



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Bayesian Statistics and Data Analysis

Lecture 5

Måns Magnusson

Department of Statistics, Uppsala University
Thanks to Aki Vehtari, Aalto University

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

It's all about expectations

$$E_{p(\theta|y)}[f(\theta)] = \int f(\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta,$$

$$\text{where } p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta}$$

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



It's all about expectations

$$E_{p(\theta|y)}[f(\theta)] = \int f(\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta,$$

$$\text{where } p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta}$$

We can easily evaluate $p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$ for any θ , but the integral $\int p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta$ is usually difficult.

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



It's all about expectations

$$E_{p(\theta|y)}[f(\theta)] = \int f(\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta,$$

$$\text{where } p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta}$$

We can easily evaluate $p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$ for any θ , but the integral $\int p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta$ is usually difficult.

We can use the unnormalized posterior $q(\theta|y) = p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$, for example, in

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



It's all about expectations

$$E_{p(\theta|y)}[f(\theta)] = \int f(\theta)p(\theta|y)d\theta,$$

$$\text{where } p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta}$$

We can easily evaluate $p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$ for any θ , but the integral $\int p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta$ is usually difficult.

We can use the unnormalized posterior $q(\theta|y) = p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$, for example, in

- Monte Carlo methods which can sample from $p(\theta^{(s)}|y)$ using only $q(\theta^{(s)}|y)$

$$E_{p(\theta|y)}[f(\theta)] \approx \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S f(\theta^{(s)})$$

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Monte Carlo

- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- Monte Carlo methods we have discussed so far
 - Inverse CDF works for 1D



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Monte Carlo

- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- Monte Carlo methods we have discussed so far
 - Inverse CDF works for 1D
 - Analytic transformations work for only certain distributions



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Monte Carlo methods we have discussed so far
 - Inverse CDF works for 1D
 - Analytic transformations work for only certain distributions
 - Grid methods works in less than a few dimensions



- Monte Carlo recap

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Gibbs sampling
- Metropolis-Hastings

- MCMC Diagnostics

- Warm-up
- Convergence
- S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation

- Difficult geometries

- Monte Carlo methods we have discussed so far
 - Inverse CDF works for 1D
 - Analytic transformations work for only certain distributions
 - Grid methods works in less than a few dimensions
 - Rejection sampling works mostly in 1D (truncation is a special case)



- Monte Carlo recap

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Gibbs sampling
- Metropolis-Hastings

- MCMC Diagnostics

- Warm-up
- Convergence
- S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation

- Difficult geometries

- Monte Carlo methods we have discussed so far
 - Inverse CDF works for 1D
 - Analytic transformations work for only certain distributions
 - Grid methods works in less than a few dimensions
 - Rejection sampling works mostly in 1D (truncation is a special case)
 - Importance sampling is reliable only in special cases



- Monte Carlo recap

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Gibbs sampling
- Metropolis-Hastings

- MCMC Diagnostics

- Warm-up
- Convergence
- S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation

- Difficult geometries

- Monte Carlo methods we have discussed so far
 - Inverse CDF works for 1D
 - Analytic transformations work for only certain distributions
 - Grid methods works in less than a few dimensions
 - Rejection sampling works mostly in 1D (truncation is a special case)
 - Importance sampling is reliable only in special cases
- What to do in high dimensions?



- Monte Carlo recap

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Gibbs sampling
- Metropolis-Hastings

- MCMC Diagnostics

- Warm-up
- Convergence
- S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation

- Difficult geometries

- Monte Carlo methods we have discussed so far
 - Inverse CDF works for 1D
 - Analytic transformations work for only certain distributions
 - Grid methods works in less than a few dimensions
 - Rejection sampling works mostly in 1D (truncation is a special case)
 - Importance sampling is reliable only in special cases
- What to do in high dimensions?
 - Markov chain Monte Carlo (Ch 11-12)



- Monte Carlo recap

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Gibbs sampling
- Metropolis-Hastings

- MCMC Diagnostics

- Warm-up
- Convergence
- S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation

- Difficult geometries

- Monte Carlo methods we have discussed so far
 - Inverse CDF works for 1D
 - Analytic transformations work for only certain distributions
 - Grid methods works in less than a few dimensions
 - Rejection sampling works mostly in 1D (truncation is a special case)
 - Importance sampling is reliable only in special cases
- What to do in high dimensions?
 - Markov chain Monte Carlo (Ch 11-12)
 - Laplace, Variational*, EP* (Ch 4, 13*, next course)



- Andrey Markov proved weak law of large numbers and central limit theorem for certain dependent-random sequences, which were later named Markov chains

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Andrey Markov proved weak law of large numbers and central limit theorem for certain dependent-random sequences, which were later named Markov chains
- The probability of each event depends only on the state attained in the previous event (or finite number of previous events)

$$p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1}, \theta_{t-2}, \dots) = p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Markov chains

