



Login

Permutation Sequence / "Explain-like-I'm-five" Java Solution in  $O(n)$  

## "Explain-like-I'm-five" Java Solution in $O(n)$

▲  
198  
▼



tso

Reputation: ★ 198

I'm sure somewhere can be simplified so it'd be nice if anyone can let me know. The pattern was that:

say  $n = 4$ , you have  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

If you were to list out all the permutations you have

1 + (permutations of 2, 3, 4)

2 + (permutations of 1, 3, 4)

3 + (permutations of 1, 2, 4)

4 + (permutations of 1, 2, 3)

We know how to calculate the number of permutations of  $n$  numbers...  $n!$  So each of those with permutations of 3 numbers means there are 6 possible permutations. Meaning there would be a total of 24 permutations in this particular one. So if you were to look for the ( $k = 14$ ) 14th permutation, it would be in the

3 + (permutations of 1, 2, 4) subset.

To programmatically get that, you take  $k = 13$  (subtract 1 because of things always starting at 0) and divide that by the 6 we got from the factorial, which would give you the index of the number you want. In the array {1, 2, 3, 4},  $k/(n-1)! = 13/(4-1)! = 13/3! = 13/6 = 2$ . The array {1, 2, 3, 4} has a value of 3 at index 2. So the first number is a 3.

Then the problem repeats with less numbers.

The permutations of {1, 2, 4} would be:

1 + (permutations of 2, 4)

2 + (permutations of 1, 4)

4 + (permutations of 1, 2)

But our  $k$  is no longer the 14th, because in the previous step, we've already eliminated the 12 4-number permutations starting with 1 and 2. So you subtract 12 from  $k$ .. which gives you 1. Programmatically that would be...

$$k = k - (\text{index from previous}) * (n-1)! = k - 2*(n-1)! = 13 - 2*(3)! = 1$$

In this second step, permutations of 2 numbers has only 2 possibilities, meaning each of the three permutations listed above has two possibilities, giving a total of 6. We're looking for the first one, so that would be in the 1 + (permutations of 2, 4) subset.

Meaning: index to get number from is  $k / (n - 2)! = 1 / (4-2)! = 1 / 2! = 0$ .. from {1, 2, 4}, index 0 is 1

so the numbers we have so far is 3, 1... and then repeating without explanations.

{2, 4}

$k = k - (\text{index from pervious}) * (n-2)! = k - 0 * (n - 2)! = 1 - 0 = 1;$

third number's index =  $k / (n - 3)! = 1 / (4-3)! = 1 / 1! = 1 \dots$  from {2, 4}, index 1 has 4

Third number is 4

{2}

$k = k - (\text{index from pervious}) * (n - 3)! = k - 1 * (4 - 3)! = 1 - 1 = 0;$

third number's index =  $k / (n - 4)! = 0 / (4-4)! = 0 / 1 = 0 \dots$  from {2}, index 0 has 2

Fourth number is 2

Giving us 3142. If you manually list out the permutations using DFS method, it would be 3142. Done! It really was all about pattern finding.

```
public class Solution {
    public String getPermutation(int n, int k) {
        int pos = 0;
        List<Integer> numbers = new ArrayList<>();
        int[] factorial = new int[n+1];
        StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder();

        // create an array of factorial lookup
        int sum = 1;
        factorial[0] = 1;
        for(int i=1; i<=n; i++){
            sum *= i;
            factorial[i] = sum;
        }
    }
}
```

```

        // factorial[] = {1, 1, 2, 6, 24, ... n!}

        // create a list of numbers to get indices
        for(int i=1; i<=n; i++){
            numbers.add(i);
        }
        // numbers = {1, 2, 3, 4}

        k--;

        for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++){
            int index = k/factorial[n-i];
            sb.append(String.valueOf(numbers.get(index)));
            numbers.remove(index);
            k-=index*factorial[n-i];
        }

        return String.valueOf(sb);
    }
}

```



2



**FanFeng**

Reputation: ★ 2

for the 1st step, 1+(2,3,4), 2+(1,3,4), 3+(1,2,4), 4+(1,2,3) i think it would give us 24 permutations instead of 16 cuz just as you said, each 3 numbers give us 3! -> 6 permutations, we have 4 here, so it should be 4\*6 = 24 permutations, right??



0



tso

Reputation: ★ 198

Yes, you're right. Sorry for the typo.



