

# Medium Access Control: Stochastic Methods - CSMA

In this lesson, we'll study the carrier sense multiple access protocol.

## We'll cover the following ^

- Carrier Sense Multiple Access (CSMA)
  - Why CSMA?
  - How It Works
  - Pseudocode
  - Non-persistent CSMA
  - Performance of CSMA Variants
- Quick Quiz!

## Carrier Sense Multiple Access (CSMA) #

### Why CSMA? #

- **ALOHA and slotted ALOHA** can easily be implemented, but using them in anything but a lightly loaded network will be extremely inefficient. Designing a network for a very low utilization is possible, but it clearly increases the cost of the network.
- To overcome the problems of ALOHA, many Medium Access Control mechanisms have been proposed which **improve channel utilization**.
- Carrier Sense Multiple Access (CSMA) is one of these and is a significant improvement compared to ALOHA.

### How It Works #

CSMA requires all nodes to listen to the transmission channel to verify that it's free before transmitting a frame. When a node senses the channel to be busy, it defers its transmission until the channel becomes free again.

### Pseudocode #

The pseudocode below provides a more detailed description of the operation of CSMA.

```
1 # persistent CSMA
2 N=1
3 while N <= max:
4     wait(channel_becomes_free)
5     send(frame)
6     wait(ack or timeout)
7     if ack:
8         break # transmission was successful
9     else :
10        # timeout
11        N=N+1
12 # end of while loop
13 # Too many transmission attempts
```

Pseudocode: operation of CSMA terminal

The above pseudocode is often called **persistent CSMA** as the terminal will **continuously listen** to the channel and transmit its frame as soon as the channel becomes free.

## Non-persistent CSMA #

Another important variant of CSMA is the **non-persistent CSMA**. The main difference between persistent and non-persistent CSMA described in the pseudocode below is that a non-persistent CSMA node **does not continuously listen to the channel** to determine when it becomes free. When a non-persistent CSMA terminal senses the transmission channel to be busy, it **waits for a random time before sensing the channel again**. This improves channel utilization compared to persistent CSMA. With persistent CSMA, when two terminals sense the channel to be busy, they will both transmit (and thus cause a collision) as soon as the channel becomes free.

However, the higher channel utilization achieved by non-persistent CSMA comes at the expense of **slightly higher waiting time** in the terminals when the network is lightly loaded.

```
# Non persistent CSMA
```

```

N=1
while N <= max:
    listen(channel)

    if free(channel):
        send(frame)
        wait(ack or timeout)
        if received(ack):
            break # transmission was successful
        else:
            # timeout
            N=N+1
    else:
        wait(random_time)
# end of while loop
# Too many transmission attempts

```

## Performance of CSMA Variants #

[Kleinrock and Tobagi](#) analyzed the performance of several CSMA variants in detail. Under some assumptions about the transmission channel and the traffic, here's a table of the channel utilization of each protocol we've looked at so far.

Protocol	Channel Utilization
ALOHA	18.4%
Slotted ALOHA	36.6%
Persistent CSMA	52.9%
non-persistent CSMA	81.5%

## Quick Quiz! #

1

What's the difference between persistent and non-persistent CSMA?



A) Persistent CSMA constantly monitors the channel to

determine when it is free, whereas non-persistent CSMA checks the channel at random intervals.

☐ B) Non-persistent CSMA constantly monitors the channel to determine when it's free, whereas persistent CSMA checks the channel at random intervals.

☐ C) Persistent CSMA doesn't monitor the channel to determine when it's free whereas non-persistent CSMA checks the channel at random intervals.

COMPLETED 0%

1 of 2



In the next lesson, we'll look at an incredibly popular variant of the carrier sense multiple access protocol.