- Andrey Markov proved weak law of large numbers and central limit theorem for certain dependent-random sequences, which were later named Markov chains
- The probability of each event depends only on the state attained in the previous event (or finite number of previous events)

$$p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1}, \theta_{t-2}, \dots) = p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$$

- $T_t(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1}) \equiv p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$ is usually referred to as the **transition distribution**



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Markov chains

- Andrey Markov proved weak law of large numbers and central limit theorem for certain dependent-random sequences, which were later named Markov chains
- The probability of each event depends only on the state attained in the previous event (or finite number of previous events)

$$p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1}, \theta_{t-2}, \dots) = p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$$

- $T_t(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1}) \equiv p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$ is usually referred to as the **transition distribution**
- Under some assumptions $p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$ will converge (in total variation) to *one* **stationary distribution** $p(\theta)$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Markov chains

- Andrey Markov proved weak law of large numbers and central limit theorem for certain dependent-random sequences, which were later named Markov chains
- The probability of each event depends only on the state attained in the previous event (or finite number of previous events)

$$p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1}, \theta_{t-2}, \dots) = p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$$

- $T_t(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1}) \equiv p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$ is usually referred to as the **transition distribution**
- Under some assumptions $p(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$ will converge (in total variation) to *one* **stationary distribution** $p(\theta)$
- Goal in MCMC: Construct a **transition distribution** with $p(\theta|y)$ as the **stationary distribution**



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries
- Produce draws $\theta_{(t)}$ given $\theta_{(t-1)}$ from a Markov chain, with stationary distribution $p(\theta|y)$



Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries
- Produce draws $\theta_{(t)}$ given $\theta_{(t-1)}$ from a Markov chain, with stationary distribution $p(\theta|y)$
 - + generic
 - + combine sequence of easier Monte Carlo draws to form a Markov chain



Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries
- Produce draws $\theta_{(t)}$ given $\theta_{(t-1)}$ from a Markov chain, with stationary distribution $p(\theta|y)$
 - + generic
 - + combine sequence of easier Monte Carlo draws to form a Markov chain
 - + chain goes where most of the posterior mass is
 - + asymptotically chain spends the $\alpha\%$ of time where $\alpha\%$ posterior mass is



Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries
- Produce draws $\theta_{(t)}$ given $\theta_{(t-1)}$ from a Markov chain, with stationary distribution $p(\theta|y)$
 - + generic
 - + combine sequence of easier Monte Carlo draws to form a Markov chain
 - + chain goes where most of the posterior mass is
 - + asymptotically chain spends the $\alpha\%$ of time where $\alpha\%$ posterior mass is
 - + central limit theorem holds for expectations



Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries
- Produce draws $\theta_{(t)}$ given $\theta_{(t-1)}$ from a Markov chain, with stationary distribution $p(\theta|y)$
 - + generic
 - + combine sequence of easier Monte Carlo draws to form a Markov chain
 - + chain goes where most of the posterior mass is
 - + asymptotically chain spends the $\alpha\%$ of time where $\alpha\%$ posterior mass is
 - + central limit theorem holds for expectations
 - draws are dependent
 - construction of efficient Markov chains is not always easy



Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Random variables $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$ where θ_t depends only on the previous $\theta_{(t-1)}$

$$p(\theta_t | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{(t-1)}) = p(\theta_t | \theta_{(t-1)})$$



Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Random variables $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$ where θ_t depends only on the previous $\theta_{(t-1)}$

$$p(\theta_t | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{(t-1)}) = p(\theta_t | \theta_{(t-1)})$$

- Chain has to be initialized with some starting point θ_0



Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Random variables $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$ where θ_t depends only on the previous $\theta_{(t-1)}$

$$p(\theta_t | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{(t-1)}) = p(\theta_t | \theta_{(t-1)})$$

- Chain has to be initialized with some starting point θ_0
- Transition distribution $T_t(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$ (may depend on t)



Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Random variables $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$ where θ_t depends only on the previous $\theta_{(t-1)}$

$$p(\theta_t | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{(t-1)}) = p(\theta_t | \theta_{(t-1)})$$

- Chain has to be initialized with some starting point θ_0
- Transition distribution $T_t(\theta_t | \theta_{t-1})$ (may depend on t)
- Choose a transition distribution so the **stationary distribution** of the Markov chain is $p(\theta|y)$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Alternate sampling from conditional distributions
- Basic algorithm, for $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$

sample $\theta_{j,t}$ from $p(\theta_j | \theta_{-j,t-1}, y)$,

where $\theta_{j,t-1} = (\theta_{1,J}, \dots, \theta_{j-1,t}, \theta_{j+1,t-1}, \dots, \theta_{t-1,J})$