3



yellowstone

Reputation: ★ 270

A little trick.

we can use

```
sb.append(numbers.get(index)); //because sb.append function will append the string representation
```

instead of

```
sb.append(String.valueOf(numbers.get(index)));
```



1



yellowstone

Reputation: ★ 270

My idea is the same as yours.

```
public String getPermutation(int n, int k){
    ArrayList<Integer> list = new ArrayList<Integer>();
    StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder();
    for(int i=1; i<=n; i++) {
        list.add(i);
    }
}
```

```

        int nth = k-1;
        int divider = factorial(n-1);
        while(n>1) {
            int index = nth/divider;
            nth = nth%divider;
            sb.append(list.get(index));
            list.remove(index);
            divider /= n-1;
            n--;
        }
        sb.append(list.get(0)); // append last digit

        return sb.toString();
    }

    public int factorial(int n) { // use tail recursion
        return fact(n, 1);
    }
    private int fact(int n, int k) {
        if(n==0)
            return k;
        else {
            return fact(n-1, n*k);
        }
    }
}

```



0



**Alexpanda**

Reputation: ★ 78

Very clear and detailed explanation! Thanks!

▲  
5  
▼



**brianbclan**

Reputation: ★ 7

If you use remove on the array, it is not  $O(n)$ .

▲  
3  
▼



**WillowLeaf**

Reputation: ★ 4

The complexity is actually  $O(n^2)$ , since remove in an arrayList will take  $O(n)$  complexity.

▲  
5  
▼



**yangyimeng**

Reputation: ★ 5

not  $O(n)$ , it is  $O(n^2)$

▲  
1  
▼



**TWiStErRob**

Reputation: ★ 183

Heh, and I though I reinvented the wheel in my [question](#) (different problem, but used the same logic as you in a loop).

▲  
0  
▼



**monkeyGoCrazy**

Reputation: ★ 274

▼ You save my day man

▲  
15  
▼



**EdickCoding**

Reputation: ★ 167

Thanks for sharing. I rewrote it to make it shorter

```
public class Solution {  
    public String getPermutation(int n, int k) {  
        StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder();  
        ArrayList<Integer> num = new ArrayList<Integer>();  
        int fact = 1;  
        for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {  
            fact *= i;  
            num.add(i);  
        }  
        for (int i = 0, l = k - 1; i < n; i++) {  
            fact /= (n - i);  
            int index = (l / fact);  
            sb.append(num.remove(index));  
            l -= index * fact;  
        }  
        return sb.toString();  
    }  
}
```

▲  
0  
▼



**jaqenhgar**

Reputation: ★ 754



OP is a redditor!!



0



**raymartial**

Reputation: ★ 0

thank you for explanation details!



0



**airjordan919**

Reputation: ★ 67

I had the same idea, but some parts are not as clear as your code. I re-wrote mine based on your idea and made some minor changes. Thanks for sharing!

```
public String getPermutation(int n, int k) {  
  
    if (n < 1 || n > 9 || k < 1) return "";  
  
    int[] fac = new int[n + 1];  
    fac[0] = 1;  
    for (int i = 1; i < n; i++) fac[i] = i * fac[i - 1];  
  
    List<Integer> numbers = new ArrayList<>();  
    for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) numbers.add(i);  
    StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder();  
  
    k--;  
  
    for (int i = n - 1; i >= 0; i--) {  
        int index = k / fac[i];
```

```
        sb.append(numbers.remove(index));  
        k %= fac[i];  
    }  
  
    return sb.toString();  
  
}
```



0



**ruby.zhu**

Reputation: ★ 4

I am such a fool that I use `Math.ceil(k/factorial[n-i])!!!`



1



**willcomeback**

Reputation: ★ 24

can anyone explain how to come up with the idea "k--", I stuck here for a long time thx



0



**iaming**

Reputation: ★ 178

why not linkedlist, theoretically it is faster for the remove, isn't it?

▲  
1  
▼



**CandyRobbery**

Reputation: ★ 53

@willcomeback Because k in the input is 1-indexed. In the solution it's used as the index to look up numbers in each iteration, which is 0-indexed.

▲  
0  
▼



**dianhua1560**

Reputation: ★ 42

Getting TLE...

▲  
1  
▼



**lisen**

↩ @dianhua1560

Reputation: ★ 24

@dianhua1560 Did you solve the TLE problem?

I got it for my code and I can't figure out the problem so I copy this most voted code and it's still TLE for test case 8 8590.

I test it on my computer and count the time, it's as fast as 0ms.

Follow up: There might be something wrong with the network or server. I got accept with the same code after several time submit.



33

POSTS

14590

VIEWS

Log in to reply

