer.
- "in_reply_to_status_id", "in_reply_to_user_id", "retweeted_status_id" and "retweeted_status_user_id" are categorized as floats.
- Several dog names are not actual dog names.
- "Timestamp" and "retweeted_status_timestamp" are a combination of variables in a single variable.
- Source seems to have unnecessary characters and information.
- Rating Denominator is not constant.

Visual and Programmatic Assessment - Tidiness

- Variables "doggo", "floofer", "pupper" and "puppo" seem to be values of a single variable, "Internet_Nickname".
- Replies seem to be part of a different observational unit
- Retweets seem to be part of a different observational unit
- RT_L should be combined with the main tweets table (and retweets).

Cleaning

- Once finalized, the issues were resolved as it follows:
- Variables Categorised as integers these were converted into strings.
- Timestamp having multiple variables these were split into day, months, year and time.
- Source column requires stripping the main relevant information (source) was extracted
- "Internet_Nickname" variable The columns of "doggo", "floofer", "pupper" and "puppo" were removed and a single column called "Internet_Nickname" was created in which the tweets were categorised according to the previously deleted columns.
- Invalid Dog Names Observations with invalid dog names were replaced with None.
- Combine Tables All of the tables were combined into a single big Dataframe.
- Calculating actual Rating A new column was created based on the rating numerator and denominator.
- Separate Observational Units The big dataframe was then split into three smaller tables, "tweets", "retweets" and "replies".