- Will converge (in total variation) to $p(\theta|y)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Alternate sampling from conditional distributions
- Basic algorithm, for $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$

sample $\theta_{j,t}$ from $p(\theta_j | \theta_{-j,t-1}, y)$,

where $\theta_{j,t-1} = (\theta_{1,J}, \dots, \theta_{j-1,t}, \theta_{j+1,t-1}, \dots, \theta_{t-1,J})$

- Will converge (in total variation) to $p(\theta|y)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$
- j can be multiple (blocked) parameters
- 1D sampling ($|j| = 1$) is generally easy



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Alternate sampling from conditional distributions
- Basic algorithm, for $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$


sample $\theta_{j,t}$ from $p(\theta_j | \theta_{-j,t-1}, y)$,

where $\theta_{j,t-1} = (\theta_{1,J}, \dots, \theta_{j-1,t}, \theta_{j+1,t-1}, \dots, \theta_{t-1,J})$

- Will converge (in total variation) to $p(\theta|y)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$
- j can be multiple (blocked) parameters
- 1D sampling ($|j| = 1$) is generally easy
- Related to the (stochastic) EM algorithm



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



demo



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Gibbs sampling

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries
- With **conditionally conjugate** priors, the sampling from the conditional distributions is easy for wide range of models



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Gibbs sampling

- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- With **conditionally conjugate** priors, the sampling from the conditional distributions is easy for wide range of models
 - BUGS / WinBUGS / OpenBUGS / JAGS



- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- With **conditionally conjugate** priors, the sampling from the conditional distributions is easy for wide range of models
 - BUGS / WinBUGS / OpenBUGS / JAGS
 - No algorithm parameters to tune



- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- With **conditionally conjugate** priors, the sampling from the conditional distributions is easy for wide range of models
 - BUGS / WinBUGS / OpenBUGS / JAGS
 - No algorithm parameters to tune
 - For not so easy conditionals, use e.g. inverse-CDF



- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- With **conditionally conjugate** priors, the sampling from the conditional distributions is easy for wide range of models
 - BUGS / WinBUGS / OpenBUGS / JAGS
 - No algorithm parameters to tune
 - For not so easy conditionals, use e.g. inverse-CDF
 - Several parameters can be updated in blocks (*blocking*)




- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- With **conditionally conjugate** priors, the sampling from the conditional distributions is easy for wide range of models
- BUGS / WinBUGS / OpenBUGS / JAGS
- No algorithm parameters to tune
- For not so easy conditionals, use e.g. inverse-CDF
- Several parameters can be updated in blocks (*blocking*)
- Slow if parameters are highly dependent in the posterior...



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



demo



- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- How about sampling θ jointly?
 - e.g. it is easy to sample from multivariate normal



Sampling conditional vs joint

- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- How about sampling θ jointly?
 - e.g. it is easy to sample from multivariate normal
 - Can we use that to form a Markov chain?



- Algorithm

1. starting point θ^0

2. $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- (a) pick a proposal θ^* from a **proposal distribution** $J_t(\theta^*|\theta_{t-1})$.

Proposal distribution has to be symmetric, i.e.

$$J_t(\theta_a|\theta_b) = J_t(\theta_b|\theta_a), \text{ for all } \theta_a, \theta_b$$

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - **Metropolis-Hastings**
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

The Metropolis algorithm

- Algorithm

1. starting point θ^0

2. $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- (a) pick a proposal θ^* from a **proposal distribution** $J_t(\theta^*|\theta_{t-1})$.

Proposal distribution has to be symmetric, i.e.

$J_t(\theta_a|\theta_b) = J_t(\theta_b|\theta_a)$, for all θ_a, θ_b

- (b) calculate acceptance ratio

$$r = \frac{p(\theta^*|y)}{p(\theta_{t-1}|y)}$$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

The Metropolis algorithm

- Algorithm

1. starting point θ^0

2. $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- (a) pick a proposal θ^* from a **proposal distribution** $J_t(\theta^*|\theta_{t-1})$.

Proposal distribution has to be symmetric, i.e.

$J_t(\theta_a|\theta_b) = J_t(\theta_b|\theta_a)$, for all θ_a, θ_b

- (b) calculate acceptance ratio

- (c) set

$$r = \frac{p(\theta^*|y)}{p(\theta_{t-1}|y)}$$

$$\theta_t = \begin{cases} \theta^* & \text{with probability } \min(r, 1) \\ \theta_{t-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

The Metropolis algorithm

- Algorithm

1. starting point θ^0

2. $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- (a) pick a proposal θ^* from a **proposal distribution** $J_t(\theta^*|\theta_{t-1})$.

Proposal distribution has to be symmetric, i.e.

$J_t(\theta_a|\theta_b) = J_t(\theta_b|\theta_a)$, for all θ_a, θ_b

- (b) calculate acceptance ratio

$$r = \frac{p(\theta^*|y)}{p(\theta_{t-1}|y)}$$

- (c) set

$$\theta_t = \begin{cases} \theta^* & \text{with probability } \min(r, 1) \\ \theta_{t-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

ie, if $p(\theta^*|y) > p(\theta_{t-1}|y)$ accept the proposal always
and otherwise accept the proposal with probability r



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

The Metropolis algorithm

- Algorithm

1. starting point θ^0

2. $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- (a) pick a proposal θ^* from a **proposal distribution** $J_t(\theta^*|\theta_{t-1})$.

Proposal distribution has to be symmetric, i.e.

$J_t(\theta_a|\theta_b) = J_t(\theta_b|\theta_a)$, for all θ_a, θ_b

- (b) calculate acceptance ratio

- (c) set
$$r = \frac{p(\theta^*|y)}{p(\theta_{t-1}|y)}$$
$$\theta_t = \begin{cases} \theta^* & \text{with probability } \min(r, 1) \\ \theta_{t-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- rejection of a proposal increments the time t also by one ie, the new state is the same as previous



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

The Metropolis algorithm

- Algorithm

1. starting point θ^0

2. $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- (a) pick a proposal θ^* from a **proposal distribution** $J_t(\theta^*|\theta_{t-1})$.

Proposal distribution has to be symmetric, i.e.

$J_t(\theta_a|\theta_b) = J_t(\theta_b|\theta_a)$, for all θ_a, θ_b

- (b) calculate acceptance ratio

- (c) set
$$r = \frac{p(\theta^*|y)}{p(\theta_{t-1}|y)}$$
$$\theta_t = \begin{cases} \theta^* & \text{with probability } \min(r, 1) \\ \theta_{t-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- rejection of a proposal increments the time t also by one ie, the new state is the same as previous
- step c is executed by generating a random number from $\mathcal{U}(0, 1)$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

The Metropolis algorithm

- Algorithm

1. starting point θ^0

2. $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- (a) pick a proposal θ^* from a **proposal distribution** $J_t(\theta^*|\theta_{t-1})$.

Proposal distribution has to be symmetric, i.e.

$$J_t(\theta_a|\theta_b) = J_t(\theta_b|\theta_a), \text{ for all } \theta_a, \theta_b$$

- (b) calculate acceptance ratio

- (c) set
$$r = \frac{p(\theta^*|y)}{p(\theta_{t-1}|y)}$$
$$\theta_t = \begin{cases} \theta^* & \text{with probability } \min(r, 1) \\ \theta_{t-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- rejection of a proposal increments the time t also by one ie, the new state is the same as previous
- step c is executed by generating a random number from $\mathcal{U}(0, 1)$
- $p(\theta^*|y)$ and $p(\theta_{t-1}|y)$ have the same normalization terms, and thus instead of $p(\cdot|y)$, unnormalized $q(\cdot|y)$ can be used, **as the normalization terms cancel out!**



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Example: one bivariate observation (y_1, y_2)
 - bivariate normal distribution with unknown mean and known covariance

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big| y \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

- proposal distribution $J_t(\theta^* | \theta_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\theta^* | \theta_{t-1}, \sigma_p^2)$

demo



Why Metropolis algorithm works

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries
- Intuitively more draws from the higher density areas as jumps to higher density are always accepted and only some of the jumps to the lower density are accepted



Why Metropolis algorithm works

- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- Intuitively more draws from the higher density areas as jumps to higher density are always accepted and only some of the jumps to the lower density are accepted
 - Theoretically
 1. Prove that simulated series is a Markov chain which has unique stationary distribution
 2. Prove that this stationary distribution is the desired target distribution $p(\theta|y)$



Why Metropolis algorithm works

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

1. Prove that simulated series is a Markov chain which has unique stationary distribution
 - a) irreducible
 - b) aperiodic
 - c) recurrent / not transient



Why Metropolis algorithm works

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

1. Prove that simulated series is a Markov chain which has unique stationary distribution
 - a) irreducible
 - = positive probability of eventually reaching any state from any other state
 - b) aperiodic
 - c) recurrent / not transient



Why Metropolis algorithm works

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

1. Prove that simulated series is a Markov chain which has unique stationary distribution
 - a) irreducible
 - = positive probability of eventually reaching any state from any other state
 - b) aperiodic
 - = aperiodic (return times are not periodic)
 - holds for a random walk on any proper distribution (except for trivial exceptions)
 - c) recurrent / not transient



Why Metropolis algorithm works

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

1. Prove that simulated series is a Markov chain which has unique stationary distribution
 - a) irreducible
 - = positive probability of eventually reaching any state from any other state
 - b) aperiodic
 - = aperiodic (return times are not periodic)
 - holds for a random walk on any proper distribution (except for trivial exceptions)
 - c) recurrent / not transient
 - = probability to return to a state i is 1 as $T \rightarrow \infty$
 - holds for a random walk on any proper distribution (except for trivial exceptions)



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Why Metropolis algorithm works

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

2. Prove that this stationary distribution is the desired target distribution $p(\theta|y)$: see book



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Generalization of Metropolis algorithm for **non-symmetric** proposal distributions
 - acceptance ratio includes ratio of proposal distributions

$$r = \frac{p(\theta^*|y)/J_t(\theta^*|\theta_{t-1})}{p(\theta_{t-1}|y)/J_t(\theta_{t-1}|\theta^*)}$$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Generalization of Metropolis algorithm for **non-symmetric** proposal distributions
 - acceptance ratio includes ratio of proposal distributions

$$r = \frac{p(\theta^*|y)/J_t(\theta^*|\theta_{t-1})}{p(\theta_{t-1}|y)/J_t(\theta_{t-1}|\theta^*)} = \frac{p(\theta^*|y)J_t(\theta_{t-1}|\theta^*)}{p(\theta_{t-1}|y)J_t(\theta^*|\theta_{t-1})}$$



Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

- Ideal proposal distribution is the distribution itself
 - $J(\theta^*|\theta) \equiv p(\theta^*|y)$ for all θ
 - acceptance probability is 1
 - independent draws
 - not usually feasible

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

- Ideal proposal distribution is the distribution itself
 - $J(\theta^*|\theta) \equiv p(\theta^*|y)$ for all θ
 - acceptance probability is 1
 - independent draws
 - not usually feasible
- Good proposal distribution resembles the target distribution
 - if the shape of the target distribution is unknown, usually normal or t distribution is used

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

- Ideal proposal distribution is the distribution itself
 - $J(\theta^*|\theta) \equiv p(\theta^*|y)$ for all θ
 - acceptance probability is 1
 - independent draws
 - not usually feasible
- Good proposal distribution resembles the target distribution
 - if the shape of the target distribution is unknown, usually normal or t distribution is used
- After the proposal distribution shape has been selected, it is important to select the scale
 - small scale
 - many steps accepted, but the chain moves slowly due to small steps
 - big scale
 - long steps proposed, but many of those rejected and again chain moves slowly

demo



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

- Ideal proposal distribution is the distribution itself
 - $J(\theta^*|\theta) \equiv p(\theta^*|y)$ for all θ
 - acceptance probability is 1
 - independent draws
 - not usually feasible
- Good proposal distribution resembles the target distribution
 - if the shape of the target distribution is unknown, usually normal or t distribution is used
- After the proposal distribution shape has been selected, it is important to select the scale
 - small scale
 - many steps accepted, but the chain moves slowly due to small steps
 - big scale
 - long steps proposed, but many of those rejected and again chain moves slowly

demo

- Generic rule for rejection rate is 60-90%




- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- Specific case of Metropolis-Hastings algorithm
 - single updated (or blocked)
 - proposal distribution is the conditional distribution
 - proposal and target distributions are same
 - acceptance probability is 1




- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Usually doesn't scale well to high dimensions
 - if the shape doesn't match the whole distribution, the efficiency drops



• Draws — Steps of the sampler — 90% HPI



• Draws — Steps of the sampler — 90% HPI



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- **MCMC Diagnostics**
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Section 3

MCMC Diagnostics



Gibbs sampling as a special case

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- **MCMC Diagnostics**
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries
- Specific case of Metropolis-Hastings algorithm
 - single updated (or blocked)
 - proposal distribution is the conditional distribution
 - proposal and target distributions are same
 - acceptance probability is 1



What we want to know

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

1. Has the chains **converged** to the posterior distribution?
2. How many **efficient** samples do I have?



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Warm-up


- Asymptotically chain spends the $\alpha\%$ of time where $\alpha\%$ posterior mass is

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



Warm-up

- Asymptotically chain spends the $\alpha\%$ of time where $\alpha\%$ posterior mass is
 - but in finite time the initial part of the Markov chain may be non-representative



• Draws — Steps of the sampler — 90% HPD


- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Warm-up

- Asymptotically chain spends the $\alpha\%$ of time where $\alpha\%$ posterior mass is
 - but in finite time the initial part of the Markov chain may be non-representative



• Draws — Steps of the sampler — 90% HPD


- Warm-up period = (non-representative) draws from the beginning of the Markov chain
 - remove warm-up before using samples for inference
 - warm-up may include also phase for adapting algorithm parameters



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Warm-up

- Asymptotically chain spends the $\alpha\%$ of time where $\alpha\%$ posterior mass is
 - but in finite time the initial part of the Markov chain may be non-representative



• Draws — Steps of the sampler — 90% HPD

- Warm-up period = (non-representative) draws from the beginning of the Markov chain
 - remove warm-up before using samples for inference
 - warm-up may include also phase for adapting algorithm parameters
- Also called **burn-in**



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Assesing convergence

- Several Markov chains make convergence diagnostics easier


- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Assesing convergence


- Several Markov chains make convergence diagnostics easier
- Start chains from different starting points – preferably overdispersed



- Remove warm-up draws and run chains long enough




- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries





- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries


Visually converged





- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Visually converged



Visual convergence check is not sufficient



\hat{R} : comparison of within and between variances of the chains

- \hat{R} or **potential scale reduction factor** (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries




\hat{R} : comparison of within and between variances of the chains


- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- \hat{R} or **potential scale reduction factor** (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
 W = within chain variance estimate
 var_hat_plus = total variance estimate

50 warmup, 50 post warmup iterations



Rhat = 1.64






\hat{R} : comparison of within and between variances of the chains


- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- \hat{R} or **potential scale reduction factor** (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
 W = within chain variance estimate
 var_hat_plus = total variance estimate

500 warmup, 500 post warmup iterations



Rhat = 1.08






\hat{R} : comparison of within and between variances of the chains

- \hat{R} or **potential scale reduction factor** (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
 W = within chain variance estimate
 var_hat_plus = total variance estimate

5000 warmup, 5000 post warmup iterations



Rhat = 1





UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

\hat{R}

- M chains, each having N draws

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- M chains, each having N draws
- Within chains variance W

$$W = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M s_m^2, \text{ where } s_m^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (\theta_{nm} - \bar{\theta}_{.m})^2$$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- M chains, each having N draws
- Within chains variance W

$$W = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M s_m^2, \text{ where } s_m^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (\theta_{nm} - \bar{\theta}_{.m})^2$$

- Between chains variance B

$$B = \frac{N}{M-1} \sum_{m=1}^M (\bar{\theta}_{.m} - \bar{\theta}_{..})^2,$$

$$\text{where } \bar{\theta}_{.m} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_{nm}, \bar{\theta}_{..} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \bar{\theta}_{.m}$$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- M chains, each having N draws
- Within chains variance W

$$W = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M s_m^2, \text{ where } s_m^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (\theta_{nm} - \bar{\theta}_{.m})^2$$

- Between chains variance B

$$B = \frac{N}{M-1} \sum_{m=1}^M (\bar{\theta}_{.m} - \bar{\theta}_{..})^2,$$

$$\text{where } \bar{\theta}_{.m} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_{nm}, \bar{\theta}_{..} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \bar{\theta}_{.m}$$

- B/N is variance of the means of the chains



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- M chains, each having N draws
- Within chains variance W

$$W = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M s_m^2, \text{ where } s_m^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (\theta_{nm} - \bar{\theta}_{.m})^2$$

- Between chains variance B

$$B = \frac{N}{M-1} \sum_{m=1}^M (\bar{\theta}_{.m} - \bar{\theta}_{..})^2,$$

$$\text{where } \bar{\theta}_{.m} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_{nm}, \bar{\theta}_{..} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \bar{\theta}_{.m}$$

- B/N is variance of the means of the chains
- Estimate total variance $\text{var}(\theta|y)$ as a weighted mean of W and B

$$\widehat{\text{var}}^+(\theta|y) = \frac{N-1}{N} W + \frac{1}{N} B$$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Estimate total variance $\text{var}(\theta|y)$ as a weighted mean of W and B

$$\widehat{\text{var}}^+(\theta|y) = \frac{N-1}{N}W + \frac{1}{N}B$$

- this *overestimates* marginal posterior variance if the starting points are overdispersed



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Estimate total variance $\text{var}(\theta|y)$ as a weighted mean of W and B

$$\widehat{\text{var}}^+(\theta|y) = \frac{N-1}{N}W + \frac{1}{N}B$$

- this *overestimates* marginal posterior variance if the starting points are overdispersed
- Given finite N , W *underestimates* marginal posterior variance
 - single chains have not yet visited all points in the distribution
 - when $N \rightarrow \infty$, $E(W) \rightarrow \text{var}(\theta|y)$



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Estimate total variance $\text{var}(\theta|y)$ as a weighted mean of W and B

$$\widehat{\text{var}}^+(\theta|y) = \frac{N-1}{N}W + \frac{1}{N}B$$

- this *overestimates* marginal posterior variance if the starting points are overdispersed
- Given finite N , W *underestimates* marginal posterior variance
 - single chains have not yet visited all points in the distribution
 - when $N \rightarrow \infty$, $E(W) \rightarrow \text{var}(\theta|y)$
- As $\widehat{\text{var}}^+(\theta|y)$ overestimates and W underestimates, compute

$$\hat{R} = \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{\text{var}}^+}{W}}$$




UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

\hat{R}


- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- BDA3: \hat{R} aka *potential scale reduction factor* (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
W = within chain variance estimate
var_hat_plus = total variance estimate

50 warmup, 50 post warmup iterations



Rhat = 1.64







- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- BDA3: \hat{R} aka *potential scale reduction factor* (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
 W = within chain variance estimate
 var_hat_plus = total variance estimate

500 warmup, 500 post warmup iterations



Rhat = 1.08






UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

\hat{R}

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- BDA3: \hat{R} aka *potential scale reduction factor* (PSRF)
- Compare means and variances of the chains
W = within chain variance estimate
var_hat_plus = total variance estimate

5000 warmup, 5000 post warmup iterations



Rhat = 1





UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

\hat{R}

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

$$\hat{R} = \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{\text{var}}^+}{W}}$$

- Estimates how much the scale could reduce if $N \rightarrow \infty$
- $\hat{R} \rightarrow 1$, when $N \rightarrow \infty$
- If \hat{R} is big (e.g., $R > 1.01$), keep sampling



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

$$\hat{R} = \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{\text{var}}^+}{W}}$$

- Estimates how much the scale could reduce if $N \rightarrow \infty$
- $\hat{R} \rightarrow 1$, when $N \rightarrow \infty$
- If \hat{R} is big (e.g., $R > 1.01$), keep sampling
- If \hat{R} close to 1, it is still possible that chains have not converged
 - if starting points were not overdispersed
 - distribution far from normal (especially if infinite variance)
 - just by chance when N is finite



UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

\hat{R}

- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- Additional \hat{R} methods to assess convergence
 - Split- \hat{R}
 - Examines *mixing* and *stationarity* of chains
 - To examine stationarity chains are split to two parts: compare means and variances of the split chains



- Monte Carlo recap
 - Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
 - MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - **Convergence**
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
 - Difficult geometries
- Additional \hat{R} methods to assess convergence
 - Split- \hat{R}
 - Examines *mixing* and *stationarity* of chains
 - To examine stationarity chains are split to two parts: compare means and variances of the split chains
 - Rank normalized \hat{R}
 - Does not requires that the target distribution has finite mean and variance



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Monte Carlo estimates still valid (central limit theorem)

$$E_{p(\theta|y)}[f(\theta)] \approx \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S f(\theta^{(s)})$$

- Estimation of Monte Carlo error is more difficult
 - evaluation of *effective* sample size, S_{eff} .




- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries
- Auto correlation function
 - describes the correlation given a certain lag
 - can be used to compare efficiency of MCMC methods



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Autocorrelation




• Draws — Steps of the sampler — 90% HPI




- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Autocorrelation



• Draws — Steps of the sampler — 90% HPD







UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries


Autocorrelation



• Draws — Steps of the sampler — 90% HPD



— theta1 — theta2




— theta1 — theta2




UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries


Autocorrelation



• Draws — Steps of the sampler — 90% HPD



— θ_1 — θ_2




— θ_1 — θ_2




UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries


Autocorrelation



• Draws — Steps of the sampler — 90% HPD



— theta1 — theta2



— theta1 — theta2



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- The autocorrelation can be used to estimate Monte Carlo error in case of MCMC
- For expectation $\bar{\theta}$

$$\text{Var}[\bar{\theta}] = \frac{\sigma_{\theta}^2}{S_{\text{eff}}}$$

where $S_{\text{eff}} = S/\tau$, and τ is sum of autocorrelations



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- The autocorrelation can be used to estimate Monte Carlo error in case of MCMC
- For expectation $\bar{\theta}$

$$\text{Var}[\bar{\theta}] = \frac{\sigma_{\theta}^2}{S_{\text{eff}}}$$

where $S_{\text{eff}} = S/\tau$, and τ is sum of autocorrelations

- τ describes how many dependent draws correspond to one independent draw



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- The autocorrelation can be used to estimate Monte Carlo error in case of MCMC
- For expectation $\bar{\theta}$

$$\text{Var}[\bar{\theta}] = \frac{\sigma_{\theta}^2}{S_{\text{eff}}}$$

where $S_{\text{eff}} = S/\tau$, and τ is sum of autocorrelations

- τ describes how many dependent draws correspond to one independent draw
- Here $S = NM$ (in BDA3 $N = nm$ and $n_{\text{eff}} = N/\tau$)



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- The autocorrelation can be used to estimate Monte Carlo error in case of MCMC
- For expectation $\bar{\theta}$

$$\text{Var}[\bar{\theta}] = \frac{\sigma_{\theta}^2}{S_{\text{eff}}}$$

where $S_{\text{eff}} = S/\tau$, and τ is sum of autocorrelations

- τ describes how many dependent draws correspond to one independent draw
- Here $S = NM$ (in BDA3 $N = nm$ and $n_{\text{eff}} = N/\tau$)
- BDA3 focuses on S_{eff} and not the Monte Carlo error directly



- Estimation of the autocorrelation using several chains

$$\hat{\rho}_n = 1 - \frac{W - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\rho}_{n,m}}{2\widehat{\text{var}}^+}$$

where $\hat{\rho}_{n,m}$ is autocorrelation at lag n for chain m

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Estimation of the autocorrelation using several chains

$$\hat{\rho}_n = 1 - \frac{W - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\rho}_{n,m}}{2\widehat{\text{var}}^+}$$

where $\hat{\rho}_{n,m}$ is autocorrelation at lag n for chain m

- BDA3 has slightly different and less accurate equation.
The above equation is used in Stan 2.18+



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Estimation of the autocorrelation using several chains

$$\hat{\rho}_n = 1 - \frac{W - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{\rho}_{n,m}}{2\widehat{\text{var}}^+}$$

where $\hat{\rho}_{n,m}$ is autocorrelation at lag n for chain m

- BDA3 has slightly different and less accurate equation. The above equation is used in Stan 2.18+
- Compared to a method which computes the autocorrelation from a single chain, the multi-chain estimate has smaller variance



- Estimation of τ

$$\tau = 1 + 2 \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \hat{\rho}_t$$

where $\hat{\rho}_t$ is empirical autocorrelation

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Estimation of τ

$$\tau = 1 + 2 \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \hat{\rho}_t$$

where $\hat{\rho}_t$ is empirical autocorrelation

- empirical autocorrelation function is noisy
- noise is larger for longer lags (less observations)

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Estimation of τ

$$\tau = 1 + 2 \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \hat{\rho}_t$$

where $\hat{\rho}_t$ is empirical autocorrelation

- empirical autocorrelation function is noisy
- noise is larger for longer lags (less observations)
- less noisy estimate is obtained by truncating

$$\hat{\tau} = 1 + 2 \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\rho}_t$$

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Truncation T can be decided adaptively
 - for stationary, irreducible, recurrent Markov chain
 - let $\Gamma_m = \rho_{2m} + \rho_{2m+1}$, which is sum of two consequent autocorrelations
 - Γ_m is positive, decreasing and convex function of m


- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Geyer's adaptive window estimator of τ

- Truncation T can be decided adaptively
 - for stationary, irreducible, recurrent Markov chain
 - let $\Gamma_m = \rho_{2m} + \rho_{2m+1}$, which is sum of two consequent autocorrelations
 - Γ_m is positive, decreasing and convex function of m
- Initial positive sequence estimator (Geyer's IPSE)
 - Choose the largest m so, that all values of the sequence $\hat{\Gamma}_1, \dots, \hat{\Gamma}_m$ are positive





UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Effective sample size, S_{eff}




Effective sample size $\text{ESS} = S_{\text{eff}} \approx S/\hat{\tau}$

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries



Effective sample size, S_{eff}

Effective sample size $\text{ESS} = S_{\text{eff}} \approx S/\hat{\tau}$



-theta1 -theta2 - 95% interval for MCMC error...95% interval for indepen

$$\hat{\tau} = 1 + 2 \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\rho}_t$$




$$\approx 24$$

$$\hat{S}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4500}{24} \approx 188$$



Effective sample size, S_{eff}

Effective sample size $\text{ESS} = S_{\text{eff}} \approx S / \hat{\tau}$



— theta1 — theta2 - - 95% interval for MCMC error ··· 95% interval for indepen

$$\hat{\tau} = 1 + 2 \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\rho}_t$$




$$\approx 104$$

$$\hat{S}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4500}{104} \approx 43$$



Effective sample size, S_{eff}

$$\text{Effective sample size ESS} = S_{\text{eff}} \approx S / \hat{\tau}$$



— theta1 — theta2 - - 95% interval for MCMC error ··· 95% interval for indepen

$$\hat{\tau} = 1 + 2 \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\rho}_t$$

$$\approx 63$$

$$\hat{S}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4500}{63} \approx 71$$



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

Section 4

Difficult geometries



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries
- Nonlinear dependencies
 - optimal proposal depends on location



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Nonlinear dependencies
 - optimal proposal depends on location
- Funnels
 - optimal proposal depends on location

demo



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Nonlinear dependencies
 - optimal proposal depends on location
- Funnels
 - optimal proposal depends on location

demo
- Multimodal
 - difficult to move from one mode to another

demo



- Monte Carlo recap
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
 - Gibbs sampling
 - Metropolis-Hastings
- MCMC Diagnostics
 - Warm-up
 - Convergence
 - S_{eff} , MCSE, and autocorrelation
- Difficult geometries

- Nonlinear dependencies
 - optimal proposal depends on location
- Funnels
 - optimal proposal depends on location

demo
- Multimodal
 - difficult to move from one mode to another

demo
- Long-tailed with non-finite variance and mean
 - central limit theorem for expectations does not hold